



BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING

Electronic Meeting
October 06, 2020 at 11:00 AM

AGENDA

The public will be able to view the meeting on San Juan County's Facebook live and Youtube channel

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

INVOCATION

PUBLIC COMMENT

Public comments will be accepted through the following google meet link meet.google.com/ptc-paiv-sdo or by phone at +1 716-427-8815 (PIN: 235 323 895#) There will be a three-minute time limit for each person wishing to comment. If you exceed that three-minute time limit the meeting controller will mute your line.

CONSENT AGENDA (Routine Matters) Mack McDonald, San Juan County Administrator

The Consent Agenda is a means of expediting the consideration of routine matters. If a Commissioner requests that items be removed from the consent agenda, those items are placed at the beginning of the regular agenda as a new business action item. Other than requests to remove items, a motion to approve the items on the consent agenda is not debatable.

- [1.](#) September 15, 2020 Minutes
- [2.](#) Week of 9/16 and 9/23 Check Registers
- [3.](#) Consideration of an Agreement with the San Juan County Public Health Department and the State of Utah Health Department for COVID-19 San Juan County - PPPHEA 2020 contract amendment.
- [4.](#) Consideration of an Agreement with the San Juan County Public Health Department and the State of Utah Health Department for 2019-2023 Healthy Living Through Environment, Policy and Improved Clinical Care (EPICC) Amendment 5
- [5.](#) Consideration of an Agreement with the San Juan County Public Health Department and the State of Utah Health Department for Local Health Dept WIC Program - San Juan Amendment 2

6. Small Purchase Order Request for \$12,500 to Phillips Electric Inc for the purchase of a generator for the landfill operations.
7. Purchase of Communication Radios for the Public Safety Building of \$12,999.31 using CARES Act Funds.

RECOGNITIONS, PRESENTATIONS, AND INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

8. Bluff River Trail Support Letter, Nick Sandberg, San Juan County Public Lands Coordinator
9. BLM presentation of the new Management Team and Tribal Liaison and an update from the Canyon Country District, Gary Torres, Canyon Country District Manager
10. A request of support to extend U.S. Route 163 west to Interstate 15 near St. George and east to U.S. 160 in southwest Colorado to help out with tourism and commerce. Landry H.
11. Discussion and request to appeal Centrally Assessed Tax Appeals made to the State of Utah Tax Commission by Elk Petroleum a request for an \$18,000,000 reduction in value, Delhi Trading a \$483,000 reduction and Holliday a \$225,413 reduction in value. Kendall Laws, County Attorney

BUSINESS/ACTION

12. Public Hearing and Consideration of the private request for the County to vacate portions of D-2475, D-0674, D-2765, D-2762, D-2764, D-2473 and D-2374, TJ Adair, Road Department Superintendent
13. Consideration of an Agreement with the San Juan County Public Health Department and the State of Utah Health Department for Maternal and Child Health FFY 2021 – Kirk Benge, Public Health Director
14. Consideration of a Recreate Responsibly Avalanche Memorandum of Understanding with Utah Avalanche Center using CARES Act Funds. Mack McDonald, Chief Administrative Officer
15. RESOLUTION OF APPOINTMENT OF A SAN JUAN COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE AND AN ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE UTAH COUNTIES INDEMNITY POOL ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING, Mack McDonald, Chief Administrative Officer
16. A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING AND ENCOURAGING THE NAVAJO NATION TO ALLOW SAN JUAN COUNTY TO MAINTAIN ALL “B” ROADS IN THE SAN JUAN, UTAH PORTIONS OF THE NAVAJO NATION AND AMEND THE CURRENT INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT TO PERFORM MAINTENANCE OF THESE ROADS, Mack McDonald, Chief Administrative Officer
17. Consideration of a Support Letter authorizing the County Chief Administrative Officer to apply on behalf of the Historical Commission for \$35,000 of funding from the Utah Navajo Trust Fund-Non-Chapter Funding for the replacement of the existing roof structure of the Historic Oljato Trading Post. Mack McDonald, Chief Administrative Officer.

COMMISSION REPORTS

ADJOURNMENT

The Board of San Juan County Commissioners can call a closed meeting at any time during the Regular Session if necessary, for reasons permitted under UCA 52-4-205

All agenda items shall be considered as having potential Commission action components and may be completed by an electronic method **In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing auxiliary communicative aids and services for this meeting should contact the San Juan County Clerk's Office: 117 South Main, Monticello or telephone 435-587-3223, giving reasonable notice**



BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING
Electronic Meeting
September 15, 2020 at 11:00 AM

MINUTES

The public will be able to view the meeting on San Juan County's Facebook live and YouTube channel.

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

PRESENT

Commission Chairman Kenneth Maryboy
 Commission Vice-Chair Willie Grayeyes
 Commissioner Bruce Adams

INVOCATION

PUBLIC COMMENT

Public comments will be accepted through the following google meet link: meet.google.com/puu-ztwy-xpn or by phone at (US) +1 219-803-8848 PIN: 821 388 558#. There will be a 3-minute time limit for each person wishing to comment. If you exceed that three minute time limit the meeting controller will mute your line. Interruptions to the meeting could result in you being removed from the virtual meeting.

CONSENT AGENDA (Routine Matters) Mack McDonald, San Juan County Administrator

The Consent Agenda is a means of expediting the consideration of routine matters. If a Commissioner requests that items be removed from the consent agenda, those items are placed at the beginning of the regular agenda as a new business action item. Other than requests to remove items, a motion to approve the items on the consent agenda is not debatable.

Mack walked through the consent agenda with the commission. Consent agenda items include 2020 Planning Commission appointments of Cody Nielson and John Johnson, approval of the legal services portion of the Aging Contract, a contract with Home Health to provide services to senior citizens living within the county.

Commissioner Grayeyes asked how often legal services and RN services were received in Navajo Mountain. Tammy Gallegos explained that RNs are in Navajo Mountain on a monthly basis providing health assessments on individuals serviced through the Aging Program. She also explained that any individual serviced can contact Utah Legal Services for advice.

A motion to approve the consent agenda was made by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Seconded by Commissioner Adams.

Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Commissioner Adams

1. Check Registers
2. 09-01-2020 Meeting Minutes
3. Planning Commission Appointments for Cody Nielson for Blanding and John Johnston for La Sal
4. Consideration of a Legal Services Aging Contract, Tammy Gallegos, Aging Services Director
5. Consideration of an Aging Contract For RN Services, Tammy Gallegos, Aging Services Director

RECOGNITIONS, PRESENTATIONS, AND INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

6. Beer Tax Funds Fiscal Year 2020 Annual Report, Jason Torgerson, SJC Sheriff

Sheriff Torgerson reported that the county received \$32,124 in Beer Tax funds for the period from July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020. He stated that the county applied for the funds and would use them for alcohol and substance abuse consignment costs. Sheriff Torgerson also explained that the commission needs to sign a report stating that the county will use the funds in the manner that he outlined to the commission.

A motion to approve the commission signing the document to be sent to the State of Utah was made by Commissioner Vice-Chair Grayeyes. Seconded by Commissioner Adams.

Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Commissioner Adams.

7. Discussion and Consideration of the 2nd round of CARES ACT Fund Distribution, Mack McDonald, Chief Administrative Officer

Mack presented the second round of CARES Act distribution to the county. He explained that the county would like to use the funds as outlined below:

Economic Development / Visitor Services - \$60,000

The money would be used for tourism signage in the county to inform and educate visitors on dos and don't of staying safe, keeping the county clean, protecting cultural sites, and leaving not trace.

Public Lands - \$20,000

This money would be used for trail management and bringing trails back into good shape for use.

Artisan Guild - \$100,000

This money would be used for art festivals as well as working with Trading Posts

CARES Act grants - \$150,000

This money would be used to provide grants to applying businesses who missed out on the 1st round of CARES Act money sent to the county.

Communications and Building Preparedness - \$30,173

The money would be used to purchase software programs to be used to better prepare the county for pandemics.

Feasibility study - \$22,500

These funds would be used for a feasibility study to increase the size of the public safety building. Funds from the 1st round of CARES Act money allocated to the study were \$20,000 bringing the total funding for the study to \$42,500.

Ambulance and Communication - \$50,000

These funds would be used to shore up the budget for unanticipated transport of COVID patients. The county will also purchase radios to match the 800 Mhz system that the county is upgrading too.

Gouldings Public Use Pump - \$100,000

These funds will be used to upgrade Gouldings' private pump that they are using for public needs.

Mack also spoke about road maintenance for the road in front of Gouldings and making a request to CIB for funds to complete the road maintenance.

Reserves - 200K

The funds would be put into the county reserves in anticipation of CARES Act rules being changed by U.S. legislative action.

Motion made by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Seconded by Commissioner Adams.

Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Commissioner Adams

8. Consideration of a Utah Commission on Aging Letter of Support, Tammy Gallegos, Aging Services Director

Tammy requested that the county commission write a letter of support to Utah Legislation to continue the Utah Commission on Aging because of the good work they do with the counties.

Motion made by Commissioner Adams, Seconded by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes.

Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Commissioner Adams

BUSINESS/ACTION

9. Consideration of the Decker Crossing Agreement with Two Swipe Cattle Company, TJ Adair, Road Department Director

TJ stated that the cost to fix a deteriorating car bridge across Cottonwood Wash, which accesses Butler Wash, would be very costly. He explained that a more cost effective approach would be to change the county road on property owned by Two Swipe Cattle Company so that a lower land crossing could be used. He requested the commission chairman's signature on the agreement.

Motion made by Commissioner Adams, Seconded by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes.
Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes,
Commissioner Adams

10. Consideration of an Outreach Education Agreement between San Juan Public Health Department and the University of Utah for poison control education. Kirk Bengé, Public Health Director

Kirk explained that the county has entered into this same agreement with Utah Poison Control each year to share poison control information and messaging at health fairs and on social media sites. Kirk explained that the county receives \$2,500 to provide the information and recommended that the county renew the contract.

Motion made by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Seconded by Commissioner Adams.
Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes,
Commissioner Adams

11. Consideration of a Coronavirus Prevention Messaging Grant Contract for Fiscal Year 2021 with San Juan Public Health and the State of Utah Department of Heritage & Arts, Kirk Bengé, Public Health Director

Kirk told the commission that Public Health had recently gotten approval for a grant up to an amount of \$15,000 to reimburse the county for messaging related to coronavirus prevention.

Motion made by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Seconded by Commissioner Adams.
Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes,
Commissioner Adams

12. Purchase Order authorizing the Chamber Remodel by HKConsulting under State Contract #MA2641 Job Order Contracting For Construction Services using Cares Act Funding, Mack McDonald, Chief Administrative Officer

Mack requested that the commission a purchase order to remodel the commission chambers. He explained that commission seating would be raised in order to create distance from the public. Funds would also be used to upgrade the PA system. Mack also explained that appropriation of CARES Act funds was \$109,000 and the estimate from HK Consulting to complete the project would be \$95,000

Motion made by Commissioner Adams, Seconded by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes.
Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes,

Commissioner Adams

13. Consideration of a contract for Ordinance Recodification Cost Proposal and Contract with American Legal Publishing Corporation using CARES ACT Funds, Mack McDonald, Chief Administrative Officer

Mack explained that the county does not currently have a way for the public to access county ordinances and policies. He presented for approval a contract with American Legal Publishing to codify and place the county's ordinances and policies online for greater access. The base cost of the contract would be \$8,925.

Motion made by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Seconded by Commissioner Adams.
Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes,
Commissioner Adams

14. Consideration of a Lease Agreement with the Town of Bluff for the Lease of 2,275 Square Feet within the Bluff Community Center for Senior Services located at 190 North 3rd East. Mack McDonald, Chief Administrative Officer

Mack presented a lease agreement with the Town of Bluff to use 2,275 square ft of the Bluff Community Center for senior services. The lease amount would cost \$450 per month. The term is for 5 years with an option to renew at that time.
Commissioner Adams stated that the county built the building and then gave it to the Town of Bluff.

Motion made by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Seconded by Commissioner Adams.
Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes,
Commissioner Adams

15. Consideration of an Agreement with AJC Architects to perform a Feasibility Study for the Public Safety Building using CARES ACT FUNDS, Mack McDonald, Chief Administrative Officer

Mack presented for consideration, an agreement with AJC Architects to perform a feasibility on the public safety building. The cost proposal for the agreement would be in the amount of \$42,500 and would be funded by CARES Act funds. Mack asked AJC to research the cost of constructing a public safety building remodel, then the county could go to CIB for a funds request. He also stated that this would help segregate individuals who may have contracted COVID and create isolation areas. Commissioner Adams also asked about the possibility of adding a 2nd court room during a building remodel.

Motion made by Commissioner Adams, Seconded by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes.
Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes,
Commissioner Adams

16. Consideration of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between San Juan County and Public Land Managers for the San Juan Strong Recreate Responsibly Campaign using CARES ACT Funds, Natalie Randall, Economic Development Director

Natalie reviewed the San Juan Strong Promise campaign intended to build consumer confidence in the county by recreating responsibly. She explained that Public Land Management agencies have expressed interest in participating in the campaign which lead to the creation of the proposed MOU.

Motion made by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Seconded by Commissioner Adams.
Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes,
Commissioner Adams

BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

17. Recommended Board of Equalization Adjustments, Greg Adams, County Assessor

Motion to go into Board of Equalization was made by Commissioner Adams, Seconded by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes.

Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes,
Commissioner Adams

County Assessor, Greg Adams, discussed this year's Board of Equalization hearing. He talked about increases in commercial property that were felt by business owners. He stated that most of the recommendations by the hearing officer were cleaning up value issues brought by property owners. Greg also explained that the program used by Economic Development to identify nightly rentals was helpful in properly taxing entities.

Motion made by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Seconded by Commissioner Adams.
Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes,
Commissioner Adams

Motion to leave BOE was made by Commissioner Adams, Seconded by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes.

Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes,
Commissioner Adams

COMMISSION REPORTS

Commissioner Maryboy – Commissioner Maryboy stated that several things are happening with the Navajo Nation. Commissioner Maryboy also mentioned that he would be attending a Navajo Utah Commission Meeting and a road meeting.

Commissioner Grayeyes – Commissioner Grayeyes stated that he would be attending a conference in Midway.

Commissioner Adams – Commissioner Adams had nothing to report

ADJOURNMENT

Motion to adjourn was made by Commissioner Adams, Seconded by Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes. Voting Yea: Commission Chairman Maryboy, Commission Vice-Chair Grayeyes, Commissioner Adams

The Board of San Juan County Commissioners can call a closed meeting at any time during the Regular Session if necessary, for reasons permitted under UCA 52-4-205

All agenda items shall be considered as having potential Commission action components and may be completed by an electronic method **In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing auxiliary communicative aids and services for this meeting should contact the San Juan County Clerk's Office: 117 South Main, Monticello or telephone 435-587-3223, giving reasonable notice**

**San Juan County
Disbursement Listing**

Item 2.

General Fund Checking - Zions 566101143 - 09/23/2020 to 09/30/2020

<u>Payee Name</u>	<u>Reference Number</u>	<u>Payment Date</u>	<u>Payment Amount</u>	<u>Void Date</u>	<u>Void Amount</u>	<u>Source</u>
Acumen Fiscal Agent LLC	115718	09/30/2020	\$677.12			Purchasing
Ajoules Inc	115719	09/30/2020	\$1,650.00			Purchasing
Alan's Body Shop	115720	09/30/2020	\$130.00			Purchasing
Benge, Kirk	115721	09/30/2020	\$300.78			Purchasing
Best Deal Spring Inc.	115722	09/30/2020	\$249.60			Purchasing
Blue Mountain Foods	115723	09/30/2020	\$102.96			Purchasing
Bluff Dwellings Resort	115724	09/30/2020	\$543.06			Purchasing
Bradford Tire	115725	09/30/2020	\$79.95			Purchasing
Brantley Distributing LLC.	115726	09/30/2020	\$836.75			Purchasing
CenturyLink	115727	09/30/2020	\$69.38			Purchasing
Cintas Corporation #108	115728	09/30/2020	\$77.60			Purchasing
Critical Issues	115729	09/30/2020	\$235.00			Purchasing
Dell Marketing L.P.	115730	09/30/2020	\$2,420.50			Purchasing
Department of Health	115731	09/30/2020	\$455.00			Purchasing
Dominion Energy	115732	09/30/2020	\$55.71			Purchasing
Earthgrains / Sara Lee Bakery	115733	09/30/2020	\$56.00			Purchasing
Emery Telcom	115734	09/30/2020	\$423.23			Purchasing
Fastenal Company	115735	09/30/2020	\$645.34			Purchasing
Four Corners Welding & Gas	115736	09/30/2020	\$136.48			Purchasing
Four States Tire & Service	115737	09/30/2020	\$4,191.92			Purchasing
Frontier	115738	09/30/2020	\$2,193.60			Purchasing
Fuel Network	115739	09/30/2020	\$30,665.55			Purchasing
Grand Junction Peterbilt	115740	09/30/2020	\$1,399.88			Purchasing
Hoggard, Jeremy	115741	09/30/2020	\$25.00			Purchasing
Honnen Equipment Co.	115742	09/30/2020	\$56.60			Purchasing
ImageNet Consulting LLC	115743	09/30/2020	\$1,360.59			Purchasing
inContact Inc.	115744	09/30/2020	\$35.22			Purchasing
J.M. Custom & Industrial	115745	09/30/2020	\$335.44			Purchasing
JB Restoration & Fabrication	115746	09/30/2020	\$148.00			Purchasing
Jim, Rue Shane	115747	09/30/2020	\$25.00			Purchasing
Kilgore Companies LLC	115748	09/30/2020	\$78,541.25			Purchasing
Larry H. Miller	115749	09/30/2020	\$1,236.13			Purchasing
Main Street Drug and Boutique	115750	09/30/2020	\$5.43			Purchasing
Mexican Hat Special Serv Dist.	115751	09/30/2020	\$85.63			Purchasing
Monticello Home & Auto Supply	115752	09/30/2020	\$674.19			Purchasing
Monticello Mercantile	115753	09/30/2020	\$342.35			Purchasing
Motor Parts	115754	09/30/2020	\$1,040.04			Purchasing
Mountainland Supply Co	115755	09/30/2020	\$489.59			Purchasing
Municode	115756	09/30/2020	\$5,250.00			Purchasing
Navajo Tribal UtilityAuthority	115757	09/30/2020	\$216.19			Purchasing
Nicholas & Company	115758	09/30/2020	\$4,928.14			Purchasing
Office Depot	115759	09/30/2020	\$1,026.43			Purchasing
Packard Wholesale Co.	115760	09/30/2020	\$766.08			Purchasing
Perkins, Nicole	115761	09/30/2020	\$457.07			Purchasing
Petty Cash	115762	09/30/2020	\$24.87			Purchasing
Pitney Bowes	115763	09/30/2020	\$180.00			Purchasing
Premier Vehicle Installation	115764	09/30/2020	\$6,477.56			Purchasing
Redd's Ace Hardware	115765	09/30/2020	\$61.94			Purchasing
RelaDyne West LLC	115766	09/30/2020	\$6,303.88			Purchasing
San Juan Building Supply Inc.	115767	09/30/2020	\$51.08			Purchasing
San Juan Clinic	115768	09/30/2020	\$106.00			Purchasing
San Juan Record	115769	09/30/2020	\$352.80			Purchasing
Scott, Peggy F	115770	09/30/2020	\$3,618.00			Purchasing
Snap - On Tools	115771	09/30/2020	\$81.00			Purchasing
Sonderegger Inc.	115772	09/30/2020	\$3,550.00			Purchasing
Southeastern Utah District	115773	09/30/2020	\$30.00			Purchasing
Tiefenbach North America LLC	115774	09/30/2020	\$618.91			Purchasing
Tri-Hurst Construction Inc.	115775	09/30/2020	\$111,681.53			Purchasing
U.S. Bank Corporate Payment	115776	09/30/2020	\$3,185.21			Purchasing
Underground Services & USU	115777	09/30/2020	\$794.41			Purchasing
Utah State Division of Finance	115778	09/30/2020	\$3,381.23			Purchasing
Utah State Division of Finance	115779	09/30/2020	\$73,368.05			Purchasing
Verizon Wireless	115780	09/30/2020	\$6,548.00			Purchasing
Waste Management of Colorado	115781	09/30/2020	\$1,943.51			Purchasing
Watts Steam Store Utah Inc	115782	09/30/2020	\$93.74			Purchasing
Wheeler Machinery Company	115783	09/30/2020	\$13,194.00			Purchasing
	115784	09/30/2020	\$1,459.67			Purchasing
			\$381,745.17		\$0.00	

**San Juan County
Disbursement Listing**

Item 2.

General Fund Checking - Zions 566101143 - 09/16/2020 to 09/22/2020

<u>Payee Name</u>	<u>Reference Number</u>	<u>Payment Date</u>	<u>Payment Amount</u>	<u>Void Date</u>	<u>Void Amount</u>	<u>Source</u>
Abbott Laboratories	115639	09/22/2020	\$1,316.46			Purchasing
Bastian, Brittney	115640	09/22/2020	\$20.00			Purchasing
Begay, Roland	115641	09/22/2020	\$256.80			Purchasing
Beshoner, Amber	115642	09/22/2020	\$75.00			Purchasing
Beshoner-Krell, Sara	115643	09/22/2020	\$75.00			Purchasing
Blue Mountain Foods	115644	09/22/2020	\$6.00			Purchasing
Blue Mountain Meats Inc.	115645	09/22/2020	\$1,095.10			Purchasing
Bluff Water Works	115646	09/22/2020	\$50.00			Purchasing
Bound Tree Medical LCC	115647	09/22/2020	\$436.12			Purchasing
Brailsford, Casey Bo	115648	09/22/2020	\$54.00			Purchasing
Brooks, Benaiah	115649	09/22/2020	\$362.50			Purchasing
Burgess, Pamela	115650	09/22/2020	\$75.00			Purchasing
Burgess, Scott	115651	09/22/2020	\$85.00			Purchasing
Bushore, Bruce	115652	09/22/2020	\$225.00			Purchasing
Chadwick Booth & Company	115653	09/22/2020	\$8,000.00			Purchasing
Clark, Byron	115654	09/22/2020	\$50.00			Purchasing
Comfort at Home Care LLC	115655	09/22/2020	\$1,176.00			Purchasing
Devenport, Tyler	115656	09/22/2020	\$1,280.00			Purchasing
Dilego, Dawn	115657	09/22/2020	\$75.00			Purchasing
Dominion Energy	115658	09/22/2020	\$7.16			Purchasing
DTS - State of Utah	115659	09/22/2020	\$123.86			Purchasing
Earthgrains / Sara Lee Bakery	115660	09/22/2020	\$112.00			Purchasing
Edwards, Sydney	115661	09/22/2020	\$50.00			Purchasing
Emery Telcom	115662	09/22/2020	\$266.86			Purchasing
Empire Electric Assoc. Inc.	115663	09/22/2020	\$669.65			Purchasing
Felsted, Dustin	115664	09/22/2020	\$187.50			Purchasing
Forsythe Fire LLC	115665	09/22/2020	\$90.50			Purchasing
Four Corners Welding & Gas	115666	09/22/2020	\$106.93			Purchasing
Frontier	115667	09/22/2020	\$3,840.86			Purchasing
Garduno, Thomas	115668	09/22/2020	\$80.00			Purchasing
Hazleton, Lyon W. II	115669	09/22/2020	\$37.31			Purchasing
Helgerson, Steve	115670	09/22/2020	\$75.00			Purchasing
Jacobsen, Chas	115671	09/22/2020	\$50.00			Purchasing
JCI Billing Services	115672	09/22/2020	\$1,872.00			Purchasing
Jenkins, Blayke	115673	09/22/2020	\$34.00			Purchasing
Jimmy Foy Collision Repair Center	115674	09/22/2020	\$682.00			Purchasing
JVP Inc.	115675	09/22/2020	\$12.96			Purchasing
Lake, Jennifer	115676	09/22/2020	\$20.00			Purchasing
Life-Assist Inc.	115677	09/22/2020	\$158.40			Purchasing
Lott, Anthony	115678	09/22/2020	\$75.00			Purchasing
Main Street Drug and Boutique	115679	09/22/2020	\$36.00			Purchasing
Martinez, Taylor R	115680	09/22/2020	\$20.00			Purchasing
McGee, Danny	115681	09/22/2020	\$75.00			Purchasing
MCI	115682	09/22/2020	\$27.86			Purchasing
Mid-American Research Chemical	115683	09/22/2020	\$294.86			Purchasing
Montella's Repair Inc.	115684	09/22/2020	\$324.00			Purchasing
Monticello City	115685	09/22/2020	\$167.89			Purchasing
Monticello Home & Auto Supply	115686	09/22/2020	\$279.98			Purchasing
Monticello Mercantile	115687	09/22/2020	\$64.01			Purchasing
Motor Parts	115688	09/22/2020	\$77.94			Purchasing
Navajo Tribal Utility Authority	115689	09/22/2020	\$346.97			Purchasing
New Technology Solutions	115690	09/22/2020	\$465.00			Purchasing
Nicholas & Company	115691	09/22/2020	\$8,441.83			Purchasing
Office Depot	115692	09/22/2020	\$12.68			Purchasing
Oliver & Sitterud	115693	09/22/2020	\$3,300.00			Purchasing
Packard Wholesale Co.	115694	09/22/2020	\$1,122.16			Purchasing
Peak Mobile Comm	115695	09/22/2020	\$1,139.50			Purchasing
Personnel Evaluation Inc	115696	09/22/2020	\$60.00			Purchasing
Peters Scofield	115697	09/22/2020	\$772.55			Purchasing
Premier Vehicle Installation	115698	09/22/2020	\$46,076.30			Purchasing
Pugh, Delton	115699	09/22/2020	\$34.00			Purchasing
Purchase Power	115700	09/22/2020	\$970.99			Purchasing
Quill Corporation	115701	09/22/2020	\$323.96			Purchasing
Randall, Natalie	115702	09/22/2020	\$127.52			Purchasing
Redd's Ace Hardware	115703	09/22/2020	\$154.67			Purchasing
RelaDyne West LLC	115704	09/22/2020	\$4,223.62			Purchasing
Rocky Mountain Power	115705	09/22/2020	\$400.16			Purchasing

**San Juan County
Disbursement Listing**

Item 2.

General Fund Checking - Zions 566101143 - 09/16/2020 to 09/22/2020

<u>Payee Name</u>	<u>Reference Number</u>	<u>Payment Date</u>	<u>Payment Amount</u>	<u>Void Date</u>	<u>Void Amount</u>	<u>Source</u>
San Juan Record	115706	09/22/2020	\$2,907.24			Purchasing
Simpleview LLC	115707	09/22/2020	\$300.00			Purchasing
SJC Inmate Account	115708	09/22/2020	\$1,637.50			Purchasing
SJC Sheriff	115709	09/22/2020	\$354.20			Purchasing
Sorenson Advertising, dba Relic Agen	115710	09/22/2020	\$49,474.00			Purchasing
Southern Academy	115711	09/22/2020	\$690.00			Purchasing
Stocks, Gus	115712	09/22/2020	\$150.00			Purchasing
Thatzza Pizza	115713	09/22/2020	\$332.50			Purchasing
U.S. Bank Equipment Finance	115714	09/22/2020	\$286.42			Purchasing
Utah State Division of Finance	115715	09/22/2020	\$33,675.00			Purchasing
Verizon Wireless	115716	09/22/2020	\$821.02			Purchasing
Zion's Way Home Health & Hospice	115717	09/22/2020	\$1,762.40			Purchasing
			\$184,994.70		\$0.00	



COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: Month XX, 20XX

SUBMITTED BY: Kirk Bengé, Director, Public Health

TITLE: Consideration of an Agreement with the San Juan County Public Health Department and the State of Utah Health Department for COVID-19 San Juan County - PPPHEA 2020 contract

RECOMMENDATION: Recommend Sign Contract (it allows COVID response capacity to continue past 12/31/2020)

SUMMARY

“PPP” means Payroll Protection Program
 “HEA” means Healthcare Enhancement Act

The general purpose of this contract is to provide COVID-19 contact tracing, infection prevention control and targeted testing.

CONTRACT PERIOD: 07/01/2020 through 11/17/2022, unless terminated or extended by agreement in accordance with the terms and conditions of this contract.

CONTRACT AMOUNT: The Utah Department of Health agrees to pay \$97,744.00 in accordance with the provisions of this contract.

This contract is funded with 100% federal funds, 0% state funds, and 0% other funds.

HISTORY/PAST ACTION

This is a new contract.

FISCAL IMPACT

These funds are NOT included in the current proposed budget. Next year’s Public Health budget would need to be increased by approximately \$98,000 to allow these funds to be utilized effectively.



UTAH DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CONTRACT

PO Box 144003, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
288 North 1460 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

2121709
Department Log Number

212700330
State Contract Number

1. **CONTRACT NAME:** The name of this contract is COVID-19 San Juan County - PPPHEA 2020
2. **CONTRACTING PARTIES:** This contract is between the Utah Department of Health (DEPARTMENT) and the following CONTRACTOR:

PAYMENT ADDRESS

San Juan County
735 S 200 W, Ste 2
Blanding UT, 84511

MAILING ADDRESS

San Juan County
735 S 200 W, Ste 2
Blanding UT, 84511

Vendor ID: 06866HL
Commodity Code: 99999

3. **GENERAL PURPOSE OF CONTRACT:** The general purpose of this contract is to provide COVID-19 contact tracing, infection prevention control and targeted testing.
4. **CONTRACT PERIOD:** The service period of this contract is 07/01/2020 through 11/17/2022, unless terminated or extended by agreement in accordance with the terms and conditions of this contract.
5. **CONTRACT AMOUNT:** The DEPARTMENT agrees to pay \$97,744.00 in accordance with the provisions of this contract. This contract is funded with 100% federal funds, 0% state funds, and 0% other funds.
6. **CONTRACT INQUIRIES:** Inquiries regarding this Contract shall be directed to the following individuals:

CONTRACTOR

Kirk Bengé
(435) 359-0038
kbenge@sanjuancounty.org

DEPARTMENT

Disease Control and Prevention
PPPHEA ELC Grant
Ginny Ambernac
(801) 538-6289
gambernac@utah.gov

7. **SUB – RECIPIENT INFORMATION:**

DUNS: 079815014

Indirect Cost Rate: 0%

Federal Program Name:	Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC)	Award Number:	6 NU50CK000536-01-07
Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	Department of Health and Human Services	Federal Award Identification Number:	NU50CK000536
CFDA Title:	Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC)	Federal Award Date:	5/18/2020
CFDA Number:	93.323	Funding Amount:	\$97744.00

8. REFERENCE TO ATTACHMENTS INCLUDED AS PART OF THIS CONTRACT:

Attachment A: Special Provisions

9. DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED INTO THIS CONTRACT BY REFERENCE BUT NOT ATTACHED:

- A. All other governmental laws, regulations, or actions applicable to services provided herein.
- B. All Assurances and all responses to bids as provided by the CONTRACTOR.
- C. Utah Department of Health General Provisions and Business Associates Agreement currently in effect until 6/30/2023.

10. This contract, its attachments, and all documents incorporated by reference constitute the entire agreement between the parties and supersedes all prior written or oral agreements between the parties relating to the subject matter of this contract.

Intentionally Left Blank

Contract with Utah Department of Health and San Juan County, Log # 2121709

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties enter into this agreement.

CONTRACTOR

STATE

By: _____
Kenneth Maryboy Date
County Commission Chair

By: _____
Shari A. Watkins, C.P.A. Date
Director, Office Fiscal Operations

Attachment A: Special Provisions
 COVID-19 San Juan County – PPPHEA 2020
 Effective Date: July 1, 2020

- I. DEFINITIONS:
- A. "HEA" means Healthcare Enhancement Act.
 - B. "PPP" means Payroll Protection Program.
 - C. "Quarter" means each 90-day period starting January 1.
 - D. "Subrecipient" means Contractor.
- II. FUNDING:
- A. Total funding is \$97,744.00.
 - 1. \$97,744.00 for the period July 1, 2020 to November 17, 2022.
 - B. This is a Cost Reimbursement contract. The DEPARTMENT agrees to reimburse the SUBRECIPIENT up to the maximum amount of the contract for expenditures made by the SUBRECIPIENT directly related to the performance of this contract.
 - C. The Federal funds provided under this agreement are from the Federal Program and award as recorded on the Contract Pages.
 - D. Pass-through Agency: Utah Department of Health.
 - E. Number assigned by the Pass-through Agency: State Contract Number, as recorded on Page 1 of the Contract.
- III. INVOICING:
- A. In addition to the General Provisions of the contract the SUBRECIPIENT shall include one column for each funding source in the Monthly Expenditure Report.
 - 1. PPPHEA - Contact tracing.
 - 2. PPPHEA - Infection, Prevention and Control
 - 3. PPPHEA - Targeted testing.
 - B. In addition to the General Provisions of the contract the SUBRECIPIENT shall submit the July invoice no later than August 15.
- IV. RESPONSIBILITIES OF SUBRECIPIENT:
- A. For all activities the SUBRECIPIENT shall:
 - 1. Attend monthly DEPARTMENT coordination meetings.
 - B. For contact tracing the SUBRECIPIENT shall:
 - 1. Maintain a minimum of one (1) FTE temporary contract tracing employees to work as investigators and contact tracers for the duration of the contract.
 - a) This is in conjunction with other contact tracing contracts with the Department of Health.
 - 2. Complete the case investigation, preferably within 24 hours after receiving the lab result.
 - a) Complete all minimum data elements in UT-NEDSS, when available.
 - b) Enter the "optimal" data elements into UT-NEDSS at the SUBRECIPIENT's discretion.

3. Complete contact tracing, preferably within 24 hours after completing the case investigation.
 4. Route cases to DEPARTMENT at the SUBRECIPIENT's discretion.
- C. For infection, prevention and control the SUBRECIPIENT shall:
1. Provide activities to support long term care facilities investigation in coordination with DEPARTMENT.
- D. For targeted testing the SUBRECIPIENT shall:
1. Propose locations to deploy the rapid testing machines.
 - a) Priority given to: geographic clusters of infectious disease, worksites, and healthcare settings.
- V. REPORTS:
- A. For contact tracing, epidemiology staff and targeted testing the SUBRECIPIENT shall:
1. Provide a report each quarter, no later than the 15th day of the month after the quarter ends.
 - a) Include the number of contact tracers hired, trained and deployed.
- B. For infection, prevention and control the SUBRECIPIENT shall:
1. Provide a report for each quarter, no later than the 15th day of the month after the quarter ends.
 - a) Include the activities provided.
- VI. PROGRAM CONTACT:
- A. The day to day operations and dispute contact is Ginny Ambrenac, gambrenac@utah.gov, (801) 538-6289.
- VII. DISPUTE RESOLUTION:
- A. If any dispute arises between the parties during the activities described by this Contract, the parties agree to seek a resolution through open communication and dialogue.
- B. Either party may request a conference to resolve a disputed issue (consistent with Utah Admin. Code R380-10-3, which supports dispute resolution at the lowest level possible).
- C. If a resolution cannot be reached, DEPARTMENT may bring supervisory personnel into the process to facilitate resolving issues and achieving agreement.
- D. The provisions in Section B. and C. are not mandatory.
- E. If a dispute is not resolved within 30 days of DEPARTMENT decision, DEPARTMENT's decision is considered the "initial agency determination," as defined by Utah Admin. Code R380-10-2(3).
- F. These provisions do not preclude or affect the provisions, rights, limitations, or timelines for appealing DEPARTMENT actions that are provided or required by Utah Code §§ 26-23-2, 26-1-4.1 or 26-1-7.1, Utah Admin. Code R380-10, or the Utah Administrative Procedures Act (Utah Code § 63G-4).
- G. In the event of any conflict between the Dispute Resolution provisions in the Special Provisions of this Contract with applicable law or rules, the provisions of the applicable law or rules shall control.



COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: Month XX, 20XX

SUBMITTED BY: Kirk Bengel, Director, Public Health

TITLE: Consideration of an Agreement with the San Juan County Public Health Department and the State of Utah Health Department for 2019-2023 Healthy Living Through Environment, Policy and Improved Clinical Care (EPICC) amendment 5

RECOMMENDATION: Recommend Sign Contract

SUMMARY

This is an amendment to an existing contract.

The general purpose of this contract is to address physical activity, nutrition, obesity, diabetes and heart disease in San Juan County.

PURPOSE OF CONTRACT AMENDMENT: To add funding for FY21, update funding dates for FY21, adjust coding, and update contract activities in special provisions.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO CONTRACT: Update activities for PBG, 1807 and 1817 federal grants. Adding funding of \$17,764.14 to PBG federal grant for FY21. Adding funding of \$9,174.12 to 1807 federal grant for FY21. Adding funding of \$27,200.00 to 1817 federal grant for FY21. New total contract amount is \$186,894.78.

HISTORY/PAST ACTION

We have received EPICC funding since 2015. This contract has been renewed annually since 2015.

- Oct 2018 - signed 5 year agreement. \$62,298.26
- Dec 2018 - Amendment 1 - Amended special provisions
- Jun 2019 - Amendment 2 - Modified funding amounts
- Oct 2019 - Amendment 3 - Added funding for FY20, modified dates. modified special provisions.
- Jun 2020 - Amendment 4 - Added funding of \$8,160. New amount at \$132,756.52. Updated activities.

FISCAL IMPACT

These funds are included in the current proposed budget.



UTAH DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CONTRACT AMENDMENT

PO Box 144003, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
288 North 1460 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

1931112
Department Log Number

192700359
State Contract Number

- CONTRACT NAME:** The name of this contract is 2019-2023 EPICC- San Juan Health Department Amendment 5.
- CONTRACTING PARTIES:** This contract amendment is between the Utah Department of Health (DEPARTMENT) and San Juan County (CONTRACTOR).
- PURPOSE OF CONTRACT AMENDMENT:** To add funding for FY21, update funding dates for FY21, adjust coding, and update contract activities in special provisions.
- CHANGES TO CONTRACT:**
 - Adding funding of \$17,764.14 to PBG federal grant for FY21. Adding funding of \$9,174.12 to 1807 federal grant for FY21. Adding funding of \$27,200.00 to 1817 federal grant for FY21. New total contract amount is \$186,894.78.
 - Update activities for PBG, 1807 and 1817 federal grants.

DUNS: 079815014

Indirect Cost Rate: 0%

Add

Federal Program Name:	DHHS-PHS-CDC	Award Number:	NB01OT009323-01-00
Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Federal Award Identification Number:	NB01OT009323
CFDA Title:	PREVENTION BLOCK GRANT	Federal Award Date:	8/7/2020
CFDA Number:	93.991	Funding Amount:	\$17764.14

Add

Federal Program Name:	DHHS-PHS-CDC	Award Number:	5 NU58DP006496-3-00
Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Federal Award Identification Number:	5 NU58DP006496
CFDA Title:	UTAH STATE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND NUTRITION PROGRAM	Federal Award Date:	7/10/2020
CFDA Number:	93.439	Funding Amount:	\$9174.12

Add

Federal Program Name:	DHHS-PHS-CDC	Award Number:	5 NU58DP006609-03-00
Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Federal Award Identification Number:	5 NU58DP006609
CFDA Title:	INNOVATIVE STATE AND LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH STRATEGIES TO PREVENT AND MANAGE DIABETES AND HEART DISEASE AND STROKE	Federal Award Date:	6/21/2020
CFDA Number:	93.435	Funding Amount:	\$13600.00

Add

Federal Program Name:	DHHS-PHS-CDC	Award Number:	5 NU58DP006609-03-00
Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Federal Award Identification Number:	5 NU58DP006609
CFDA Title:	INNOVATIVE STATE AND LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH STRATEGIES TO PREVENT AND MANAGE DIABETES AND HEART DISEASE AND STROKE	Federal Award Date:	6/21/2020
CFDA Number:	93.435	Funding Amount:	\$13600.00

All other conditions and terms in the original contract and previous amendments remain the same.

5. EFFECTIVE DATE OF AMENDMENT: This amendment is effective 09/30/2020
6. DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED INTO THIS CONTRACT BY REFERENCE BUT NOT ATTACHED:
 - A. All other governmental laws, regulations, or actions applicable to services provided herein.
 - B. All Assurances and all responses to bids as provided by the CONTRACTOR.
 - C. Utah Department of Health General Provisions and Business Associate Agreement currently in effect until 6/30/2023.
7. This contract, its attachments, and all documents incorporated by reference constitute the entire agreement between the parties and supersedes all prior written or oral agreements between the parties relating to the subject matter of this contract.

Contract with Utah Department of Health and San Juan County, Log # 1931112

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties enter into this agreement.

CONTRACTOR

STATE

By: _____ Date _____
Kenneth Maryboy
County Commission Chair

By: _____ Date _____
Shari A. Watkins, C.P.A.
Director, Office Fiscal Operations

Attachment A - Special Provisions – 9/1/2020
San Juan County Health Department FY21 PBG-1807-1815-1817

Healthy Living Through Environment, Policy and Improved Clinical Care (EPICC)

I. DEFINITIONS

DEPARTMENT	Utah Department of Health—EPICC Program
EXECUTIVE ULACHES	A small group of Local Health Officers and Local Health Promotion Directors
GOVERNANCE	Representatives of State and Local Health Department Leadership who meet to give approval to UDOH program staff to apply for federal grant funding and make decisions on allocation to local health departments
SUB-RECIPIENT	Local Health Department
ULACHES	Utah Local Association of Certified Health Education Specialists—Local Health Department Health Promotion Directors

II. FUNDING

- A. The source of funding provided for this agreement is from the following federal programs and awards:

Total amount funded with PHHS Block Grant (4323) \$17,764.14

CFDA number	93.991
CFDA title	Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant
Award name	Preventive Health Services Block Grant
Award number	NB01OT009323-01-00
Award date	August 7, 2020
Name of Federal Agency	DHHS-PHS-CDC
Pass through Agency	State of Utah, Department of Health

Total amount funded with CDC 1807 Grant (4287) \$9,174.12

CFDA number	93.439
CFDA title	Assistance Programs for Chronic Disease Prevention and Control
Award name	State Physical Activity and Nutrition Program
Award number	5 NU58DP006496-3-00
Award date	July 10, 2020
Name of Federal Agency	DHHS-PHS-CDC
Pass through Agency	State of Utah, Department of Health

Total amount funded with CDC 1815 Grant (4230) \$8,160.00

CFDA number	93.426
CFDA title	Interventions in Utah that will improve outcomes for the residents of Utah specific to diabetes and hypertension and related risk factors
Award name	Improving the Health of Americans Through Prevention and Management of Diabetes and Heart Disease and Stroke- Financed in part by 2018 Prevention and Public Health
Award number	5 NU58DP006512-03-00
Award date	May 13, 2020
Name of Federal Agency	DHHS-PHS-CDC
Pass through Agency	State of Utah, Department of Health

Total amount funded with CDC 1817 Grant (4260) \$27,200.00

CFDA number	93.435
CFDA title	Innovation Awards—Diabetes, Heart Disease and Stroke
Award name	Innovative State and Local Public Health Strategies to Prevent and Manage Diabetes and Heart Disease and Stroke
Award number	5 NU58DP006609-03-00
Award date	June 21, 2020
Name of Federal Agency	DHHS-PHS-CDC
Pass through Agency	State of Utah, Department of Health

- B. DUNS Number: 079815014
- C. Indirect Cost Rate: [0%]
- D. As set forth in the funding periods below, the DEPARTMENT agrees to reimburse the SUB-RECIPIENT up to the maximum of \$62,298.26 for expenditures made by the SUB-RECIPIENT directly related to this project as described in Section III Services A - D.
 - i. Allowable expenditures include wages and salaries, fringe benefits, supplies, travel, subcontract costs, consultants and current expense.
 - ii. The SUB-RECIPIENT will report monthly expenditures, using a separate line item for each allocation coding as shown in the table below, on the Monthly Expenditure Report (MER) submitted to the DEPARTMENT.
- E. By March 1, 2021 expenditures will be reviewed jointly by the DEPARTMENT and the SUB-RECIPIENT to determine if at least 35% of funds have been expended on activities as allocated.
 - i. If SUB-RECIPIENT is below 35% expenditures a written plan of action will be submitted to ensure utilization of remaining funds for contract and funding purposes.
 - ii. Over a three-year time period, if SUB-RECIPIENT consistently underspends funds, the DEPARTMENT will work with Executive ULACHES and Governance to determine appropriate reallocation of funds.
- F. Funds can only be used for services provided up to the maximum amounts listed in the tables in the Services section below:

III. SERVICES

Amount	Shall be reimbursed by the DEPARTMENT for expenditures under:	Funds may be expended between:	Allocation Coding
<u>Up to \$17,764.14</u>	CDC Prevention Block Grant	September 30, 2020 and September 29, 2021	4323/BLK21/PNO

- A. The SUB-RECIPIENT shall conduct the following activities related to the PBG grant:
 - i. Strategy 1—Build Capacity to Address Overall Social Determinants of Health (SDoH)
 - 1. Community Health Needs Assessment Collaborative
 - a. Participate in the Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA) Collaborative and/or conduct a CHNA to include SDOH measures
 - ii. Strategy 2—Build Capacity to Address SDOH – Food Insecurity
 - 1. Convene Community Partners Working on Food Insecurity
 - a. Identify and convene community partners working on food insecurity to learn what efforts are underway in local communities
 - iii. Strategy 3—Build Community Partnerships
 - 1. Community Coalitions
 - a. Actively participate and support community coalitions related to healthy living
 - iv. Strategy 4—Create Healthy Environments in School Settings
 - 1. Safe Routes to School
 - a. Increase Physical Activity at School through the Safe Routes to School Program and SR2S policies and/or maps, etc.
 - 2. Build Partnerships to Support Local Needs
 - a. Build partnerships with education leaders to support local needs
 - v. Strategy 5—Create Healthy Environments in Worksite Settings
 - 1. Worksite ScoreCard (LHD)
 - a. Complete the CDC Worksite ScoreCard at your local health department on your anniversary date
 - b. Identify and create an action plan

Amount	Shall be reimbursed by the DEPARTMENT for expenditures under:	Funds may be expended between:	Allocation Coding
<u>Up to \$9,174.12</u>	CDC 1807 Grant (Physical Activity and Nutrition)	September 30, 2020 and September 29, 2021	4287/PN21

- B. The SUB-RECIPIENT shall conduct the following activities related to the 1807 grant:
 - i. Strategy 1—Implement Food Service Guidelines (FSG)
 - 1. Eat Well Utah
 - a. In partnership with EPICC staff, provide technical assistance and recommendations for Eat Well implementation to one worksite and community venue based on opportunities identified in the environment scan and patron needs assessments
 - 2. Eat Well Utah Award

- a. Promote the Eat Well Utah Award
- ii. Strategy 2—Increase Physical Activity Opportunities
 - 1. Transportation and Planning Coalitions
 - a. Participate in local or regional transportation and planning coalitions/committees

Amount	Shall be reimbursed by the DEPARTMENT for expenditures under:	Funds may be expended between:	Allocation Coding
<u>Up to \$4,080.00</u>	CDC 1815 Grant (Category A, Diabetes)	June 30, 2020 and June 29, 2021	4230/HRT21/DIAB
<u>Up to \$4,080.00</u>	CDC 1815 Grant (Category B, Heart Disease)	June 30, 2020 and June 29, 2021	4230/HRT21/HDST

C. The SUB-RECIPIENT shall conduct the following activities related to the 1815 grant:

- i. Strategy 1—Collaborate with payers and relevant public and private sector organizations within the state to expand the availability of the National DPP for one or more of the following groups: Medicaid beneficiaries; state/ public employees; employees of private sector organizations.
 - 1. National DPP Coverage
 - a. Use resources from the Coverage Toolkit to facilitate conversations with one new/ Y2 worksites about offering coverage of the National DPP for their employees. -State will offer refresher Coverage Toolkit Webinar
 - b. Encourage worksites to:
 - i. Offer the National DPP LCP
 - ii. Refer to existing National DPP LCPs
 - iii. Develop policy to provide payment of National DPP participation for employees
 - iv. Promote Intermountain’s “Weigh to Health” National DPP LCP to Associated Food Stores, Inc. companies
- ii. Strategy 2—Increase enrollment in CDC-recognized lifestyle change programs
 - 1. National DPP Enrollment
 - a. Promote and support existing and new National DPPs in your area; to include: TA, promotion and training on Compass, and facilitate partnerships among National DPPs
- iii. Strategy 3—Facilitate self-measured blood pressure monitoring
 - 1. Implement Self-Monitoring Blood Pressure (SMBP) Programs
 - a. Work with one clinic to implement self-monitoring blood pressure programs

Amount	Shall be reimbursed	Funds may be	Allocation Coding
--------	---------------------	--------------	-------------------

	by the DEPARTMENT for expenditures under:	expended between:	
<u>Up to \$13,600.00</u>	CDC 1817 Grant (Category A, Diabetes)	September 30, 2020 and September 29, 2021	4260/DSM21/DIAB
<u>Up to \$13,600.00</u>	CDC 1817 Grant (Category B, CVD)	September 30, 2020 and September 29, 2021	4260/DSM21/HDST

- D. The SUB-RECIPIENT shall conduct the following activities related to the 1817 grant:
- i. Strategy 1—Explore and test innovative ways to eliminate barriers to participation and retention in CDC recognized lifestyle change programs for type 2 diabetes prevention and/or ADA recognized/AADE-accredited diabetes self-management education and support (DSMES*) programs for diabetes management among high burden populations. Promote the continuing education module on diabetes risk and self-management for low-income WIC participants.
 1. Clinics; Educate Providers to Refer into DSMES
 - a. Work with one clinic to educate providers on how to refer patients with diabetes to DSMES, how to locate DSMES programs, and the 4 Critical Times to refer to DSME, as well as implement referral and follow up processes to DSMES in clinics and raise awareness of DSMES among healthcare providers
 2. The Association of Diabetes Care & Education Specialist (ADCES)/American Diabetes Association (ADA); Increase referrals to, participate in retention of DSMES; Marketing and Promoting
 - a. Work with one local ADCES accredited/ADA recognized DSMES providers to increase referrals to, participation in, and retention of DSMES through facilitating referring provider/clinic connections with DSMES programs, disseminating marketing materials, and promoting DSMES to persons with diabetes
 3. Non-Traditional; Satellite Sites
 - a. Work with at least one non-traditional location identified in Y2 and partner with ADCES accredited/ADA recognized DSMES programs to begin implementing satellite sites for offering DSMES. For areas where a non-traditional location was not identified in Y2, work to assess community need and identify 1-2 non-traditional locations that could serve as a satellite site for a current DSMES program.
 - ii. Strategy 2—Promote evidence-based quality measures
 1. Stratify Standard Quality Measures
 - a. Work with one clinic to stratify quality measures by high burden subpopulations
 2. Implement Clinic Interventions to Reduce Disparities

- a. Implement clinical interventions with one clinic to identify and/or address barriers to achieving blood pressure (BP) control

IV. RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SUB-RECIPIENT

- A. The SUB-RECIPIENT shall conform to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) including associated regulations and policies and Civil Rights laws, regulations and policies, which includes providing reasonable accommodations to those with disabilities and displaying required notices of rights.
- B. The SUB-RECIPIENT staff shall participate in at least (1) site visit with the DEPARTMENT staff.
- C. The SUB-RECIPIENT staff will attend the annual EPICC Forum.
- D. The SUB-RECIPIENT staff will attend the Healthy Living and Chronic Conditions Disease Management (CCDM) workgroups.
- E. The SUB-RECIPIENT shall provide detailed reports on progress and results by the following dates:
 - i. January 15, 2021
 - ii. April 15, 2021
 - iii. July 15, 2021
 - iv. October 15, 2021
- F. The SUB-RECIPIENT shall use Catalyst to document the progress made on the activities to the DEPARTMENT's Program Liaison. The SUB-RECIPIENT shall ensure that necessary information is entered into all required reporting fields.
- G. The SUB-RECIPIENT shall provide progress, results and performance measure data as outlined in Catalyst.
- H. The DEPARTMENT will provide additional evaluation criteria as agreed upon by EPICC and ULACHES.

V. RESPONSIBILITY OF DEPARTMENT

- A. The DEPARTMENT agrees to provide written confirmation of receipt of reports within 10 working days
- B. The DEPARTMENT agrees to provide written feedback on results or progress within 20 working days of receipt of quarterly progress and end-year reports.
 - i. The SUB-RECIPIENT agrees to reply to feedback, in the space provided in Catalyst, within 10 working days of receipt of DEPARTMENT feedback.
- C. The DEPARTMENT agrees to provide training and technical assistance as requested or needed.
- D. The DEPARTMENT agrees to conduct one site visit during the contract period at mutually agreed upon times with a jointly developed agenda during contract period.
- E. The DEPARTMENT agrees to communicate annual spending to the SUB-RECIPIENT.



COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: Month XX, 20XX

SUBMITTED BY: Kirk Bengé, Director, Public Health

TITLE: Consideration of an Agreement with the San Juan County Public Health Department and the State of Utah Health Department for Local Health Dept WIC Program - San Juan Amendment 2

RECOMMENDATION: Recommend Sign Contract

SUMMARY

The purpose of this amendment is to add funding for Year 2, (Federal Fiscal Year 2021)

The original amount was \$132,817. The funding amount will be increased by \$129,120 in federal funds for this upcoming fiscal year.

Special Provisions, effective 10/1/2020, is replacing Attachment A – Special Provisions, which was effective 10/1/2019.

HISTORY/PAST ACTION

This is a continuation of the WIC contract which has been renewed annually between the Utah Dept of Health and San Juan Public Health since 2015.

FISCAL IMPACT

These funds are included in the current proposed budget.



UTAH DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CONTRACT AMENDMENT

PO Box 144003, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
288 North 1460 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

2026713
Department Log Number

202700369
State Contract Number

1. **CONTRACT NAME:** The name of this contract is Local Health Dept WIC Program - San Juan Amendment 2.
2. **CONTRACTING PARTIES:** This contract amendment is between the Utah Department of Health (DEPARTMENT) and San Juan County (CONTRACTOR).
3. **PURPOSE OF CONTRACT AMENDMENT:** The purpose of this amendment is to add funding for Year 2, Federal Fiscal Year 2021.
4. **CHANGES TO CONTRACT:**

1. The contract amount is being changed. The original amount was \$132,817. The funding amount will be increased by \$129,120 in federal funds. New total funding is \$261,937.
2. Attachment A Special Provisions, effective 10/1/2020, is replacing Attachment A - Special Provisions, which was effective 10/1/2019.

DUNS: 079815014

Indirect Cost Rate: 0%

Add

Federal Program Name:	Women Infants & Children	Award Number:	6UT700709
Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	USDA - Food and Nutrition Service	Federal Award Identification Number:	216UT709W1003
CFDA Title:	SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, & CHILDREN	Federal Award Date:	10/1/2020
CFDA Number:	10.557	Funding Amount:	\$8800

Add

Federal Program Name:	Women Infants & Children	Award Number:	6UT700709
Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	USDA - Food and Nutrition Service	Federal Award Identification Number:	216UT709W1003

CFDA Title:	SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, & CHILDREN	Federal Award Date:	10/1/2020
CFDA Number:	10.557	Funding Amount:	\$11000

Add

Federal Program Name:	Women Infants & Children	Award Number:	6UT700709
Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	USDA - Food and Nutrition Service	Federal Award Identification Number:	216UT709W1003
CFDA Title:	SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, & CHILDREN	Federal Award Date:	10/1/2020
CFDA Number:	10.557	Funding Amount:	\$56731

Add

Federal Program Name:	Women Infants & Children	Award Number:	6UT700709
Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	USDA - Food and Nutrition Service	Federal Award Identification Number:	216UT709W1003
CFDA Title:	SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, & CHILDREN	Federal Award Date:	10/1/2020
CFDA Number:	10.557	Funding Amount:	\$7700

Add

Federal Program Name:	Women Infants & Children	Award Number:	6UT700709
Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	USDA - Food and Nutrition Service	Federal Award Identification Number:	216UT709W1003
CFDA Title:	SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, & CHILDREN	Federal Award Date:	10/1/2020
CFDA Number:	10.557	Funding Amount:	\$41400

Add

Federal Program Name:	Women Infants & Children	Award Number:	6UT700809
-----------------------	--------------------------	---------------	-----------

Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	USDA - Food and Nutrition Service	Federal Award Identification Number:	206UT809W5003
CFDA Title:	SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, & CHILDREN	Federal Award Date:	2/18/2020
CFDA Number:	10.557	Funding Amount:	\$3489

All other conditions and terms in the original contract and previous amendments remain the same.

- 5. EFFECTIVE DATE OF AMENDMENT: This amendment is effective 10/01/2020

- 6. DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED INTO THIS CONTRACT BY REFERENCE BUT NOT ATTACHED:
 - A. All other governmental laws, regulations, or actions applicable to services provided herein.
 - B. All Assurances and all responses to bids as provided by the CONTRACTOR.
 - C. Utah Department of Health General Provisions and Business Associate Agreement currently in effect until 6/30/2023.

- 7. This contract, its attachments, and all documents incorporated by reference constitute the entire agreement between the parties and supersedes all prior written or oral agreements between the parties relating to the subject matter of this contract.

Contract with Utah Department of Health and San Juan County, Log # 2026713

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties enter into this agreement.

CONTRACTOR

STATE

By: _____
Kenneth Maryboy Date
County Commission Chair

By: _____
Shari A. Watkins, C.P.A. Date
Director, Office Fiscal Operations

ATTACHMENT A
WIC Program Special Provisions
For San Juan County Health Department

I. FUNDING AND PAYMENTS

- A. Nutrition Service Administration (NSA) - The DEPARTMENT shall reimburse the CONTRACTOR up to \$125,631 for Federal Fiscal Year 2021 for WIC operational activities (as submitted on the monthly expense report) by the CONTRACTOR directly related to the program. This agreement will be amended to add funding each fiscal year.
- B. Peer Counseling (PC) - The DEPARTMENT shall reimburse the CONTRACTOR up to \$3,489 for Federal Fiscal Year 2021 for Peer Counseling (PC) activities (as submitted on the monthly expense report). NSA funding can be used to supplement the budget in this category. This agreement will be amended to add funding each fiscal year.
- C. The CONTRACTOR shall report the costs under Sections I.A. and I.B. to the DEPARTMENT based on the following categories:
 - 1. Breastfeeding Promotion - NSA
 - 2. Nutrition Education - NSA
 - 3. Administration - NSA
 - 4. Client Services - NSA
 - 5. Technology Services - NSA
 - 6. Peer Counseling - PC
- D. The CONTRACTOR shall spend at least one-sixth (17%) of the reimbursement amount under Section I.A. on Nutrition Education.
- E. Paper Food Vouchers and WIC Food Benefits issued to eWIC cards provided under the WIC Program are considered Federal Financial Assistance that must be reported in the LHD Single Audit Report. The Voucher Redeemed Amount for the calendar year will be made available the following January by the DEPARTMENT.

II. PROVISIONS INCORPORATED INTO THIS AGREEMENT BY REFERENCE, BUT NOT ATTACHED:

- A. Utah Department of Health WIC Program Policy and Procedures (P&P) manual, current version as amended annually.
- B. All other governmental laws, rules, regulations, or actions applicable to services provided herein.

III. SERVICES

- A. The CONTRACTOR shall furnish services as specified herein to residents of the area served by the CONTRACTOR. These services shall be provided in the CONTRACTOR'S facilities, by the CONTRACTOR'S employees and by others designated by the CONTRACTOR to carry out the provisions of this agreement.
- B. The CONTRACTOR shall comply with the regulations and guidelines set forth in the Federal code (§42 U.S.C. 1786), and shall abide by the fiscal and operational policy requirements prescribed by the DEPARTMENT and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA).
- C. The CONTRACTOR shall provide nutrition education to all adult participants, to parents or guardians of child participants and, when applicable, to child participants in order to conform to the DEPARTMENT'S and the CONTRACTOR'S Nutrition Education Plans and to USDA Regulations.

- D. The CONTRACTOR shall adhere to the Nutrition Education Plan submitted by the CONTRACTOR and approved by the DEPARTMENT each January. That document provides a plan for improving the program quality and for meeting State performance objectives for the WIC program.
- E. The CONTRACTOR must maintain competent professional staff, facilities and equipment needed to perform the measurements and tests necessary for determining the eligibility of persons for WIC participation.
- F. The CONTRACTOR shall utilize the WIC food delivery system approved by the DEPARTMENT for making WIC food benefits available to participants.
- G. The CONTRACTOR shall provide WIC food benefits to all categories of eligible participants: infants, children, and pregnant, postpartum or lactating women.
- H. The CONTRACTOR shall exchange participant information with surrounding health agencies and with agencies with overlapping WIC services areas in accordance with Food and Consumer Services (FCS) Instruction 801-1 (confidentiality) to prevent dual participation.
- I. The CONTRACTOR shall operate the WIC Program in accordance with the provisions of the DEPARTMENT'S current WIC Program Policies and Procedures Manual, as amended.
- J. The CONTRACTOR shall ensure the CONTRACTOR'S WIC Director/Coordinator or designee attends all Utah Association of WIC Administrators meetings.
- K. The CONTRACTOR shall securely store, maintain and convey all serialized inventory equipment, paper vouchers, eWIC cards and other disposables as required in the DEPARTMENT'S WIC Policy and Procedures Manual.
- L. The CONTRACTOR shall immediately conduct an initial investigation and follow-up of suspected fraudulent acts committed by WIC Program participants detected either by the CONTRACTOR or by the DEPARTMENT, and notify the DEPARTMENT immediately in every case when fraud is discovered or is confirmed to have occurred.
- M. The CONTRACTOR shall serve only participants who reside within the geographical area served by the CONTRACTOR. If CONTRACTOR serves specialty clients, it shall serve only those clients who reside within the geographical area served by the CONTRACTOR and shall serve only the special population(s) approved by the State WIC office. An exception(s) to this provision requires prior written approval by the State WIC Director, which will be reviewed on a case by case basis.
- N. If a printer error occurs, a CONTRACTOR's clinic is NOT allowed to print vouchers until the printer that experienced the error is identified. After that printer is identified, all other printers may continue to operate. The clinic will need to re-print any vouchers that were printed in error for a timeframe determined by the DEPARTMENT.

IV. NON-DISCRIMINATION

- A. The CONTRACTOR shall comply with all provisions required by the implementing regulations of the USDA, Department of Justice Enforcement Guidelines at 28 CFR 50.3, and FNS directives and guidelines (available at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/cr/civil-rights-laws-regulations>). No person shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, religious creed, disability, age, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA be excluded from participation in, be denied benefits of, or otherwise be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity for which the CONTRACTOR receives Federal financial assistance from FCS. CONTRACTOR hereby gives assurance that the CONTRACTOR will immediately take measures necessary to effectuate all non-discrimination requirements.
- B. The CONTRACTOR shall compile data, maintain records and submit reports as required to permit effective enforcement of the nondiscrimination laws and permit authorized USDA

personnel during normal working hours to review such records, books and accounts as needed to ascertain compliance with the nondiscrimination laws. If any violations of these provisions are discovered, the DEPARTMENT or the USDA Food and Nutrition Service shall have the right to seek judicial enforcement of these provisions. These provisions are binding on the CONTRACTOR, its successors, transferees, and assignees, as long as they receive assistance or retain possession of any assistance from the DEPARTMENT.

V. COMPUTER EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES:

- A. The DEPARTMENT agrees to provide serially numbered food vouchers and certain blank forms to the CONTRACTOR. The DEPARTMENT also agrees to provide serially numbered eWIC cards to the CONTRACTOR.
- B. The CONTRACTOR owns the computers in operation at all local WIC clinics within the CONTRACTOR's jurisdiction. Computers and equipment that must be maintained and/or purchased by the CONTRACTOR includes, but may not be limited to: Personal Computers, Laptop Computers, LCD Screens/Monitors, Computer Mice, LCD Projectors, Report Printers and all other equipment required to maintain business operations.
- C. The CONTRACTOR shall replace any computer equipment that is lost, stolen, or abused.
- D. The DEPARTMENT agrees to replace all Magnetic Ink Character Recognition (MICR) Printers, Scanners, Signature Pads, and eWIC card reader devices that support the VISION (WIC) Information System food benefits issuance.
- E. The CONTRACTOR shall purchase MICR toner for all MICR printers within the CONTRACTOR's jurisdiction.
- F. The DEPARTMENT shall provide card acceptor devices to the CONTRACTOR for purposes of placing food benefits on electronic WIC smart cards.
- G. The CONTRACTOR shall supply its WIC Program with the computers purchased with Technology Services funding from this Contract. Computers and equipment for other CONTRACTOR programs may not be purchased using funding from this Contract.

VI. NSA FUNDS MODIFICATION:

- A. The total amount of NSA Funds under this Contract, as outlined in Section I.A., is subject to quarterly adjustments as required by State or Federal requirements and practices. Unused funds may lapse and revert back to the DEPARTMENT or USDA for reallocation.

VII. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION:

- A. The DEPARTMENT agrees to provide workshops and other training for CONTRACTOR'S employees regarding nutrition, medical certification, eWIC benefit issuance and maintenance, and fiscal/administrative aspects of WIC.
- B. The CONTRACTOR shall ensure that all employees of the CONTRACTOR involved in the WIC Program have an opportunity to attend DEPARTMENT-sponsored seminars and/or training meetings.

VIII. REQUIRED DEPARTMENT APPROVALS:

- A. The CONTRACTOR must obtain written approval from the DEPARTMENT to purchase capital equipment items. Capital Equipment items are defined as items or a group of like items with a cost of \$5,000.00 or more and a useful life of at least one year. Examples are building signage, building upgrades/modifications and vehicles. Computers and computer equipment does not require DEPARTMENT approval to purchase.
- B. The CONTRACTOR shall pay for all in-state and out-of-state travel by WIC staff. The CONTRACTOR must obtain written approval from the DEPARTMENT for out-of-state travel.

IX. INTERGENERATIONAL POVERTY EFFORTS

- A. In an effort to support families who are experiencing intergenerational poverty and who desire to break the cycle for themselves and their children, the DEPARTMENT will reach out directly to families who self-identify or who have signed formal releases of consent to have their information shared with local health departments and other state agencies to be informed of resources and programs available that will promote positive health outcomes for themselves and their children.

X. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- A. If any dispute arises between the parties during the activities described by this Contract, the parties agree to seek a resolution through open communication and dialogue.
- B. Either party may request a conference to resolve a disputed issue (consistent with Utah Admin. Code R380-10-3, which supports dispute resolution at the lowest level possible).
- C. If a resolution cannot be reached, Department may bring supervisory personnel into the process to facilitate resolving issues and achieving agreement.
- D. The provisions in Sections X.B. and X.C. are not mandatory.
- E. If a dispute is not resolved within 30 days of Department decision, the Department's decision is considered the "initial agency determination," as defined by Utah Admin. Code R380-10-2(3).
- F. These provisions do not preclude or affect the provisions, rights, limitations, or timelines for appealing Department actions that are provided or required by Utah Code §§ 26-23-2, 26-1-4.1, or 26-1-7.1, Utah Admin. Code R380-10, or the Utah Administrative Procedures Act (Utah Code § 63G-4).
- G. In the event of any conflict between the Dispute Resolution provisions in the Special Provisions of this Contract with applicable law or rules, the provisions of the applicable law or rules shall control.

Procurement Request/Approval



SAN JUAN COUNTY

Department: Landfill Operations

Date: 9/21/20

Grant Program:

N/A

Items: 2011 Kohler 40kW Generator S/N:3028587, Model # 40REOZJC

<input type="checkbox"/> State Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> GSA Contract	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sole Source	<input type="checkbox"/> Sealed Bid
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Written Quote	<input type="checkbox"/> Verbal Quote	<input type="checkbox"/> Budgeted Item	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non Budgeted
Copies Attached: BOS, for sale ad		Other:	

Trade In: N/A

Replacement: Needed to replace defective unit #743, 30kW Kohler Generator

Procurement Approval Information:

Needed to replace recently failed unit. Necessary for compliance per Landfill Operating Permit.

Additional Comments:

See attached Justification comments, comp bids, BOS, Service Tech comments

Low Bid: buying used, no bid

Cost: \$12,500

Department Approval

Requested: Randy E. Rarick

Amount of Request: \$12,500

Department Manager Signature:

County Commission Approval

County Commission Approval:

Date: 10/6/20

Approved

Declined

County Fixed

Purchase

PO #:2020-10

Equipment

Added to

Asset Number:

Order Issued:

Number:

Insurance:

Bill of Sale

BE IT KNOWN, that for payment in the sum of \$ 12,500.00, the full receipt of which is acknowledged, the undersigned Phillips Electric Inc (Seller) hereby sells and transfers to San Juan County Utah (Buyer), the following described motor vehicle (Vehicle):




Make:	Kohler	Model or series:	40REOZJC
Year:	12/11	Color:	Tan
Serial #	3028587	Service Duty:	Standby
HZ: 60 RPM: 1800		FUEL: Diesel	
		BATT: 12 V	

The sale is subject to the following conditions and representations:

Seller acknowledges receipt of \$ 12,500.00 full payment from the Buyer.

Seller warrants to Buyer that Seller has full authority to sell and transfer said property. The property is sold free of all liens, encumbrances, liabilities, and adverse claims of every nature and description whatsoever.

Seller has no knowledge of any hidden defects in and to the Machine and believes to the best of the Seller's knowledge that the Equipment being sold is in good operating condition. Said Machine is otherwise sold in "as is" condition and where currently located.

Date signed:	September 22, 2020		
Seller:	Phillips Electric		
Buyer:	San Juan County Utah		
In the presence of (Witness):			
Print name of witness:	Carol Schulthuis		

570 Turner Drive
Durango, CO 81303



Icom America Inc.
12421 Willows Road NE
Kirkland WA 98034

Phone: (425) 454-8155
Fax: (425) 454-1509

QUOTE

Quote Number	SQ 48318 000
Date	9/10/2020
Customer	3074
Branch / Plant	165
Salesperson	
Page	2

3074 **S**
O
L
D

T
O

NASPO NonTaxable Customer Pricer Code
12421 Willows Road NE
Kirkland WA 98034

3074 **S**
H
I
P

T
O

San Juan County Sheriff - Jails
297 S Main St
ATTN: Robert Winder
Monticello UT 84535

Order Date	Customer PO	Ship Via	Notes
9/10/2020	San Juan County Sheriff	FedEx Ground	

Line	Item	Description	Quantity	Availability	Unit Price	Ext. Price
	Japan					
7.000	HM166LS	Earphone mic 2-pin right angle	18	In Stock	62.12	1,118.16
	China					
8.000	HM186LS	Compact speaker mic	6	In Stock	40.79	244.74
	Taiwan					
9.000	IP100FS	PC Dispatch Software IP Radios	1	In Stock	1,035.38	1,035.38
	Japan					
10.000	F5021 51	F5021 51 136-174MHz 50W Mobile	1	In Stock	247.86	247.86
	Japan					
11.000	ICT CS120-20AG/IC04	120V power supply & cover	1	In Stock	156.88	156.88
	Canada					
12.000	OPC2276	OPC2276 5M Connection Cable	1	In Stock	134.91	134.91
	Japan					
13.000	OPC1122U	OPC1122U USB Clone Cable	1	In Stock	62.12	62.12
	F1721					
	Japan					
14.000	CSF3021/F5021/F5011	CSF3021/F5021/F5011 Program	1	In Stock	45.18	45.18
	United States					
15.000	OPC478UC	PC to HH USB prog cable	3	In Stock	43.92	131.76
	Japan					
16.000	INSTALL-IAS	INSTALL-IAS	2	In Stock	56.25	112.50
	United States					

These are for the modification of the VE-SP1 to be PTT function (Andy knows about this)

ok my covers act



Icom America Inc.
12421 Willows Road NE
Kirkland WA 98034

Phone: (425) 454-8155
Fax: (425) 454-1509

QUOTE

Quote Number	SQ 48318 000
Date	9/10/2020
Customer	3074
Branch / Plant	165
Salesperson	
Page	1

3074 **S**
O
L
D
T
O

NASPO NonTaxable Customer Pricer Code
12421 Willows Road NE
Kirkland WA 98034

3074 **S**
H
I
P
T
O

San Juan County Sheriff - Jails
297 S Main St
ATTN: Robert Winder
Monticello UT 84535

Order Date	Customer PO	Ship Via	Notes
9/10/2020	San Juan County Sheriff	FedEx Ground	

Line	Item	Description	Quantity	Avallability	Unit Price	Ext. Price
------	------	-------------	----------	--------------	------------	------------

THANK YOU FOR YOUR VALUED INTEREST IN ICOM'S QUALITY LINE OF PRODUCTS!
 QUOTATION: Valid for 30 days after date of issuance.
 QUOTATION: Valid for 90 days after date of issuance for State, Local and Federal Clients
 STOCK: Equipment on hand is not guaranteed; ETA's will be provided ARO.
 EXPORT ASSISTANCE: REFER TO E.A.R. FOR SUPPORT VISIT: <https://www.bis.doc.gov/>

NASPO NATIONWIDE CONTRACT
 EFFECTIVE DATES: 04/01/15-06/30/21
 CONTRACT NUMBER: 06913
 FOB DESTINATION (SURFACE)
 DELIVERY: WITHIN 30-60 DAYS FROM RECEIPT OF ORDER
 LARGER PURCHASES: DELIVERY 90-120 DAYS ARO.
 PAYMENT TERMS: 2% 20, N30(OAC)
 CREDIT CARDS ACCEPTED: VISA, MC, AMEX (CASH DISCOUNT N/A TO CREDIT CARD ORDERS)
 QUOTATIONS: VALID FOR 60 DAYS AFTER ISSUANCE

1.000	IP100H Japan	WLAN Handheld	20	In Stock	285.51	5,710.20
The programming software is available as a free download on our B2B System.						
2.000	BC211 Japan	6 Unit Charger w/BC157S IP100H	2	In Stock	320.02	640.04
3.000	BC202IP2 Japan	Rapid charger w/BC123SA	6	In Stock	43.92	263.52
4.000	BP272 Japan	1880mAh Li-ion battery IP100H	6	In Stock	87.22	523.32
5.000	VEPG4 Japan	RoIP gateway; LTE/IP	1	In Stock	1,882.50	1,882.50
6.000	VESP1	Speakerphone	2	In Stock	345.12	690.24



Icom America Inc.
 12421 Willows Road NE
 Kirkland WA 98034

Phone: (425) 454-8155
 Fax: (425) 454-1509

Item 7.

QUOTE

Quote Number	SQ 48318 000
Date	9/10/2020
Customer	3074
Branch / Plant	165
Salesperson	
Page	3

3074 **S**
O
L
D

T
O

NASPO NonTaxable Customer Pricer Code
 12421 Willows Road NE
 Kirkland WA 98034

3074 **S**
H
I
P

T
O

San Juan County Sheriff - Jails
 297 S Main St
 ATTN: Robert Winder
 Monticello UT 84535

Order Date	Customer PO	Ship Via	Notes
9/10/2020	San Juan County Sheriff	FedEx Ground	

Line	Item	Description	Quantity	Availability	Unit Price	Ext. Price
------	------	-------------	----------	--------------	------------	------------

Sales Tax 0.00

TOTAL AMOUNT 12,999.31 USD

This quote is FOB Destination



COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: October 6, 2020

SUBMITTED BY: Nick Sandberg, San Juan County Public Lands Coordinator

TITLE: Bluff River Trail Support Letter, Nick Sandberg, San Juan County Public Lands Coordinator

RECOMMENDATION: Make a motion approving support of the Bluff River Trail System

SUMMARY

The Bluff City Historic Preservation Association (BCHPA), in partnership with the Grand Canyon Trust (GCT), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Monticello Field Office (MFO), and local property owners, proposes to construct, maintain, and designate 6.7 miles of trails on BLM-managed lands, as part of a greater 10.6 mile Bluff River Trail system. The non-motorized trail system would be developed for pedestrian, bicycle (including e-bikes), and equestrian use on BLM-managed lands and private land easements (3.9 miles), along the San Juan River between Sand Island Recreation Area and the town of Bluff, UT. The trail would be natural surface, except for a 0.9-mile accessible trail segment (segment #2) that may require additional materials for hardening to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards.

HISTORY/PAST ACTION

N/A

FISCAL IMPACT

N/A



SAN JUAN COUNTY COMMISS

Item 8.

Kenneth Maryboy	Chairman
Willie Grayeyes	Vice-Chair
Bruce Adams	Commissioner
Mack McDonald	Administrator

October 6, 2020

Amber Johnson, Acting Field Manager
Monticello Field Office
Bureau of Land Management
PO Box 7
Monticello, Utah 84535

Re: Bluff River Trail

Dear Ms. Johnson:

Accessibility to public lands from a community center such as proposed for the Bluff River Trail, is a key element that contributes to quality of life. Rural communities that are able to provide infrastructure to neighboring natural amenities are more apt to recruit business expansion and future community investments. The proposed development of the Bluff River Trail would contribute to pedestrian, bicycle (including e-bikes), and equestrian uses that would not only support the local community, but also provide an added amenity for Bluff visitors.

This proposal is consistent with policies in the San Juan County Resource Management Plan which promote the development of recreation and tourism opportunities which would enhance the economy and local life style. For these reasons San Juan County supports the proposed Bluff River Trail project.

As to the environmental assessment we offer the following comment.

Page 2 section 1.5 Relationship to Statutes, Regulations, Other NEPA Documents.

Policies listed for the San Juan County Resource Management Plan could also include ... 3) "Encourage providing outstanding San Juan River-related recreational opportunities and visitor experiences while protecting natural and cultural resource values ..." This policy directly relates to impacts identified for recreation opportunities on pages 22 and 23 of the environmental assessment.

We concur with the Finding of No Significant Impact in the unsigned document.

We appreciate this opportunity to comment and look forward to completion of the project.

Sincerely,


Kenneth Maryboy
Commission Chairman

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Summary of Proposed Project

The Bluff City Historic Preservation Association (BCHPA), in partnership with the Grand Canyon Trust (GCT), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Monticello Field Office (MFO), and local property owners, proposes to construct, maintain, and designate 6.7 miles of trails on BLM-managed lands, as part of a greater 10.6 mile Bluff River Trail system. The non-motorized trail system would be developed for pedestrian, bicycle (including e-bikes), and equestrian use on BLM-managed lands and private land easements (3.9 miles), along the San Juan River between Sand Island Recreation Area and the town of Bluff, UT. The trail would be natural surface, except for a 0.9-mile accessible trail segment (segment #2) that may require additional materials for hardening to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards. In addition to two proposed trailheads on private property in Bluff, an approximately one-acre trailhead would be developed and maintained on BLM-managed lands along the entry road to Sand Island Recreation Area to provide access at the trail system's west end. The trail system would also be accessible from an existing parking area in Loop A of Sand Island Campground. The segments of trail on private property have already been constructed but the trailheads have not. See **Appendix E** for additional maps.

Map 1 Proposed Bluff River Trail Map



FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Environmental Assessment DOI-BLM-UT-Y020-2017-001-EA

Bluff River Trail

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) conducted an Environmental Assessment (EA) to assess the potential impacts associated with the construction, maintenance, and designation of the Bluff River Trail on BLM-managed lands. The EA is incorporated by reference for this Finding of No Significant Impact. The Proposed Action would meet the underlying need for the BLM to provide multiple recreational uses of public lands and to sustain a wide range of recreation opportunities and potential experiences for visitors and residents, while supporting local economic stability, and sustaining the recreation resource base and other sensitive resource values. The proposed action has been reviewed and found to be in conformance with the Monticello Field Office (MFO) Resource Management Plan (RMP), as amended, dated November 2008.

Based on the analysis of potential environmental impacts contained in the EA, and considering significance criteria in 40 CFR 1508.27, I have determined that construction, maintenance and designation of the Bluff River Trail, as outlined in the Proposed Action, is not a major federal action and will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, individually or cumulatively with other actions in the general area. The project does not in and of itself have international, national, regional, or state-wide importance. No environmental effects identified for this alternative meet the definition of significance in context or intensity as defined in 40 CFR 1508.27(b) (1-10) and do not exceed those effects described in the 2008 MFO RMP, as amended. Therefore, an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.

Authorized Officer	Date
<i>Amber Denton Johnson, Acting Field Manager</i>	

Attachment: Environmental Assessment (DOI-BLM-UT-Y020-2017-001-EA)



American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

Seven (7) Page Form

An Application from the State Highway or Transportation Department of UTAH

for (select one of the following):

- Elimination of a U.S. (Interstate) Route 163
- Establishment of a U.S. (Interstate) Route
- Extension of a U.S. (Interstate)Route
- Relocation of a U.S. (Interstate) Route
- Establishment of a U.S. Alternate Route
- Establishment of a Temporary U.S. Route
- **Recognition of a Business Route on U.S. (Interstate) Route
- **Recognition of a By-Pass Route on U.S. Route
- *Establishment of a U.S. Bicycle Route (A NEW FORM IS BEING DEVELOPED FOR US BIKE ROUTES – continue to use this until the new form is finalized)
- *Relocation of a U.S. Bicycle Route (SAA)

Between: Crescent Junction and Bluff

The following states or states are involved:

Utah

For AASHTO Use Only
Date received
Date application acknowledged
Date to Special Committee on U.S. Route Numbering
Date considered by the Standing Committee on Highways
Action of Standing Committee on Highways
Member Department Notified

- * **Bicycle Routes:** Attach map on page 3. Obtain Signatures, page 4. Type a statement indicating that there are no deficiencies on the proposed US Bike Route. Other sections not applicable.
- ***"Recognition of..."A local vicinity map needed on page 3. On page 6 a short statement to the effect that there are no deficiencies on proposed routing, if true, will suffice.
- If there are deficiencies, they should be indicated in accordance with page 5 instructions.
- All applications requesting Interstate establishment or changes are subject to concurrence and approval by the FHWA

DATE SUBMITTED Select from Calendar:08/01/2008

SUBMIT APPLICATION ELECTRONICALLY TO usroutes@aaashto.org

You may convert your form as a PDF file, print then scan or submit as a saved word file. Send only one copy, please.

The purpose of the **United States (U.S.) Numbered Highway System** is to facilitate travel on the main interstate highways, over the shortest routes and the best available roads. A route should form continuity of available facilities through two or more states that accommodate the most important and heaviest motor traffic flow in the area.

The routes comprising the **National System of Interstate and Defense Highways** will be marked with its own distinctive route marker shield and will have a numbering system that is separate and apart from the U.S. Numbered Highway System. For the convenience of the motorist, there must be continuity and a uniform pattern of marking and numbering these Interstate routes without regard to state lines.

The U.S. Numbered System was established in 1926 and the Interstate Numbered System was established in 1956. Both have reached the period of review, revision, and consolidation. They now need perfecting rather than expansion. Therefore, any proposed alteration in the established systems should be extremely meritorious and thoroughly, though concisely, explained in order that the Special Committee on U.S. Route Numbering and the Standing Committee on Highways of the Association may give prompt and proper consideration to each and every request made by a member department.

Explanation and Reasons for the Request (US and Interstates Only): (Keep concise and pertinent.) The first 137 miles of US-163 are concurrent with US-191. The local Region office has proposed eliminating this concurrency to reduce concurrent signing and simplify matters for the traveling public.

Date facility available to traffic Existing Facility

Does the petition propose a new routing over a portion of an existing U.S. Route? No
If so, where?


Does the petition propose a new routing over a portion of an existing Interstate Route? No
If so, where?

STATE OF UTAH

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION



Section of US-163 Proposed for Elimination



UDOT Cartography Section



Map of state, or portion thereof, indicating proposed addition or change in the (This includes US, Interstate Bicycle Routes)




Item 10.

U.S. Numbered or Interstate Numbered System:

There are two ways to do this follow the instructions below or convert your map in PDF format and submit as a separate document along with this application to usroutes@ashto.org. It is your preference, however all files are converted to PDF once received by AASHTO.

INSERT YOUR MAP HERE


INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO INSERT A FILE:

- Go to the top "Standard Formatting" toolbar and
- Select "View"
- Select "Toolbars"
- Select "Forms"
- Once the Forms toolbar is in view you can unlock the FORM's Gold Lock that looks like this 
- Insert your MAP as a file or picture by selecting "Insert" from the standard formatting toolbar
- Relock the Gold Lock to protect the form 
- If this doesn't work, then send the MAP as an attachment with your email along with the application. 

(A photographic reduction or section of departmental map attached to this sheet. May be folded to sheet size, but do not use a map larger than four 8.5 x 11 inch sheets in size.)

(Indicate termini and control points on the map for the route, and number them in sequence. Use the same numbers in column 1 tabulation, page 6, when listing mileage. **Towns, cities, major highway intersections and state lines to be used as control points.** The top of column 1, page 6, will be one terminus, and column 1 will give the log of the route as needed to describe the route in the Association publication *U.S. Numbered Highways* if the application is approved by the Standing Committee on Highways.)

The State agrees and pledges its good faith that it will not erect, remove, or change any U.S. or Interstate Route M on any road without the authorization, consent, or approval of the Standing Committee on Highways of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, notwithstanding the fact that the changes proposed are entirely within this State.



The weighted average daily traffic volume along the proposed route, as shown on the map on page 3, is N/A as compared to N/A for the year _____ for all other U.S. Numbered Routes in the State.



The Purpose and Policy in the Establishment and Development of the United States Numbered Highways, as Retained from October 3, 1991 or the Purpose and Policy in the Establishment of a Marking System of the Routes Comprising the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways as Retained from August 10, 1973 has been read and is accepted.

In our opinion, this petition complies with the above applicable policy.


Chief Executive Officer

(Signature Required – see note below)

(Member Department)

This petition is authorized by official action of John Njord

under date of _____ as follows: (Copy excerpt from minutes.)

(This includes US, Interstates and Bicycle Routes)

A letter from your Chief Executive Officer with the CEO's signature is sufficient when submitting your application, if you choose not to include the signature on this form.

Instructions for Preparation of Page 6

Column 1: Control Points and Mileage. Top of column is one terminus of road. Indicate control points by identical number as shown on map on page 3. Show mileage between control points in miles and tenths.

Column 2: Pavement Type.	Code
High type, heavy duty	H
Intermediate type	I
Low type, dustless	L (show in red)
Not paved	N (show in red)

Column 3: Pavement Condition	Code
Excellent	E
Good	G
Fair	F (show in red)
Poor	P (show in red)

NOTE: In columns 2 and 3, where pavements types and conditions change, the location of the change shall be indicated by a short horizontal line at the proper place opposite the mileage log and the proper code letter (shown above) shall be entered in the respective column between the locations so indicated.

Column 4: Traffic. Indicate average daily traffic volumes in this column. Points of changes in these data to be indicated by short horizontal lines opposite the appropriate mileage point on the mileage log. Any existing main line rail crossing that is not separated shall be indicated at the appropriate mileage point by RXR - black if signalized - red if not protected by signals.

Columns 5 & 6 Pavement Width and Shoulder Width. These columns to be completed by comparing standards of highway involved with applicable AASHTO standards. Entries that fall to the right of the tolerance lines (dashed) should be shaded in red. If there are no deficiencies indicate by use of the word NONE.

Columns 7 & 8 Major Structures. Show in these columns those structures that do not meet AASHTO standards. Show by horizontal line sufficiently long to indicate percentage of deficiency. Portion on right of tolerance line shall be shown in red. Indicate length of structure in feet immediately under the line. Any sub-standard highway underpass structure shall be shown opposite the appropriate mileage point by the designation LP with the vertical clearance in feet following and shown in red. If there are no deficiencies indicate by the use of the word NONE.

Column 9: Vertical Sight Distance. Items to be shown in this column as a horizontal line, the length of which will indicate the deficiency as determined in accordance with comparisons with comparable AASHTO standards. Portions of the line past the tolerance line shall be shown in red.

Column 10: Horizontal Curvature. Curves in excess of AASHTO applicable standards to be shown in this column by a short horizontal line with degree of curve shown immediately above the line. To be shown in red.

Column 11 Percent Grades. Show by horizontal lines opposite proper mileage point on mileage log. Show percent of grade above the line and length of grade in feet immediately below. To be shown in red.

What follows is an Excel worksheet that you can open by right clicking your mouse and select "Worksheet Object" – you can then Edit, Open or Convert but you must first unlock the form as show when inserting maps.

Double click inside frame to release excel worksheet. Click outside frame to re-lock. (US and Interstates Only)

Item 10.

Mileage	Control Points Mileage	Pavement T	Pavement Cor	Traffic AC	Pavement Width Deficiency		Shoulder Width Deficiency		Major Structures				Vertical Sight Distance Deficiency				Horizontal Curvature		Pa G						
					Percent				Percent				Percent				Percent				Degrees	Le			
					10	20	30	40	20	40	60	80	10	20	30	40	20	40		60			80	20	40
0																									
20																									
40																									
60																									
80																									
100																									
120																									
140																									
160																									

Not applicable - Route proposed for Elimination

(This includes US, Interstates and Bicycle Routes)**Contact regarding this application:**

Name: Peter Jager, P.E., PTOE

Address: 4501 S 2700 W Box 143600 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-3600

Telephone Number: 801-965-4560


Fax Number: 801-965-4551

Email Address: pjager@utah.gov

Description to be provided to the AASHTO Highways Special Committee on US Route Number (USRN) when they review this application:

- Where does the route begin? (Intersection or Mile Marker) Crescent Junction: I-70 & US-191
- Describe where it is going? Southerly through southeast Utah into Arizona
- What type of facility is it traveling over? (New alignment or over an existing pathway) Existing
- Give the direction of travel(north, east, south, and west) South
- Name the focal point city or cities Moab, Monticello, Blanding, Bluff, Kayenta
- Length of route in miles. 201
- Where does it end? (Terminal intersection or mile marker) Jct US-160 in Kayenta

Item 10.



AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY OFFICIALS



AN APPLICATION
FROM THE STATE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT OF
ARIZONA



FOR

- the Establishment of a U.S. Route
- the Relocation of U.S. Route 164
- the Extension of U.S. Route
- the Establishment of an Alternate U.S. Route
- the Establishment of a Temporary U.S. Route
- * the Recognition of a Business Route on U.S. Route
- * the Recognition of a By-pass Route on U.S. Route

BETWEEN
Cortez, Colo. and Tuba City, Arizona
Kayenta, Ariz. and Crescent Jct., Utah

The Following
State or States are
Involved:

(In case more than one State is involved, an application must be submitted by each State for that portion within the respective State.)

ARIZONA

UTAH

NEW MEXICO

COLORADO

.....

.....

Date Received	<u>6/11/69</u>
Date application acknowledged	<u>6/18/69</u>
Date to Route Number Committee	<u>9/23/69</u>
Date considered by Executive Committee	<u>10/26/69</u>
Action of Executive Committee	
Member Department Notified	<u>11/14/69</u>

(This block to be completed by AASHO General Office.)

Date Submitted:

6 June, 1969

* A local vicinity map needed on Page 3. On Page 5 a short statement to the effect that there are no deficiencies on proposed routing, if true, will suffice. If there are deficiencies, they should be so indicated in accordance with Page 4 instructions.

SUBMIT SIX COPIES

The State Highway Department agrees and pledges its good faith that it will not erect, remove, or change any U. S. Route Markers on any road without the authorization, consent, or approval of the Executive Committee of the American Association of State Highway Officials, notwithstanding the fact that the changes proposed are entirely within this State.

US 164 & SR 464

The weighted average daily traffic volume along the proposed route, as shown on the map on page 3, is 1,207 as compared to 2,388 for the year of 1968 for all other U. S. Numbered routes in the State.

The "Purpose and Policy in the Establishment and Development of United States Numbered Highways, as Revised August 1, 1962" has been read and is accepted.

In our opinion, this petition complies with the above policy:


(Signature)

Chief Administrative Official, ARIZONA STATE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT
(Member Department)

This petition is authorized by official action of Arizona State Highway Commission
under date of 6 June 1969 as follows: (Copy excerpt from Minutes)

WHEREAS, it has been recommended by the Navajo Trail Association and concurred in by Utah, New Mexico, and Colorado States that U.S. Route 164 from U.S. Route 89 near Tuba City, Arizona, northeasterly via Kayenta, Mexican Water and Teec Nos Pos to Cortez, Colorado, be designated as a part of U.S. Route 160, that present U.S. Route 160 from Cortez, Colorado, northwesterly to Monticello, Utah, be redesignated as U.S. Route 666 and that the highway from Kayenta, Arizona, northerly via Monticello, Utah, to Crescent Junction be designated as U.S. Route 164, and

WHEREAS, the redesignation and addition of these routes will result in the continuity of U.S. route designations and will assist the touring public, and

WHEREAS, the designation of State Route 464 as a part of U.S. Route 164 will provide a U.S. Designated Route in the northeastern part of Arizona that will be beneficial as a guide to the touring public, and

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved as follows:

1. That the necessary documents pertaining to the addition and redesignation of the aforementioned roads within Arizona be prepared and submitted to the American Association of State Highway Officials for approval.

Following action taken October 25th, 1969, by U. S. Route Numbering Subcommittee and approved on October 25th, 1969, by the Executive Committee:

To designate as U. S. Highway 160 that route from Cortez, Colorado, south overlapping U. S. 666 to the intersection of State Route 40, thence overlapping State Routes 40, 67 and 44 to the intersection of U. S. 89 in Tuba City, Arizona.

To designate as U. S. 163 that route presently designated as U. S. 666 from Gallup, New Mexico, to Cortez, Colorado, to Crescent Junction, Utah, that section presently designated as U. S. 160.

The addition of a route between Monticello, Utah, and Keyenta, Arizona, was denied as not being developed to full geometric design standards and not being necessary for the proper direction of traffic.

The purpose of the U. S. Numbering and Marking is to facilitate movement along the general direction of desire lines of travel over the shortest and best available roads, and a route should form continuity of available facilities through two or more States that accommodate the most important and heaviest motor traffic flow in the area.

The system was established in 1926 and the U. S. Route System has reached the point of review, revision, consolidation, and perfecting, rather than continuous expansion. Therefore, any proposed alteration in the established system should be extremely meritorious and thoroughly, though concisely, explained in order that the Route Numbering Committee and the Executive Committee of the Association may give prompt and proper consideration to each and every request made by a Member Department.

Explanation and Reasons for the Request: (Keep Concise and Pertinent)

It is requested that U.S. Route 160 be designated from Cortez, Colorado southerly over the routing of U.S. Route 666 for approximately 20 miles to the junction with present U.S. Route 164 and thence southwesterly on the routing of present U.S. Route 164 to a junction with U.S. Route 89 near Tuba City, Arizona.

Present U.S. Route 164 is designated from U.S. Route 666 south of Cortez, Colorado, southwesterly to U.S. Route 89 near Tuba City, Arizona. The entire routing of present U.S. Route 164 will be designated as a portion of U.S. Route 160 and therefore, it is requested that the present location of U.S. Route 164 be deleted under this proposal.

It is further suggested that the routing from proposed U.S. Route 160 (present U.S. Route 164) at Kayenta, Arizona, northerly via Arizona State Highway No. 464 and Utah State Highway No. 47 through Mexican Hat, Bluff, and Blanding to Monticello, Utah, then northerly via present U.S. Route 160 to Crescent Junction (U.S. Route 6 & 50), be designated as U.S. Route 164 and therefore it is requested that the present designation of U.S. Route 160 from Monticello, Utah, to Crescent Junction be deleted under this proposal.

This renumbering of U.S. Routes will provide a more desirable continuity of routings for the traveling public through the Four Corners Area of Colorado, Utah, New Mexico and Arizona.


The extension of U.S. Route 160 to a junction with U.S. Route 89 near Tuba City, Arizona, would designate one U.S. Route number along the historical Navajo Trail. This route in recent years has become extremely popular due to the development of recreation facilities in the area and due to improvements that have been made in the highway network.

The designation of U.S. Route 164 from Kayenta, Arizona, northerly via Mexican Hat, Bluff, and Monticello to Crescent Junction will provide a U.S. Route in the south-east portion of Utah and will be beneficial to the touring public.

Does the petition propose a new routing over a portion of an existing U. S. Route? If so, where:


.....
.....

O MILEAGE	COMPARISON TO APPLICABLE PRIMARY AASHO DESIGN STANDARDS													
	1 CONTROL POINTS AND MILEAGE	2 PAVEMENT TYPE	3 PAVEMENT CONDITION	4 TRAFFIC ADT	PAVEMENT WIDTH DEFICIENCY		SHOULDER WIDTH DEFICIENCY		MAJOR STRUCTURES		9 VERTICAL SIGHT DISTANCE DEFICIENCY	SHOW WHEN IN EXCESS OF STANDARD		
					PERCENT 10 20 30 40	PERCENT 20 40 60 80	PERCENT 10 20 30 40	PERCENT 20 40 60 80	HORIZONTAL CURVA- TURE DEGREE	PER- CENT GRADE LENGTH				
												ROADWAY WIDTH DEFICIENCY	H-LOADING DEFICIENCY	
0	US 164 15937	H	G	1299	NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		3° MAX	6.8% 3000'
20					NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		3° MAX	6% 1000'
40					NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		3° MAX	6% 1000'
60					NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		3° MAX	6% 1000'
80					NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		3° MAX	6% 1000'
100					NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		3° MAX	6% 3000'
120					NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		3° MAX	6% 3000'
140					NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		NO DEFICIENCY		3° MAX	6% 3000'



1969
STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM
OF
ARIZONA

PREPARED BY
ARIZONA HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT
PLANNING SURVEY DIVISION



**Map of State or Portion thereof,
indicating proposed
addition or change in the
*U. S. Numbered System:***

(A photographic reduction or section of Departmental Map attached to this sheet—may be folded to sheet size, but do not use a map larger than four 8½" x 11" sheets in size.)

Paste Here
and
Fold to Size

(Indicate termini and control points on the map for the route, and number them in sequence. Use same numbers in Column 1 tabulation, Page 5, when listing mileage. Towns, Cities, Major Highway Intersections and State Lines to be used on Control Points. The top of Column 1, Page 5, will be one terminus, and Column 1 will give the log of the route as needed to describe the route in the Association publication "U. S. Numbered Highways," if the application is approved by the Executive Committee.)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PREPARATION OF PAGE NO. 5

Column 1: *Control Points and Mileage.* Top of column is one terminus of road. Indicate control points by identical number as shown on map on page 3. Show mileage between control points in miles and tenths.

Column 2:	<i>Pavement Type.</i>	<i>Code</i>
	High type, heavy duty	H
	Intermediate type	I
	Low type, dustless	L (Show in red)
	Not paved	N (Show in red)

Column 3:	<i>Pavement Condition.</i>	<i>Code</i>
	Excellent	E
	Good	G
	Fair	F (Show in red)
	Poor	P (Show in red)

NOTE: In columns 2 and 3, where pavement types and conditions change, the location of the change shall be indicated by a short horizontal line at the proper place opposite the mileage log and the proper code letter (shown above) shall be entered in the respective column between the locations so indicated.

Column 4: *Traffic.* Indicate average daily traffic volumes in this column. Points of changes in these data to be indicated by short horizontal lines opposite the appropriate mileage point on the mileage log. Any existing main line rail crossing that is not separated shall be indicated at the appropriate mileage point by **X**—Black if signalized—Red if not protected by signals.

Columns 5 & 6: *Pavement Width and Shoulder Width.* These columns to be completed by comparing standards of highway involved with applicable AASHO Primary Standards. Entries that fall to the right of the tolerance line (dashed) should be shaded in red.

Columns 7 & 8: *Major Structures.* Show in these columns those structures that do not meet AASHO Primary Standards. Show by horizontal line sufficiently long to indicate percentage of deficiency. Portion on right of tolerance line shall be shown in red. Indicate length of structure in feet immediately under the line. Any substandard highway underpass structure shall be shown opposite the appropriate mileage point by the designation *LP* with the vertical clearance in feet following and shown in red.

Column 9: *Vertical Sight Distance.* Items to be shown in this column as a horizontal line, the length of which will indicate the deficiency as determined in accordance with comparisons with comparable AASHO Primary Standards. Portion of line past the tolerance line shall be shown in red.

Column 10: *Horizontal Curvature.* Curves in excess of AASHO applicable Primary Standards to be shown in this column by a short horizontal line with degree of curve shown immediately above the line. To be shown in red.

Column 11: *Percent Grades.* Show by horizontal lines opposite proper point on mileage log. Show percent of grade above the line and length of grade in feet immediately below. To be shown in red.

AASHO ROUTE NUMBERING COMMITTEE

Analysis of an Application for Addition, Change, or Extension
to the U.S. Route Number SystemApplication of ARIZONA - N. E. ROUTE 164 Date Submitted 6/6/69

	<u>Credit Points</u>
1. Completeness and clarity of application.	+ 5
2. Proposed route most direct and best available.	+ 25
3. Traffic need is present.	+ 25
4. Proposed route is geometrically and structurally adequate.	+ 25
5. Relation to other U.S. Routes in area or adjoining States;	
(a) Complements and integrates with other U.S. Routes:	+ 10
(b) Creates over-concentration of U.S. Routes.	- 10
6. Apparent origin of application:	
(a) To serve a meritorious need;	+ 10
(b) Non-Highway Department promotion.	- 10
7. Application has previously been denied.	- 5
8. Proposed route presently has a continuous State Route Designation.	<u>+ 5</u>
	<u>Net Score</u>

(70 or above considered as justifying
favorable action on application.)

Date _____

AASHO ROUTE NUMBERING COMMITTEE

Analysis of an Application for Addition, Change, or Extension
to the U.S. Route Number SystemApplication of ARIZONA - U. S. ROUTE 164 Date Submitted 6/6/69

	<u>Credit Points</u>
1. Completeness and clarity of application.	+ 5
2. Proposed route most direct and best available.	+ 25
3. Traffic need is present.	+ 25
4. Proposed route is geometrically and structurally adequate.	+ 25
5. Relation to other U.S. Routes in area or adjoining States;	
(a) Complements and integrates with other U.S. Routes;	+ 10
(b) Creates over-concentration of U.S. Routes.	- 10
6. Apparent origin of application:	
(a) To serve a meritorious need;	+ 10
(b) Non-Highway Department promotion.	- 10
7. Application has previously been denied.	- 5
8. Proposed route presently has a continuous State Route Designation.	<u>+ 5</u>

Net Score (70 or above considered as justifying
favorable action on application.)

Date _____

JACK WILLIAMS
GOVERNOR


BUS MEAD
CHAIRMAN

ED C. LOCKLEAR
VICE CHAIRMAN

PETER B. WILHARM
MEMBER

DAN C. MCKINNEY
MEMBER

RUDY E. CAMPBELL
MEMBER



Item 10.

JUSTIN HERMAN
STATE HIGHWAY DIRECTOR

WM. N. PRICE
STATE HIGHWAY ENGINEER

ARIZONA HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

13 June 1969

U.S. Route Numbering Committee
American Association of State Highway Officials
917 National Press Building
Washington, D. C. 20004

Attention: Mr. A. E. Johnson
Executive Secretary

Gentlemen:

Enclosed for your consideration are six copies of an application in conjunction with Utah, Colorado, and New Mexico pertaining to the redesignation of U.S. Routes in the northeast and the Four Corners areas in Arizona.

These changes are part of an overall proposal to improve U.S. route numbering in the area.

By this action these U.S. Routes will provide a more desirable continuity of routings for the traveling public through the Four Corners Area of Arizona, Colorado, Utah, and New Mexico.

Favorable consideration of this request will be sincerely appreciated.

Very truly yours,

WM. N. PRICE
State Highway Engineer

FHH/sjn

encl.

RECEIVED
A.A.S.H.O.
JUN 16 1969

June 18, 1969


William N. Price
State Highway Engineer
Arizona Highway Department
206 South 17th Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Mr. Price:

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of June 13, 1969,
and the six copies of an application for U.S. route numbering change.

Unfortunately, your letter and enclosures were received too late
to be included on the agenda for consideration at the Summer Meeting,
but it will be put on the agenda for the meeting in the fall.

Yours truly,



H. J. Rhodes
Assistant to the Director

dkn

JACK WILLIAMS
GOVERNOR


BUS MEAD
CHAIRMAN

ED C. LOCKLEAR
VICE CHAIRMAN

PETER B. WILHARM
MEMBER

LEW DAVIS
MEMBER

RUDY E. CAMPBELL
MEMBER



ARIZONA HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Item 10.

JUSTIN HERMAN
STATE HIGHWAY DIRECTOR

WM. N. PRICE
STATE HIGHWAY ENGINEER

13 November 1969

Mr. A. E. Johnson
Executive Director
American Association of State Highway Officials
341 National Press Building
Washington, D. C. 20004

Dear Mr. Johnson:

In reply to your letter of November 4, 1969 concerning U. S. Highway 160 we have the following comments:

The description of U. S. Route 160 was extremely difficult to follow since it referred to overlapping routes not existing in Arizona, or routes located in a different part of the State. Arizona has no State Route 40 or 44. State Route 67 is located at the north rim of the Grand Canyon, some 50 miles west of the western terminus of U. S. 160. May it also be noted that the junction of U. S. 160 and U. S. 89 is 10.4 miles west of Tuba City, not in Tuba City as stated in your description.


Assuming proposed U. S. Route 160 follows the alignment previously assigned by AASHO to U. S. 164 in Arizona, we concur in your recommendations.

Would you please notify us whether our assumptions concerning U. S. 160 routing are correct, and the effective date of this action? An early response would be sincerely appreciated since our sign shop and mapping division are awaiting official authorization to begin the considerably complex task of renumbering a long route.

Very truly yours,

WM. N. PRICE
State Highway Engineer

cc: Henry C. Helland, Director of Highways
Utah Highway Department
L. G. Boles, State Highway Engineer
New Mexico Highway Department
Chas. E. Shumate, Chief Engineer
Colorado State Department of Highways



November 20, 1969

Mr. W. H. Price
State Highway Engineer
Arizona State Highway Department
206 South 17th Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Mr. Price:

In reply to your letter of November the 13th, concerning the action of the U. S. Route Numbering Subcommittee, your assumption that the designation of U. S. 160 would follow that route presently designated as U. S. 164 from Cortes, Colorado, to junction west of Tuba City, Arizona.

This designation has not yet been concurred in the State of Utah since the present designation of U. S. 160 would have to be changed from Cortes, Colorado, to Crescent Junction, Utah.

It has been concurred in by both New Mexico and Colorado.

The action will not become final until all States involved concur in the renumbering.

Yours truly,

A. E. Johnson
Executive Director

H. J. Rhodes
Assistant to the Director

HJR:fms

cc: Colorado, New Mexico and Utah

November 4, 1969

To the Chief Administrative Officers
of Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico and
Utah:

Gentlemen:

At the meeting of the U. S. Route Numbering Subcommittee held October the 25th, in Philadelphia, the following action was taken on your requests for the renumbering of highways in the Four Corners area.

The following action was taken and approved by the Executive Committee on October the 26th, to designate as U. S. Highway 160 that route from Cortez, Colorado, south overlapping U. S. 666 to the intersection of State Route 40, thence overlapping State Routes 40, 67 and 44 to the intersection of U. S. 89 in Tuba City, Arizona.

To designate as U. S. 163 that route presently designated as U. S. 666 from Gallup, New Mexico, to Cortez, Colorado, and from Cortez, Colorado, to Crescent Junction, Utah, that section presently designated as U. S. 160.

This action would then assign an odd numbered U. S. Route (163) to the major north-south route through this area and an even numbered U. S. Route (160) to the main east-west route through this area.

The addition of a route between Monticello, Utah, and Kayenta, Arizona, was denied as not being developed to full geometric design standards and not being necessary for the proper direction of through traffic.

It would be appreciated if you would advise if you concur in this recommendation so that the official records of the Association may be changed if you do.

Yours truly,

A. E. Johnson
Executive Director

H. J. Rhodes
Assistant to the Director

HJR:fms

Route 666

Updated: December 2011

1977 Description:

Designated a State Route by *(A) Commission Action 5/20/77

Traversing the alignment of US-666, replacing the remaining portion of the 1975 Description of State Route 9.

1977 Description:

From State Route 163 at Monticello east to the Utah-Colorado State line.

1983 Description:

From State Route 191 at Monticello east to the Utah-Colorado State line. **Approved by the 1983 Legislature** effective May 10, 1983.

1985 Legislature: Description remains the same.

*(B)

1986 Legislature: Description remains the same.

1987 Legislature: Description remains the same.

1988 Legislature: Description remains the same.

1990 Legislature: Description remains the same.

1992 Legislature: Description remains the same.

1993 Legislature: Description remains the same.

1994 Legislative Description:

From Route 191 at Monticello east to the Utah-Colorado state line.

1995 Legislature: Description remains the same.

1996 Legislature: Description remains the same.

1997 Legislature: Description remains the same.

1998 Legislature: Description remains the same.

1999 Legislature: Description remains the same.

2000 Legislature: Description remains the same.

2001 Legislature: Description remains the same.

2002 Legislature: Description remains the same.

(C). Commission Action July 18, 2003:

Re-designate SR-666 to SR-491.

* Refers to resolution index page following.

Route 666

COUNTY/VOLUME & RESOLUTION NUMBER

A. San Juan Co. 6/2 B. Multiple Co. 7/12 C. San Juan Co. 11/2

DESCRIPTION OF RESOLUTION CHANGE

- (A). Re-designation - US-666 re-designated as State Route 666.
- (B). Extension - U.S. 666 from its present termini in Monticello
traversing coincident with U.S. 191, SR-95, SR-24,
SR-119, and SR- 120 connecting with 1-70 near
Richfield.
- (C). Re-designation - SR-666 changed to SR-491.

RESOLUTION

Redesignation of Various State Routes

WHEREAS, it has been determined that it would be advantageous for record keeping and developing a Highway Reference System that various state routes be redesignated by hierarchy with the route number being synonymous with the US route designation, and

WHEREAS, this proposed revision of State Route Designations is concurred in by all District Directors.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved as follows:

That Interstate Route 15 be designated as State Route 15 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 1 and redesignate present State Route 15 as State Route 9,

That Interstate Route 80 be designated as State Route 80 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 2 and redesignate present State Route 80 as State Route 92,

That Interstate Route 80N be designated as State Route ~~82~~⁸⁴ and by this action delete the designation of State Route 3 and redesignate present State Route ~~82~~⁸⁴ as State Route ~~126~~⁸²,

That Interstate Route 70 be designated as State Route 70 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 4 and redesignate present State Route 70, part of State Route 102, part of State Route 69, part of State Route 16 and State Route 51 as State Route 30 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 51,

That Interstate Route 215 be designated as State Route 215 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 5,

That US-6 and 50 from the Utah-Nevada State line to Delta be designated as State Route 6 and that US-6 from Delta to the junction with I-70 west of

State
Changes
2

RESOLUTION
Redesignation of Various State Routes
Page 2

Green River also be designated as State Route 6 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 27,

That US-40 be designated as State Route 40 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 6 and redesignate present State Route 40 as State Route 134,

That US-50 from Delta to Salina be designated as State Route 50 with the exception of that section coincident with Interstate Route 15 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 26 and redesignate a part of present State Route 50 as State Route 26,

That US-89 be designated as State Route 89 with the exception of those sections coincident with Interstate Route 70, US-6, I-15 and US-91 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 259, part of State Route 11, part of State Route 28, State Route 32, State Route 8, State Route 271, part of State Route 106, State Route 169, State Route 49, part of State Route 50, part of State Route 84, State Route 13 and the remaining part of State Route 16, redesignate present State Route 89 as State Route 169 and redesignate that portion of State Route 84 from Brigham northerly to State Route 30 as State Route 13,

That US-91 be redesignated as State Route 91 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 85,

That US-189 be designated as State Route 189 with the exception of those sections coincident with US-40 and Interstate Route 80 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 7, 151 and part of State Route 35,

That US-163 be designated as State Route 163 and by this action delete the designation of State Route 47, part of State Route 9 and redesignate present State Route 163 as State Route 78,

That US-666 be designated as State Route 666 and by this action delete

RESOLUTION
 Redesignation of Various State Routes
 Page 3

the remaining portion of State Route 9,

That as a result of the aforementioned revisions the State Routes involved will be described as follows:

Route 6 From the Utah-Nevada State line easterly via Delta and Tintic Junction, thence easterly via Santaquin, Payson and Spanish Fork to Moark Junction, thence easterly via Spanish Fork Canyon and Price to Route 70 (Interstate Route 70) west of Green River.

Route 9 From Harrisburg Junction on Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) easterly to Zion National Park south boundary, thence from Zion National Park east boundary to Mt. Carmel Junction on Route 89.

Route 11 From the Utah-Arizona State line north to a junction with Route 89 in Kanab.

Route 13 From a junction with Route 91 in Brigham City northerly via Bear River and Haws Corner to a point south of Riverside, thence east to Route 30 north of Collinston.

Route 15 From the Utah-Arizona State line near St. George to the Utah-Idaho State line south of Malad, Idaho, (traversing the alignment of Interstate Route 15). Segments of present State Routes used as Interstate Traveled-way will remain State responsibility until these segments are replaced by completed Interstate Projects.

Route 16 From the Utah-Wyoming State line northerly to Route 30 at Sage Creek Junction.

Route 26 From Route 84 in Roy easterly to Route 89 in Ogden (Former SR-50 Part).

Route 28 From a junction with Route 89 in Gunnison northerly via Levan to a junction with Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) north of Levan.

Route 30 From the Utah-Nevada State line northeasterly via Curlew Junction to Route ~~82~~⁸⁴ (Interstate Route 80N) west of Snowville. Then commencing

RESOLUTION
Redesignation of Various State Routes
Page 4

again at a junction with Route ~~82~~⁸⁴ (Interstate Route 80N) west of Tremonton easterly via Tremonton, Haws Corner and Collinston to Route 91 in Logan. Then commencing again at a junction with Route 89 in Garden City southeasterly via Sage Creek Junction to the Utah-Wyoming State line.

✓ Route 35 From Route 189 at Francis southeasterly via Tabiona to Route 87 north of Duchesne.

✓ Route 40 From Silver Creek Junction on Route 80 (Interstate Route 80) easterly via Heber City, Duchesne and Vernal to the Utah-Colorado State line.

✓ Route 50 From Route 6 in Delta southeasterly to Holden, thence northerly to Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) and commencing again on Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) near Scipio southeasterly via Scipio to a junction with Route 89 in Salina.

✓ Route 69 From Brigham on Route 13 northerly via Honeyville to Route 30 at Deweyville.

✓ Route 70 From Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) near Cove Fort to the Utah-Colorado State line west of Grand Junction, Colorado, (traversing the alignment of Interstate Route 70). Segments of present State Routes used as Interstate Traveled-way will remain State responsibility until these segments are replaced by completed Interstate Projects.

✓ Route 78 From Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) west of Levan east to Route 28 in Levan.

✓ Route 80 From the Utah-Nevada State line near Wendover to the Utah-Wyoming State line west of Evanston, Wyoming, (traversing the alignment of Interstate Route 80). Segments of present State Routes used as Interstate Traveled-way will remain State responsibility until these segments are replaced by completed Interstate Projects.

RESOLUTION
Redesignation of Various State Routes
Page 5

✓ ⁸⁴
Route ~~82~~ From the Utah-Idaho State line near Snowville to a point on Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) near Tremonton, thence from another point on Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) near Roy to Route 80 (Interstate Route 80) near Echo, (traversing the alignment of Interstate Route ⁸⁴~~80A~~). Segments of present State Routes used as Interstate Traveled-way will remain State responsibility until these segments are replaced by completed Interstate Projects.

✓ ¹²⁶
Route ~~84~~ From Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) south of Layton northerly to Route 89 at Hot Springs Junction.

✓ Route 89 From the Utah-Arizona State line northwest of Page, Arizona, westerly to Kanab, thence northerly to a junction with Route 70 (Interstate Route 70) at Sevier Junction. Then commencing again at the junction with Route 70 (Interstate Route 70) south of Salina northerly via Salina, Gunnison and Mt. Pleasant to a junction with Route 6 at Thistle Junction. Then commencing again at a junction with Route 6 at Moark Junction northerly via Springville, Provo, Orem and American Fork to Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) north of Lehi. Then commencing again at a junction with Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) near Draper Crossroads northerly via Murray and Salt Lake City to a junction with Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) at Becks Interchange. Then commencing again at a junction with Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) near Orchard Drive northerly via Bountiful to a junction with Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) at North Bountiful Interchange. Then commencing again at a junction with Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) at Lagoon Junction northerly via Uintah Junction and Ogden to Route 91 near south city limits of Brigham City. Then commencing again at a junction with Route 91 in Logan northeasterly to Garden City, thence north to the Utah-Idaho State line.

○ Route 91 From Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) south of Brigham, thence

RESOLUTION

Redesignation of Various State Routes

Page 6

easterly via Brigham Canyon and Logan to the Utah-Idaho State line near Franklin, Idaho.

✓ Route 92 From Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) near Point of the Mountain east via American Fork Canyon to Route 189 in Provo Canyon.

✓ Route 102 From Route 83 east of Lampo Junction northeasterly via Penrose and Thatcher to Route ~~82~~⁸⁴ (Interstate Route ~~80N~~⁸⁴) west of Tramonton.

✓ Route 106 From Route 89 northerly via Second West and Fourth North in Bountiful, thence northerly to Sheppard Lane in Farmington, thence east to Route 89.

✓ Route ~~126~~⁸² From Route 30 in Tremonton north via 300 East to Garland, thence east approximately 0.8 mile, thence north to Route 13.

✓ Route 134 From Kaneshville on Route 37 northerly to Plain City, thence easterly to Pleasant View on Route 89.

✓ Route 163 From the Utah-Arizona State line southwest of Mexican Hat northerly via Blanding, Monticello and Moab to Route 70 (Interstate Route 70) at Crescent Junction.

✓ Route 169 From Route 162 east to Eden on Route 166.

✓ Route 189 From Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) south of Provo northerly via University Avenue and Provo Canyon to Route 40 south of Heber. Then commencing again from Route 40 at Hailstone Junction easterly to Francis, thence northerly via Kamas to Route 80 (Interstate Route 80) south of Wanship.

✓ Route 215 From a junction with Route 80 (Interstate Route 80) near the mouth of Parleys Canyon southeast of Salt Lake City, southwesterly near the south city limits of Murray, junctioning with Route 15 (Interstate Route 15), thence northwesterly, northerly and easterly to a junction with Route 15 (Interstate Route 15) north of Salt Lake City, (traversing the alignment of Interstate Route 215).

Route 666 From Route 163 at Monticello east to the Utah-Colorado State line.

State
Charities

RESOLUTION
Redesignation of Various State Routes
Page 7

The maps presented relating the action taken herewith are hereby a part of this resolution and will be stored at the office of the Planning Statistics Section of the Transportation Planning Division.

Dated this 20th day of May, 1977.

UTAH TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

B. L. L. L.

Chairman

W. L. L.

Vice-Chairman

C. E. L.

Commissioner

G. A. L.

Commissioner

S. H. L.

Commissioner

ATTEST:

D. A. F.
Secretary

STATE ROUTES REQUIRING CHANGES IN ROUTE DESIGNATION SIGNING

<u>Existing Designation</u>	<u>New Designation</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Miles</u>
SR-15	SR-9	5	32.6
SR-15	SR-9	3	12.3
SR-80	SR-92	6	26.8
SR-82	SR-126	1	3.1
SR-40	SR-134	1	12.4
SR-50 Part	SR-26	1	3.8
SR-89	SR-169	1	0.6
SR-84	SR-13	1	<u>27.8</u>
		Total	119.4

SR-70, SR-102, SR-69, SR-16 and SR-51 in District 1, remove rectangular route signs from sign posts.

US-89 signs thru Sevier Valley will be replaced with "Temporary I-70" signs with rectangular signs under the Temporary I-70 sign indicating the State Route designation until completion of I-70 thru this area. Upon completion of I-70 between Sevier Junction and Salina all State Routes will be resigned by their designated State Route, District 3

Present State Routes 15 and 80 will be dual route signed for a period of approximately two years as a guide to Tourists, Districts 5, 3 and 6

All directional signing (junction signs, etc.) affected by these revisions will also require changing.

-234

Memorandum

UTAH STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

DATE: June 2, 1977

TO : District Directors

FROM : L. R. Jester, P.E. *L.R.J.*
Engineer for Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: Redesignations of State Routes

On May 20, 1977, the Utah Transportation Commission approved the redesignations of various State Routes as described in the attached resolution. Please review the changes that have been approved in your District and notify all interested agencies within your area.

Attachment

Note: All Districts refer to last page of resolution for necessary signing changes.

UTAH STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

June 2, 1977

C
 Mr. Norman V. Hancock, Chief
 Game Management Section
 Utah State Division of Wildlife Resources
 1596 West North Temple
 Salt Lake City, Utah 84104

O
 Subject: Redesignation of State Routes

Dear Mr. Hancock:

P
 On May 20, 1977, the Utah Transportation Commission approved the redesignations of the various State Routes as described in the enclosed Resolution.

Yours very truly,

L. R. Jester, P.E.
 Engineer for Transportation Planning

Y
 LRJ/BDB/WDM/BDent/cs
 Enclosure

cc: H.B. Leatham

Memo sent to all District Engineers & interested state personnel.

Also sent to: Mr. Marvin E. Blinn, U.S. Dept. of Soil Conservation
 Mr. Ralph Hodges, Utah Forest & Range Agency

State
Change
2

Item 10.

1/13/80

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS

ROBERT N. HUNTER, President
Chief Engineer
Missouri State Highway Department



HENRY E. STAFFORD, Executive Director
444 N. Capitol Street, N.W., Suite 225
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone (202) 624-5800

July 12, 1977

- Mr. Blaine J. Kay, Director
Utah Department of Transportation
- Mr. Darrell V. Manning, Director
Idaho Transportation Department
- Mr. Robert A. Burco, Director
Oregon Department of Transportation

[Handwritten signature and stamp]
10 JUL 15 1977
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Gentlemen:

The Route Numbering Committee reviewed the application coming from the Idaho Department of Transportation, and concurred in by the Utah Department of Transportation, for the redesignation of I-80N.

After reviewing the application, together with objections raised by States of Washington and Oregon, the Committee voted to redesignate I-80N as I-84, subject to concurrence by the Federal Highway Administrator, and with the State of Oregon in consultation with the States of Utah and Idaho to make the determination when the sign change would take place; but no later than July 1st, 1980.

This action was reviewed by the Executive Committee at its meeting on July 7th, 1977, and concurred therein.

Sincerely,

[Handwritten signature]
H. J. Rhodes
Deputy Director

HJR:pw

cc: Mr. William Cox
Federal Highway Administrator
Federal Highway Administration

RECEIVED
7-14-77

COPY OF LETTER RETAINED IN CENTRAL FILES RETURN THIS TO CENTRAL FILES AFTER ACTION HAS BEEN COMPLETED

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED
KAY

June 2, 1977

C
Mr. Norman V. Hancock, Chief
Game Management Section
Utah State Division of Wildlife Resources
1596 West North Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84104

O
Subject: Redesignation of State Routes

Dear Mr. Hancock:

P
On May 20, 1977, the Utah Transportation Commission approved the redesignations of the various State Routes as described in the enclosed Resolution.

Y
Yours very truly,

L. R. Jester, P.E.
Engineer for Transportation Planning

LRJ/BDB/WDM/BDent/cs
Enclosure

cc: H.B. Leatham

Memo sent to all District Engineers & interested state personnel.

Also sent to: Mr. Marvin W. Hines, U.S. Dept. of Soil Conservation
Mr. Ralph Hodges, Utah Dept. of Agriculture

ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS



AN APPLICATION
FROM THE STATE HIGHWAY OR TRANSPORTATION
DEPARTMENT OF

Utah

FOR

- the Elimination of a U.S. (1) Route
- the Establishment of a U.S. (1) Route
- the Relocation of U.S. (1) Route
- the Extension of U.S. (1) Route 666...
- the Establishment of an Alternate U.S. Route
- the Establishment of a Temporary U.S. Route
- * the Recognition of a Business Route on U.S. (1) Route
- * the Recognition of a By-pass Route on U.S. Route

BETWEEN

Richfield, Utah and Monticello, Utah

The Following
State or States are
Involved:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Date Received 5-6-85

Date application acknowledged 5-8-85

Date to Route Number Committee 6-26-85

Date considered by Executive Committee 6-27-85

Action of Executive Committee DISAPPROVED

Member Department Notified 7-1-85

(This block to be completed by AASHTO General Office.)

Date Submitted:

19

* A local vicinity map needed on Page 3. On Page 5 a short statement to the effect that there are no deficiencies on proposed will suffice. If there are deficiencies, they should be so indicated in accordance with Page 4 instructions.

SUBMIT SIX COPIES

WHEREAS, it has been recommended that U.S. 666 be extended from its present termini at Monticello, Utah, southerly to a junction with U.S. 191 to a junction with SR-95 south of Blanding then northwesterly to a junction with SR-24 near Hanksville then northwesterly to a junction with SR-119 east of Richfield then east coincident with SR-119 to a junction with SR-120 in Richfield then southwesterly coincident with SR-120 and the proposed connection to I-70 southwest of Richfield, and

That application for the extension of U.S. 666, as described above, be submitted to the A.A.S.H.T.O. U.S. Highway Numbering Committee.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved as follows:

WHEREAS, the extension of this route will provide continuity of U.S. 666, a U.S. route within this area, a U.S. route connection to I-70, and a U.S. designated route for the tourist traveling within this area.

WHEREAS, it has been recommended that U.S. 666 be extended from its present termini at Monticello, Utah, southerly coincident with U.S. 191 to a junction with SR-95 south of Blanding then northwesterly coincident with SR-95 to a junction with SR-24 near Hanksville then northwesterly coincident with SR-24 via Torrey, Bicknell and Loa to a junction with SR-119 east of Richfield then east coincident with SR-119 to a junction with SR-120 in Richfield then southwesterly coincident with SR-120 and the proposed connection to I-70 southwest of Richfield, and

Extension of U.S. Route 666

R E S O L U T I O N

under date of as follows: (Copy excerpt from Minutes)

This petition is authorized by official action of Utah Transportation Commission

Chief Administrative Official, Utah Department of Transportation
(Member Department)

William H. ...
(Signature)

In our opinion, this petition complies with the above applicable policy:
The "Purpose and Policy in the Establishment and Development of United States Numbered Highways, as Revised September 15, 1970" or the "Purpose and Policy in the Establishment of a Marking System of the Routes Comprising the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways" as revised August 10, 1973 has been read and is accepted.

The weighted average daily traffic volume along the proposed route, as shown on the map on page 3, is 518 as compared to 6098 for the year 83 for all other U.S. Numbered routes in the State.

Markers on any road without the authorization, consent, or approval of the Executive Committee of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, not withstanding the fact that the changes proposed are entirely within this State.

RESOLUTION
Extension of U.S. Route 666

WHEREAS, it has been recommended that U.S. 666 be extended from its present termini at Monticello, Utah southerly coincident with U.S. 191 to a junction with SR-95 south of Blanding then northwesterly coincident with SR-95 to a junction with SR-24 near Hanksville then northwesterly coincident with SR-24 via Torrey, Bicknell and Loa to a junction with SR-119 east of Richfield then east coincident with SR-119 to a junction with SR-120 in Richfield then southwesterly coincident with SR-120 and the proposed connection to I-70 southwest of Richfield, and

WHEREAS, the extension of this route will provide continuity of U.S. 666, a U.S. route within this area, a U.S. route connection to I-70, and a U.S. designated route for the tourist traveling within this area.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved as follows:

That application for the extension of U.S. 666, as described above, be submitted to the A.A.S.H.T.O. U.S. Highway Numbering Committee.

That upon approval of this route by A.A.S.H.T.O. this route be designated as part of State Route 666 with a disconnected section where U.S. 666 will be coincident with U.S. 191.

That the accompanying map be hereby incorporated as part of this submission.

Dated this 19th day of April, 1985

UTAH TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Samuel Taylor
Chairman

Wayne S. Winter
Vice-Chairman

Clyde A. Church
Commissioner


Commissioner

Attest:

Edward A. Anderson
Secretary

PROPOSED EXTENSION OF U.S.

via — U.S. NO. ROUTES
via - - STATE ROUTES



Item 10.




W. Hurley

Item 10.


PROPOSED EXTENSION OF U.S. 666

- via U.S. NO. ROUTES
- via STATE ROUTES
- CONTROL POINTS



PROPOSED EXTENSION OF U.S. 666

- via  U.S. NO. ROUTES
- via  STATE ROUTES
- ① CONTROL POINTS



Item 10.

MISSION
OR



11.5

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

COMPARISON TO APPLICABLE AASHTO DESIGN STANDARDS

PAVEMENT TYPE	PAVEMENT CONDITION	TRAFFIC ADT	COMPARISON TO APPLICABLE AASHTO DESIGN STANDARDS						SHOW WHEN IN EXCESS OF STANDARD	
			PAVEMENT WIDTH DEFICIENCY	SHOULDER WIDTH DEFICIENCY	MAJOR STRUCTURES		VERTICAL SIGHT DISTANCE DEFICIENCY	HORIZONTAL CURVATURE	PERCENT GRADE	
					ROADWAY WIDTH DEFICIENCY	H-LOADING DEFICIENCY				
PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	DEGREE	LENGTH			
		Proposed connection to I-70 southwest of Richfield								
2.2		JCT SR-120 IN RICHFIELD								
3.1 (1)		G 12400 NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE				
		JCT SR-119 IN RICHFIELD								
4		RXR 3750							15	5
96 (2)		JCT SR-24 795							49/10	
		1190								
		925								
1012		510								
		440							17	16
(3)		JCT SR-95 IN HANKSVILLE 410								
		170								
									8	401/2
									5	12460

ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEET HERE IF NECESSARY

121.8	420		
180			
200			14
			12
			16
			18
			15
			11
			6072
			7286
			8
			4857
(74)	JCT U.S. 191 SOUTH OF BLANDING	935	
		2355	
		1555	
25.0		2600	
		3325	
(15)	JCT US-1666 IN MONTICELLO		

Date facility available to traffic I-70 to U.S. 89 in Richfield, 1990, remainder of route an existing facility.

Does the petition propose a new routing over a portion of an existing U.S. Route yes ? If so, where: U.S. 191, Blanding to Monticello

Does the petition propose a new routing over a portion of an existing Interstate Route no ? If so, where: _____

MISSION
DIRECTOR
ASSISTANT DIRECTORS
JAMES H. HURLEY
JAMES H. STURZENEGGER
JAMES H. DYKE
JAMES H. LEFEVRE
JAMES H. ANDERSON
SECRETARY



R. Nelson

WILLIAM D. HURLEY, P.E.
Director
GENE STURZENEGGER, P.E.
Assistant Director
DYKE LEFEVRE, P.E.
District Four Director

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
ROUTE #3
BOX 75C5
PRICE, UTAH 84501

May 5, 1986

Gerald and Susan LaFont
Monument Valley Trading Post and Lodge
P.O. Box 1
Monument Valley, UT 84536

Dear Gerald LaFont and Susan LaFont:

I appreciate your letter concerning the extension of US Highway 666 on SR-95 and SR-24 to Richfield. Last year we were turned down by the AASHTO Committee in our request for these changes and before we can resubmit our application, we will have to make some improvements on SR-24 to bring it to a standard meeting the US Highway criteria.

We are still very supportive of making these highway changes and we are proceeding, as budget will permit, to make these improvements. As soon as the improvements are completed, we will resubmit our application.

Your suggestions for changes for US-163 also appear worthy of consideration and I will submit these to the Department since we are now placing the Bluff to Montezuma Creek road onto the State system.

We appreciate your suggestions and appreciate you taking the time to inform us of these concerns. If you have any other concerns you would like to discuss with us, feel free to contact me at any time.

Sincerely,

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Dyke M. LeFevre

Dyke M. LeFevre, P.E.
District Four Director

DML/pwd

cc: Sam Taylor
Gene Sturzenegger
Howard Leatham
Ferris J. Hunt



HARRY GOULDING'S
MONUMENT VALLEY
TRADING POST and LODGE

UTAH-ARIZONA

NAVAJO RUGS-INDIAN JEWELRY

POST OFFICE ADDRESS:
BOX 1,
MONUMENT VALLEY
UTAH, 84536

PHONE: MONUMENT VALLEY, UTAH
801-727-3231

April 21, 1986

Mr. Dyke Lefevre
District Engineer
UDOT
Price, UT 84501

Dear Sir:

We are very much in favor of the proposed extensions of U.S. Highway 666 and U.S. Highway 163. This involves extending U.S. 666 through Blanding via U.S. 191, west to Hanksville on S.R. 95, then west towards Richfield on S.R. 24. U.S. 163 could extend east on the newly completed highway at Bluff to Montezuma Creek and on to connect with U.S. 160 in Colorado. It could also extend west from Kayenta, Arizona on U.S. 160 to S.R. 98 north to Page, north on U.S. 89, then to S.R. 14 and end at Cedar City.

There definitely should be a highway sign marking the new road at Bluff going east to Montezuma Creek.

We believe that by extending the U.S. routes through our county and beyond, more tourists will be encouraged to travel through our county. Our experience here is that most people like to stay on the U.S. numbered highways. Since U.S. 163 ends north of us 40 miles at Bluff, many travellers prefer returning by U.S. 163 to U.S. 160 in Kayenta when leaving Monument Valley. Extending U.S. 163 east of Bluff would benefit San Juan County as more travellers heading towards Colorado, will leave Monument Valley by going through Bluff and Montezuma Creek, then connecting with U.S. 160 in Colorado.

We will appreciate your support on the renumbering of U.S. Highways 666 and 163. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Gerald LaFont
Susan LaFont

Gerald LaFont, Owner, Goulding's Lodge
Susan LaFont, Member, San Juan County
Development Board



Changes in State Routes

Approved by the 1985 Legislature

Route	Location		Date of Commission Resolution	Highway Code Section	Action Taken
	County	Area			
93	Davis	400 East Street in North Salt Lake	8/24/84	27-12-27	Deletion of that portion of SR-93 from SR-89 north to 2600 South Street, a distance of 0.43 mile.
140 & 287	Salt Lake	Bluffdale Road	10/12/84	27-12-27	Addition of Bluffdale Road from SR-68, Redwood Road, east to the on and off ramps on the east side of SR-15 and by this action redesignate that portion of SR-287 on the Bluffdale Road connection to SR-15, a distance of 2.36 mi.
143 & 148	Iron & Garfield	Cedar Breaks National Monument and Panguitch Lake Road	11/9/84	27-12-27	Add Panguitch Lake Road from Cedar Breaks to Panguitch as part of SR-143, a distance of 32.43 miles. Redesignate SR-143 south of Cedar Breaks as SR-148.
167	Morgan & Weber	Trappers Loop Road	12/7/84	27-12-27	Add Trappers Loop Road from Mountain Green northerly to route 39 south of Huntsville, a distance of 10.00+ miles.
219	Washington	Main St. in Enterprise	9/28/84	27-12-27	Addition of Main St. in Enterprise connecting to SR-18, a distance of 1.30 miles. (Exchange for Gunlock Rd. SR-307)
224	Summit	Park City	11/9/84	27-12-29	Relocate SR-224 between MP 14.31 and MP 15.73 to be coincident with Snow Country Drive in Park City, a distance of 1.45 mile.

Item 10.

Changes in State Routes

Approved by the 1985 Legislature

Route	Location		Date of Commission Resolution	Highway Code Section	Action Taken
	County	Area			
247	Utah	Center Street in Orem	12/7/84	27-12-28	Deletion of Route 247 in its entirety, a distance of 2.04 miles.
307	Washington	Gunlock Lake State Park	9/28/84	27-12-27	Deletion of SR-307 in its entirety, a distance of 0.22 mile. (Exchange for Enterprise Main St. SR-219)
317	Salt Lake	UDOT/DPS Complex	2/4/83	27-12-17	Assign State Route number to roads and parking areas at complex, a distance of 1.62 miles.
189	Summit	Wanship, northeasterly to route 80			Revise route description (technical correction) Extend route to off ramp from route 80, northeast to Wanship.
					Net addition 45.05+ miles.

SR-666

Item 10.

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS

RICHARD F. SPAUN, President
Commissioner
Minnesota Department
of Transportation



FRANCIS B. FRANCOIS
Executive Director

July 1, 1985

William Hurley, Director
Department of Transportation
4501 South 2700 West
Salt Lake City, UT 84119

Dear Mr. ^{Bill}Hurley:

This is to inform you that your application for an extension of U.S. Route 666 from Monticello to Richfield has been disapproved. In considering the application, the Special Committee on U.S. Route Numbering noted that the proposed routing has geometric deficiencies, carries little traffic, and is circuitous.

The application also fails to comply with the route numbering policy requirement of demonstrating a "definite showing of an adequately improved highway carrying an established and necessary line of interstate traffic not otherwise provided for by existing U.S. routes and for which traffic adequate service cannot be provided by state route numbers."

A copy of the application is enclosed for your files.

Very truly yours,

Francis B. Francois
Executive Director

FBF:WDL:djt
Enclosure

COPIES OF LETTERS RETAINED IN CENTRAL FILE RETURN
ONE ORIGINAL TO CENTRAL FILE & THE OTHER TO
FILE IN THE FIELD.
JUL 1 1985
[Handwritten initials and routing marks]

State
Moratorium
Revisions
7

RESOLUTION

Moratorium on State Highway System Revisions

WHEREAS, the Utah League of Cities and Towns and the Utah Association of Counties are proposing a comprehensive study analyzing highway responsibility, functional classification, funding sources and money distribution formulas, and

WHEREAS, the Utah Transportation Commission recommends support of the study to begin in 1986, and

WHEREAS, there is a definite need to establish criteria for the addition and deletion of roadways or proposed roadways to the State System of Highways

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved as follows:

That a moratorium on State Highway System additions be in effect until the completion of the proposed study.

That the only exceptions to this moratorium will be those roadway connections built to assure proper function of the Interstate System and existing routes built on new alignment.

Dated this 13th day of December, 1985.

UTAH TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Samuel J. Taylor
Chairman

Wayne S. Linton
Vice-Chairman

Clem H. Church
Commissioner

B. LaDawn Cox
Commissioner

Joe J. Weston
Commissioner

Attest:

Alma S. Anderson
Secretary

SR-666 to
SR-491
San
Juan
County

11-2

11-2

RESOLUTION

Change of State Route Number

SR-666 to SR-491

San Juan County

WHEREAS, Section 72-4-102, of the Utah Code Annotated 1953, as amended, authorize the Transportation Commission to approve deletions from the state highway system between general sessions of the state Legislature; and

WHEREAS, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), having passed an application for renumbering US-666 as US-491, from officials of the Utah Department of Transportation, in conjunction with applications from the Transportation Departments of Colorado and New Mexico respectfully, and

WHEREAS, in keeping with intent of a Resolution passed May 20, 1977 stating, it would be advantageous for record keeping and developing of Highway Reference System that various state routes be re-designated by hierarchy with the route number being synonymous with the US route designation. and,

WHEREAS, it is the intent of The Utah Department of Transportation to continue numbering state routes synonymously with the US route designation, and

WHEREAS, the Program Development Division, having studied the advantages of numbering state routes to be synonymous with US route designations, concurs such action by the Transportation Commission would be to the best interest of The Utah Department of Transportation.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved as follows:

1. Roadway that currently resides as SR-666 traversing in an easterly direction from the Junction with SR-191 in Monticello to the Utah-Colorado state line a distance of 17.085± miles be changed to route number SR-491.
2. By this action the state route designation will coincide with the recently passed AASHTO resolution designating US-666 as US-491.
3. This action will become effective upon passage of this resolution by the Utah Transportation Commission.
4. The accompanying, Letter, AASHTO application, and Exhibit "A" will be included and become part of this resolution.

SR-666 to SR-491
11-2

Page 2
Change of State Route Number
SR-666 to SR-491
San Juan County

Dated on this 18th day of July 2003

UTAH TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

[Signature]
Chairman

[Signature]
Vice-Chairman

[Signature]
Commissioner


[Signature]
Commissioner

[Signature]
Commissioner

[Signature]
Commissioner

[Signature]
Commissioner

Attest: [Signature]
Secretary





American Association of
State Highway and
Transportation Officials

James C. Codell III, President
Secretary
Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

John Horsley
Executive Director

June 1, 2003

John L. Quick, P.E.
Engineer for Transportation Planning
Utah Department of Transportation
4501 South 2700 West
Salt Lake City, UT 84119-5998

Dear Mr. Quick:

This letter is to inform you that the AASHTO Special Committee on Route Numbering and the AASHTO Standing Committee on Highways, at their meetings on May 30 and May 31, respectively, have approved your route numbering application for the renumbering of U.S. Route 666 as U.S. Route 491.

A copy of the application is enclosed for your files.

Sincerely,



John Horsley
Executive Director

JH:DT:dt

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials



An Application from the State Highway or Transportation Department of
UTAH

for

- the Elimination of a U.S. (I) Route 666
- the Establishment of a U.S. (I) Route 393
- * the Establishment of a U.S. Bike Route _____
- the Relocation of a U.S. (I) Route _____
- * the Establishment of a U.S. Bike Route _____
- the Extension of a U.S. (I) Route _____
- the Establishment of a U.S. Alternate Route _____
- the Establishment of a Temporary U.S. Route _____
- ** the Recognition of a Business Route on U.S. (I) Route _____
- ** the Recognition of a By-Pass Route on U.S. Route _____

Between Monticello, UT and Utah/Colorado State Line

The following states or states are involved:

Utah

Colorado

New Mexico

For AASHTO Use Only

Date received 3-19-03

Date application acknowledged 4-24-03

Date to Special Committee on U.S. Route Numbering 5-30-03

Date considered by the Standing Committee on Highways 5-31-03

Action of Standing Committee on Highways Approved as

U.S. Route 491

Member Department Notified 6-1-03

Date submitted:

March 14, 2003

* Attach map on page 3. Obtain Signatures, page 4. Other sections not applicable.
 ** A local vicinity map needed on page 3. On page 6 a short statement to the effect that there are no deficiencies on proposed routing, if true, will suffice. If there are deficiencies, they should be indicated in accordance with page 5 instructions.

SUBMIT SIX COPIES

11-2
SR-491
Sen

The purpose of the **United States (U.S.) Numbered Highway System** is to facilitate travel on the main interstate highways, over the shortest routes and the best available roads. A route should form continuity of available facilities through two or more states that accommodate the most important and heaviest motor traffic flow in the area.

The routes comprising the **National System of Interstate and Defense Highways** will be marked with its own distinctive route marker shield and will have a numbering system that is separate and apart from the U.S. Numbered Highway System. For the convenience of the motorist, there must be continuity and a uniform pattern of marking and numbering these Interstate routes without regard to state lines.

The U.S. Numbered System was established in 1926 and the Interstate Numbered System was established in 1956. Both have reached the period of review, revision, and consolidation. They now need perfecting rather than expansion. Therefore, any proposed alteration in the established systems should be extremely meritorious and thoroughly, though concisely, explained in order that the Special Committee on U.S. Route Numbering and the Standing Committee on Highways of the Association may give prompt and proper consideration to each and every request made by a member department.

Explanation and Reasons for the Request: (Keep concise and pertinent.)

This is a companion application with Colorado Department of Transportation and the New Mexico State Highway and Transportation Department to change the designation of US-666 to US-393

Date facility available to traffic Facility is open to traffic


Does the petition propose a new routing over a portion of an existing U.S. Route? No If so, where?

Does the petition propose a new routing over a portion of an existing Interstate Route? No If so, where?

Map of state, or portion thereof, indicating proposed addition or change in the U.S. Numbered or Interstate Numbered System:

(photographic reduction or section of departmental map attached to this sheet. May be folded to sheet size, but do not use a map larger than four 8.5 x 11 inch sheets in size.)

Paste Here and Fold to Size



(Indicate termini and control points on the map for the route, and number them in sequence. Use the same numbers in column 1 tabulation, page 6, when listing mileage. **Towns, cities, major highway intersections and state lines to be used as control points.** The top of column 1, page 6, will be one terminus, and column 1 will give the log of the route as needed to describe the route in the Association publication *U.S. Numbered Highways* if the application is approved by the Standing Committee on Highways.)

SR-446 to SR-491
11-2

The State agrees and pledges its good faith that it will not erect, remove, or change any U.S. or Interstate Route Markers on any road without the authorization, consent, or approval of the Standing Committee on Highways of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, notwithstanding the fact that the changes proposed are entirely within this State.

The weighted average daily traffic volume along the proposed route, as shown on the map on page 3, is 2,326 as compared to 5,926 for the year 2000 for all other U.S. Numbered Routes in the State.

The Purpose and Policy in the Establishment and Development of the United States Numbered Highways, as Retained from October 3, 1991 or the Purpose and Policy in the Establishment of a Marking System of the Routes Comprising the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways as Retained from August 10, 1973 has been read and is accepted.

In our opinion, this petition complies with the above applicable policy.


(Signature)

Chief Executive Officer Utah Department of Transportation
(Member Department)

This petition is authorized by official action of _____
under date of _____ as follows: (Copy excerpt from minutes.)

Change
SR-491 to
SR-491
San

11-2

Instructions for Preparation of Page 6

Column 1: Control Points and Mileage. Top of column is one terminus of road. Indicate control points by identical number as shown on map on page 3. Show mileage between control points in miles and tenths.

Column 2: Pavement Type.	Code
High type, heavy duty	H
Intermediate type	I
Low type, dustless	L (show in red)
Not paved	N (show in red)

Column 3: Pavement Condition	Code
Excellent	E
Good	G
Fair	F (show in red)
Poor	P (show in red)

NOTE: In columns 2 and 3, where pavements types and conditions change, the location of the change shall be indicated by a short horizontal line at the proper place opposite the mileage log and the proper code letter (shown above) shall be entered in the respective column between the locations so indicated.

Column 4: Traffic. Indicate average daily traffic volumes in this column. Points of changes in these data to be indicated by short horizontal lines opposite the appropriate mileage point on the mileage log. Any existing main line rail crossing that is not separated shall be indicated at the appropriate mileage point by RRA – black if signalized – red if not protected by signals.

Columns 5 & 6 Pavement Width and Shoulder Width. These columns to be completed by comparing standards of highway involved with applicable AASHTO standards. Entries that fall to the right of the tolerance lines (dashed) should be shaded in red. If there are no deficiencies indicate by use of the word NONE.

Columns 7 & 8 Major Structures. Show in these columns those structures that do not meet AASHTO standards. Show by horizontal line sufficiently long to indicate percentage of deficiency. Portion on right of tolerance line shall be shown in red. Indicate length of structure in feet immediately under the line. Any sub-standard highway underpass structure shall be shown opposite the appropriate mileage point by the designation LP with the vertical clearance in feet following and shown in red. If there are no deficiencies indicate by the use of the word NONE.

Column 9: Vertical Sight Distance. Items to be shown in this column as a horizontal line, the length of which will indicate the deficiency as determined in accordance with comparisons with comparable AASHTO standards. Portions of the line past the tolerance line shall be shown in red.

Column 10: Horizontal Curvature. Curves in excess of AASHTO applicable standards to be shown in this column by a short horizontal line with degree of curve shown immediately above the line. To be shown in red.


Column 11: Percent Grades. Show by horizontal lines opposite proper mileage point on mileage log. Show percent of grade above the line and length of grade in feet immediately below. To be shown in red.

Change
SR-41.2

Mileage	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		
	Control Points and Mileage	Pavement Type	Pavement Condition	Traffic ADT	Comparison to Applicable AASHTO Design Standards							Show when in Excess of Standard	
					Pavement Width Deficiency	Shoulder Width Deficiency	Major Structures		Vertical Sight Distance Deficiency	Horizontal Curvature	Percent Grade		
							Roadway Width Deficiency	H - Loading Deficiency					
Percent: 10 20 30 40	Percent: 20 40 60 80	Percent: 10 20 30 40	Percent: 20 40 60 80	Percent: 20 40 60 80	Percent: 20 40 60 80	Percent: 20 40 60 80	Degree	Length					
0													
20													
40													
60													
80													
100													
120													
140													
160													

This application is to change the designation of an existing US Numbered Route

Attach additional sheet here if necessary

	UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION PROPERTY TAX DIVISION CENTRALLY ASSESSED PROPERTY VALUATION APPEAL FORM	ASSESSMENT YEAR 2020 REV. 01/2020
---	---	---

TAXPAYER INFORMATION

[a] UTAH TAX ACCOUNT NAME			[b] UTAH TAX ACCOUNT ID		
[c] INDUSTRY TYPE					
<input type="checkbox"/> Coal	<input type="checkbox"/> Coal Load-out	<input type="checkbox"/> Land-Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-Metalliferous Mining	<input type="checkbox"/> Metalliferous Mining	
<input type="checkbox"/> Oil & Gas Gathering	<input type="checkbox"/> Oil & Gas Production	<input type="checkbox"/> Oil & Gas Water Disposal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sand & Gravel	<input type="checkbox"/> Uranium	
<input type="checkbox"/> Air Carrier	<input type="checkbox"/> Electric Generation	<input type="checkbox"/> Electric Rural	<input type="checkbox"/> Electric Utility	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas Pipeline	
<input type="checkbox"/> Gas Utility	<input type="checkbox"/> Ground Access	<input type="checkbox"/> Liquid Pipeline	<input type="checkbox"/> Railroad	<input type="checkbox"/> Telecommunications	
<input type="checkbox"/> Railcar					
[d] NAME OF TAXPAYER COMPANY CONTACT Holliday Construction, Inc			COMPANY POSITION		
TAXPAYER ADDRESS (LINE 1) PO Box 502			E-MAIL ADDRESS		
TAXPAYER ADDRESS (LINE 2)			TELEPHONE NUMBER 435-678-2028		
CITY Blanding	STATE UT	ZIP CODE 84511	FAX NUMBER		

TAXPAYER REPRESENTATIVE/PETITIONER'S INFORMATION

[e] PETITIONER'S NAME Joey Holliday		PETITIONER'S EMPLOYER Holliday Construction, Inc.			
PETITIONER'S ADDRESS (LINE 1) PO Box 502			E-MAIL ADDRESS		
PETITIONER'S ADDRESS (LINE 2)			TELEPHONE NUMBER 435-678-2028		
CITY Blanding	STATE UT	ZIP CODE 84511	FAX NUMBER		

VALUATION INFORMATION


[f] STATE TAX COMMISSION VALUE \$675,893	[g] PETITIONER'S ESTIMATE OF FAIR MARKET VALUE \$450,480	DIFFERENCE IN VALUE \$225,413
--	--	---

AFFECTED COUNTIES (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

<input type="checkbox"/> BEAVER	<input type="checkbox"/> DUCHESNE	<input type="checkbox"/> KANE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAN JUAN	<input type="checkbox"/> UTAH
<input type="checkbox"/> BOX ELDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERY	<input type="checkbox"/> MILLARD	<input type="checkbox"/> SANPETE	<input type="checkbox"/> WASATCH
<input type="checkbox"/> CACHE	<input type="checkbox"/> GARFIELD	<input type="checkbox"/> MORGAN	<input type="checkbox"/> SEVIER	<input type="checkbox"/> WASHINGTON
<input type="checkbox"/> CARBON	<input type="checkbox"/> GRAND	<input type="checkbox"/> PIUTE	<input type="checkbox"/> SUMMIT	<input type="checkbox"/> WAYNE
<input type="checkbox"/> DAGGETT	<input type="checkbox"/> IRON	<input type="checkbox"/> RICH	<input type="checkbox"/> TOOELE	<input type="checkbox"/> WEBER
<input type="checkbox"/> DAVIS	<input type="checkbox"/> JUAB	<input type="checkbox"/> SALT LAKE	<input type="checkbox"/> UINTAH	

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

[h] PLEASE STATE THE REASON FOR RELIEF INCLUDING THE STATEMENT OF FACTS, SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS, AND AUTHORITIES RELIED ON:
 (ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NEEDED)

[m] SIGNATURE 	DATE 08/03/2020
--	---------------------------

We were late in filing our preliminary assessment form so the State estimated our values. They were fair in their approach, but they assumed a CWIP value of \$189,109. Holliday Construction, Inc. showed a loss in 2019 of \$77,129.

Our Valuation for 2019 Was based on 2018 Income of \$192,796, but the income for 2019 is the loss indicated above. There should be no WIP adjustment increase for the 2020 valuation.

Based on our calculations, we would have the following:

Holliday Construction, Inc.		2019	2020 Est	2020 Actual
Tax Area	001-0000	30,953	94,537	58,233
Tax Area	003-0000	77,207	61,368	61,368
Tax Area	011-0000	309,534	519,988	330,879
Total		\$ 417,694	\$ 675,893	\$ 450,480


The 001-0000 property – Lime Ridge should have no additional equipment added and no WIP.

The 003-0000 property – Blanding Pt is OK with the State Estimate of \$31,368 and no WIP.

The 011-0000 property – Bluff Pit is OK with the State Estimate of \$330,879 but should have no WIP.

Please let me know if this information is not clear, or if you require additional documentation.

Sincerely,



Sent via email to taxappeals@utah.gov

CC to

MR JOHN NIELSON
SAN JUAN COUNTY AUDITOR
PO BOX 338
MONTICELLO UT 84535



taxappeals TAX <taxappeals@utah.gov>

Holliday Construction - Valuation Appeal

1 message

Phil <phil@lymancpa.com>
To: taxappeals TAX <taxappeals@utah.gov>

Mon, Aug 3, 2020 at 5:02 PM

Please find the Centrally Assessed Properties 2020 Valuation Appeal Form attached.

If you have any questions you may respond to this email or to Joey Holliday, the President of Holliday Construction.

Thanks,

Phil Lyman
Certified Public Accountant
435-678-2411



 **Attachment for valuation appeal.pdf**
560K

20-1423



UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION
PROPERTY TAX DIVISION
CENTRALLY ASSESSED PROPERTY
VALUATION APPEAL FORM

ASSESSMENT
YEAR
2020
REV. 01/2020

TAXPAYER INFORMATION

[a] UTAH TAX ACCOUNT NAME
ELK OPERATING SERVICES, LLC

[b] UTAH TAX ACCOUNT ID
14584239-002-PCA

[c] INDUSTRY TYPE

<input type="checkbox"/> Coal	<input type="checkbox"/> Coal Load-out	<input type="checkbox"/> Land-Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-Metalliferous Mining	<input type="checkbox"/> Metalliferous Mining
<input type="checkbox"/> Oil & Gas Gathering	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil & Gas Production	<input type="checkbox"/> Oil & Gas Water Disposal	<input type="checkbox"/> Sand & Gravel	<input type="checkbox"/> Uranium
<input type="checkbox"/> Air Carrier	<input type="checkbox"/> Electric Generation	<input type="checkbox"/> Electric Rural	<input type="checkbox"/> Electric Utility	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas Pipeline
<input type="checkbox"/> Gas Utility	<input type="checkbox"/> Ground Access	<input type="checkbox"/> Liquid Pipeline	<input type="checkbox"/> Railroad	<input type="checkbox"/> Telecommunications
<input type="checkbox"/> Railcar				

[d] NAME OF TAXPAYER COMPANY CONTACT
MONICA BRISNEHAN

COMPANY POSITION
CFO

TAXPAYER ADDRESS (LINE 1)
1700 LINCOLN, SUITE 2550

E-MAIL ADDRESS
mbrisnehan@elkga.com

TAXPAYER ADDRESS (LINE 2)

TELEPHONE NUMBER
303-861-6255

FAX NUMBER

CITY
DENVER

STATE
CO

ZIP CODE
80203

TAXPAYER REPRESENTATIVE/PETITIONER'S INFORMATION

[e] PETITIONER'S NAME
DAMIEN LARSON

PETITIONER'S EMPLOYER
STANCIL PROPERTY TAX, LLC

PETITIONER'S ADDRESS (LINE 1)
PO Box 968

E-MAIL ADDRESS
damiem.larson@gmail.com

PETITIONER'S ADDRESS (LINE 2)

TELEPHONE NUMBER
281-341-5454

FAX NUMBER

CITY
KATY

STATE
Tx

ZIP CODE
77492

VALUATION INFORMATION

[f] STATE TAX COMMISSION VALUE \$107,909,198	[g] PETITIONER'S ESTIMATE OF FAIR MARKET VALUE ~ \$90,000,000	DIFFERENCE IN VALUE \$17,909,198
--	---	--

AFFECTED COUNTIES (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

<input type="checkbox"/> BEAVER	<input type="checkbox"/> DUCHESNE	<input type="checkbox"/> KANE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAN JUAN	<input type="checkbox"/> UTAH
<input type="checkbox"/> BOX ELDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERY	<input type="checkbox"/> MILLARD	<input type="checkbox"/> SANPETE	<input type="checkbox"/> WASATCH
<input type="checkbox"/> CACHE	<input type="checkbox"/> GARFIELD	<input type="checkbox"/> MORGAN	<input type="checkbox"/> SEVIER	<input type="checkbox"/> WASHINGTON
<input type="checkbox"/> CARBON	<input type="checkbox"/> GRAND	<input type="checkbox"/> PIUTE	<input type="checkbox"/> SUMMIT	<input type="checkbox"/> WAYNE
<input type="checkbox"/> DAGGETT	<input type="checkbox"/> IRON	<input type="checkbox"/> RICH	<input type="checkbox"/> TOOELE	<input type="checkbox"/> WEBER
<input type="checkbox"/> DAVIS	<input type="checkbox"/> JUAB	<input type="checkbox"/> SALT LAKE	<input type="checkbox"/> UINTAH	

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

[h] PLEASE STATE THE REASON FOR RELIEF INCLUDING THE STATEMENT OF FACTS, SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS, AND AUTHORITIES RELIED ON:
(ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NEEDED)

ATTACHED

[i] SIGNATURE

DATE
7/29/20

STANCIL PROPERTY TAX, LLC
P.O. Box 968
KATY, TX 77492

TELEPHONE: (281) 341-5454
TELECOPY: (281) 341-6015

July 28, 2020

Utah State Tax Commission
Property Tax Division
Centrally Assessed Property
Attn: Appeals Unit

Re: Appeal for Elk Operating Services, LLC

The Valuation Appeal Form has been completed and supplied to the Utah State Tax Commission to initiate the process for appeal of the 2020 Assessment for Elk Operating Services, LLC (Account #14584239-002-PCA).

The basis of the appeal is specifically the natural gas production valuation in the McElmo Creek Unit. As shown in the attached document (2019 Monthly Gross Production and Sales by Unit), the natural gas production was 1,650,450 MCF but only 487 MCF was sold as residue gas. The difference was either processed into NGL's, Flared or Re-injected to the Unit as part of a continued long-term pressure maintenance project to enhance crude oil production.

The second attachment to review is the data from the Utah State Tax Commission's economic summary of reserves. This shows the natural gas projections used to calculate the 'After Tax Value'. The Qi Rate (163,174 MCF/month) is the initial rate of natural gas production and although that number is accurate the amount sold as natural gas is much less. As mentioned in the prior paragraph, most of the natural gas produced is recycled and injected back into the Unit. A correct assumption to be used in the USTC economics would be to use a much smaller volume of natural gas as a Qi Rate.

The 2020 Notice of Assessment currently values the Units at \$107,909,198. With the adjustment in natural gas production back to what is actually sold the expected value will be approximately \$90,000,000.

The 2020 Notice of Assessment is attached to this appeal package.

Please contact me directly with any questions, comments or scheduling options. I look forward to resolving this issue in a timely manner and expect that we will be able to come to an agreement very soon on this matter. Thank you,

Sincerely,

Damien Larson

STANCIL PROPERTY TAX, LLC



UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION
PROPERTY TAX DIVISION
CENTRALLY ASSESSED PROPERTY
VALUATION APPEAL FORM

ASSESSMENT
YEAR
2020
REV. 01/2020

TAXPAYER INFORMATION

[a] UTAH TAX ACCOUNT NAME [b] UTAH TAX ACCOUNT ID

[c] INDUSTRY TYPE
 Coal Coal Load-out Land-Only Non-Metalliferrous Mining Metalliferous Mining
 Oil & Gas Gathering Oil & Gas Production Oil & Gas Water Disposal Sand & Gravel Uranium
 Air Carrier Electric Generation Electric Rural Electric Utility Gas Pipeline
 Gas Utility Ground Access Liquid Pipeline Railroad Telecommunications
 Railcar

[d] NAME OF TAXPAYER COMPANY CONTACT: Holliday Construction, Inc. COMPANY POSITION
TAXPAYER ADDRESS (LINE 1): PO Box 502 E-MAIL ADDRESS
TAXPAYER ADDRESS (LINE 2): TELEPHONE NUMBER: 435-678-2028
CITY: Blanding STATE: UT ZIP CODE: 84511 FAX NUMBER

TAXPAYER REPRESENTATIVE/PETITIONER'S INFORMATION

[e] PETITIONER'S NAME: Joey Holliday PETITIONER'S EMPLOYER: Holliday Construction, Inc.
PETITIONER'S ADDRESS (LINE 1): PO Box 502 E-MAIL ADDRESS
PETITIONER'S ADDRESS (LINE 2): TELEPHONE NUMBER: 435-678-2028
CITY: Blanding STATE: UT ZIP CODE: 84511 FAX NUMBER

VALUATION INFORMATION

[f] STATE TAX COMMISSION VALUE: \$675,893 [g] PETITIONER'S ESTIMATE OF FAIR MARKET VALUE: \$450,480 DIFFERENCE IN VALUE: \$225,413

AFFECTED COUNTIES (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

[h] BEAVER DUCHESNE KANE SAN JUAN UTAH
 BOX ELDER EMERY MILLARD SANPETE WASATCH
 CACHE GARFIELD MORGAN SEVIER WASHINGTON
 CARBON GRAND PIUTE SUMMIT WAYNE
 DAGGETT IRON RICH TOOELE WEBER
 DAVIS JUAB SALT LAKE UINTAH

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

[i-] PLEASE STATE THE REASON FOR RELIEF INCLUDING THE STATEMENT OF FACTS, SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS, AND AUTHORITIES RELIED ON:
(ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NEEDED)

[m] SIGNATURE: *Joey Holliday* DATE: 08/03/2020

We were late in filing our preliminary assessment form so the state estimated your values. They were fair in their approach, but they assumed a CWIP value of \$189,109. Holliday Construction, Inc. showed a loss in 2019 of \$77,129.

Our Valuation for 2019 Was based on 2018 Income of \$192,796, but the income for 2019 is the loss indicated above. There should be no WIP adjustment increase for the 2020 valuation.

Based on our calculations, we would have the following:

Holliday Construction, Inc.		2019	2020 Est	2020 Actual
Tax Area	001-0000	30,953	94,537	58,233
Tax Area	003-0000	77,207	61,368	61,368
Tax Area	011-0000	309,534	519,988	330,879
Total		\$ 417,694	\$ 675,893	\$ 450,480

The 001-0000 property – Lime Ridge should have no additional equipment added and no WIP.

The 003-0000 property – Blanding Pt is OK with the State Estimate of \$31,368 and no WIP.

The 011-0000 property – Bluff Pit is OK with the State Estimate of \$330,879 but should have no WIP.

Please let me know if this information is not clear, or if you require additional documentation.

Sincerely,



Property Tax Division of the Utah State Tax Commission
210 N 1950 W, Third Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah 84134
Telephone: (801) 297-3609

BEFORE THE UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION

<p>ELK OPERATING SERVICES LLC</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p>PROPERTY TAX DIVISION OF THE UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>	<p>SETTLEMENT STIPULATION</p> <p>Taxpayer No. 14584239-002-PCA</p> <p>Appeal No. 20-1423</p> <p>Centrally Assessed Property Tax</p>
---	--

1. This Stipulation is entered into by and between the Property Tax Division of the Utah State Tax Commission (the "Division") and Elk Operating Services, LLC ("Elk Operating").
2. The parties have discussed and considered their respective positions concerning the assessed values determined by the Division for the related tax years and recognize the considerable costs and risks inherent in the potential litigation of these matters.
3. The parties mutually desire to avoid these costs and risks and fully settle and resolve Elk Operating's appeal for the property tax year in question without further litigation, in a fair, reasonable and economic fashion.

4. The parties agree to resolve and settle the above-captioned appeal by stipulating to the following "Stipulated Taxable Value" for the property tax year in question:

<u>Tax Year</u>	<u>Original Assessment</u>	<u>Stipulated Taxable Value</u>
2020	\$107,909,198	\$87,992,858

5. The parties further stipulate that the amounts identified as "Stipulated Taxable Value" reflect the parties' reasonable approximation of the fair market value of Elk Operating's taxable property under Utah law for the property tax year at issue.

6. The Division made two separate clerical errors in the initial assessment of Elk Operating. Elk Operating provided information regarding their shrinkage of nearly 100% of their natural gas production. This shrinkage information was not used in the original value calculation by the Division. Elk Operating also provided an updated Indian Revenue Interest, which was greater than the previous year. This update was not entered in the original assessment.

7. The appraisal methods applied in this stipulation pertain to the lien dates listed above only and the use of such methods cannot be used as evidence or as an admission against any party in another lien date or matter.

8. The parties understand that this Stipulation is subject to approval by the Utah State Tax Commission and shall become final and conclusive between the parties upon approval by the Utah State Tax Commission, as evidenced by a written order executed by the Tax Commissioners.


9. Should the Tax Commission decline to approve this Stipulation, it shall be null and void and shall not be admissible as evidence against any party in any future proceedings.


10. The parties further stipulate that Elk Operating’s above-captioned Utah property tax appeal shall be dismissed when the Tax Commission's Order of Approval becomes final.

STIPULATED this 3rd day of September, 2020 by:


ELK OPERATING SERVICES LLC

PROPERTY TAX DIVISION


Damien Larson (Sep 3, 2020 12:56 CDT)
Damien Larson
Representative,
Elk Operating Services, LLC


Roger Barth (Sep 3, 2020 11:49 MDT)
Roger Barth
Natural Resources Section Manager
Property Tax Division

SAN JUAN COUNTY


John David Nielson (Sep 3, 2020 13:20 MDT)
John David Nielson
Clerk/Auditor
San Juan County



Utah State Tax Commission
 Property Tax Division - Centrally Assessed
2020 Revised Notice of Valuation
County Breakout Report

Item 11.

Taxpayer: ELK OPERATING SERVICES LLC
Appeal Number: 20-1423

Taxpayer Number: 14584239-002-PCA
Industry: Oil & Gas Production

<u>County</u>	<u>Tax Area</u>	<u>Original Valuation</u>	<u>Revised Valuation</u>	<u>Change in Valuation</u>
San Juan				
	001-0000	\$107,909,198	\$87,992,858	-\$19,916,340
San Juan County Total		\$107,909,198	\$87,992,858	-\$19,916,340
TOTAL VALUE		\$107,909,198	\$87,992,858	-\$19,916,340



Utah State Tax Commission

PROPERTY TAX DIVISION 210 N 1950 W SALT LAKE CITY UT 84134-9000

Item 11.

Website: tax.utah.gov


rTL099 04/2020

Letter Issue Date
April 30, 2020

Letter ID
L1065700128

Account Type
Prop Central Assessed (PCA)

Account Number
11693941-008-PCA



JOEY HOLLIDAY
HOLLIDAY CONSTRUCTION INC
HOLLIDAY CONSTRUCTION INC
PO BOX 502
BLANDING UT 84511-0502



**Notice of 2020 Estimated Assessment and Penalty
Sand & Gravel**

Based on the information we received and Utah Tax Code, Title 59 Chapter 2, the Utah State Tax Commission has assessed an estimated value of \$675,893, as of January 1, 2020, on the property listed in the **Notice of Valuation** portion of this notice. Based on Utah Tax Code, Title 59 Chapter 2, a penalty of 10% of the tax due up to a maximum of \$50,000 but not less than \$100 will be assessed because your return was not filed by the due date. The amount of penalty will be listed on the property tax assessment bill you will receive later in the year from the county or counties where your property is located.

What To Do

- Review all sections of this notice. If you agree with this notice, the county or counties where your property is located will assess your tax based on the value(s) indicated in this notice.
- If you disagree with the **estimated valuation**, based on Utah Tax Code, Title 59 Chapter 2, you may appeal the value by filing a *Valuation Appeal Form* with the Appeals Unit of the Utah State Tax Commission on or before **August 3, 2020**. Get the *Valuation Appeal Form* at propertytax.utah.gov/forms or by calling 801-297-3600.
- If you believe the **penalty** has been imposed improperly, based on Utah Tax Code, Title 59 Chapter 2, you may appeal the penalty by filing a *Penalty Appeal Form* with the Appeals Unit of the Utah State Tax Commission on or before **August 3, 2020**. Get the *Penalty Appeal Form* at propertytax.utah.gov/forms or by calling 801-297-3600.
- Appeal forms must be emailed, mailed, faxed or delivered to:

Appeals Unit
Utah State Tax Commission
210 N 1950 W
Salt Lake City UT 84134

Office: 801-297-3904
Fax: 801-297-3919
taxappeals@utah.gov

- If you file an appeal, you may request a Division Conference to clarify and narrow the issues and problems. Please call 801-297-3600 or 1-800-662-4335 ext 3600 to request and schedule a Division Conference.
- Based on Utah Tax Code, Title 59 Chapter 2, if you appeal with the Utah State Tax Commission, you must also file a copy of the appeal with the County Auditor of the county or counties where your property is located.

Contact Information

If you have any questions, please call the Property Tax Division at 801-297-3600 or toll free at 1-800-662-4335 ext 3600 or send us a fax at 801-297-3699. You may also write to the Property Tax Division at the address at the top of this notice.

Respectfully,
Property Tax Division

The Utah Taxpayer Bill of Rights (Pub 2) is available on the Tax Commission's website tax.utah.gov or upon request. This publication describes your rights and obligations and the Tax Commission's procedures for appeals, refund claims and collections.

If you need an accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act, contact the Tax Commission at 801-297-3811 or TDD 801-297-2020. Please allow three working days for a response.



Utah State Tax Commission
Property Tax Division
2020 Notice of Valuation
SUMMARY
Sand & Gravel

rtL099

HOLLIDAY CONSTRUCTION INC

Account Number: 11693941-008-PCA

County: San Juan

	Land	Improvement	Personal	CWIP	Income Alloc.	Total
Tax Area: 001-0000	\$	\$	\$94,537	\$	\$	\$94,537
Tax Area: 003-0000	\$	\$	\$61,368	\$	\$	\$61,368
Tax Area: 011-0000	\$	\$	\$330,879	\$	\$189,109	\$519,988
County Totals:	\$	\$	\$486,784	\$	\$189,109	\$675,893
Taxpayer Totals	\$	\$	\$486,784	\$	\$189,109	\$675,893



Utah State Tax Commission
 Property Tax Division
2020 Notice of Valuation
DETAIL BY TAX AREA
 Sand & Gravel

Item 11.

rtL099

HOLLIDAY CONSTRUCTION INC

Account Number: 11693941-008-PCA

County: San Juan

Tax Area: 001-0000

County Key:

SA-2506

Location Id 71881 **Name:** LIME RIDGE PIT
Unit Name LIME RIDGE PIT **Unit Id:** 42586
PLSS: 41S 20E 16 SLBM
UTME, UTMN: 613160, 4120844

Land	Improvements	CWIP	Prs. Prop	Allocation
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$94,537	\$0

Total Taxable Value: \$94,537

Total Tax Area Land Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Improvement Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Personal Property Taxable Value:	\$94,537
Total Tax Area CWIP Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Allocated Income Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Taxable Value for Tax Area 001-0000	\$94,537

County: San Juan

Tax Area: 003-0000

County Key:

SA-2512

Location Id 57821 **Name:** BLANDING PIT
Unit Name BLANDING PIT **Unit Id:** 57820
PLSS: 36S 22E 14 SLBM
UTME, UTMN: 635954, 4169519

Land	Improvements	CWIP	Prs. Prop	Allocation
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$61,368	\$0

Total Taxable Value: \$61,368

Total Tax Area Land Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Improvement Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Personal Property Taxable Value:	\$61,368
Total Tax Area CWIP Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Allocated Income Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Taxable Value for Tax Area 003-0000	\$61,368

County: San Juan

Tax Area: 011-0000

County Key:



Utah State Tax Commission
 Property Tax Division
2020 Notice of Valuation
DETAIL BY TAX AREA
Sand & Gravel

Item 11.

rtL099

HOLLIDAY CONSTRUCTION INC

Account Number: 11693941-008-PCA

Location Id 71880 Name: BLUFF PIT
 Unit Name BLUFF PIT Unit Id: 42585
 PLSS: 40S 22E 19 SLBM
 UTMN: 628923, 4128422

Land	Improvements	CWIP	Prs. Prop	Allocation
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$330,879	\$189,109

Total Taxable Value: \$519,988

Total Tax Area Land Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Improvement Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Personal Property Taxable Value:	\$330,879
Total Tax Area CWIP Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Allocated Income Taxable Value:	\$189,109
Total Taxable Value for Tax Area 011-0000	\$519,988

Total for County: San Juan \$675,893

Total for: HOLLIDAY CONSTRUCTION INC \$675,893

20-14115

Item 11.



UTAH STATE TAX COMMISSION
PROPERTY TAX DIVISION
CENTRALLY ASSESSED PROPERTY
VALUATION APPEAL FORM

ASSESSMENT
YEAR
2020
REV. 01/2020

TAXPAYER INFORMATION

(a) UTAH TAX ACCOUNT NAME: **DELHI-Trading, LLC** (b) UTAH TAX ACCOUNT ID: **14364199-005-PCA**

(c) INDUSTRY TYPE
 Coal Coal Load-out Land-Only Non-Metalliferous Mining Metalliferous Mining
 Oil & Gas Gathering Oil & Gas Production Oil & Gas Water Disposal Sand & Gravel Uranium
 Air Carrier Electric Generation Electric Rural Electric Utility Gas Pipeline
 Gas Utility Ground Access Liquid Pipeline Railroad Telecommunications
 Railcar

(d) NAME OF TAXPAYER COMPANY CONTACT: **PATRICK HEGARTY** COMPANY POSITION: **Managing Member**

TAXPAYER ADDRESS (LINE 1): **P.O. Box 157** E-MAIL ADDRESS: **patrick.hegarty@DELHI-Trading.com**

TAXPAYER ADDRESS (LINE 2): TELEPHONE NUMBER: **505-330-3210**

CITY: **FLORA VISTA** STATE: **NM** ZIP CODE: **87415** FAX NUMBER:

TAXPAYER REPRESENTATIVE/PETITIONER'S INFORMATION

(e) PETITIONER'S NAME: **Same as above** PETITIONER'S EMPLOYER:

PETITIONER'S ADDRESS (LINE 1): E-MAIL ADDRESS:

PETITIONER'S ADDRESS (LINE 2): TELEPHONE NUMBER:

CITY: STATE: ZIP CODE: FAX NUMBER:

VALUATION INFORMATION

(f) STATE TAX COMMISSION VALUE: **\$949,410.00** (g) PETITIONER'S ESTIMATE OF FAIR MARKET VALUE: **Peradox zero Maantee \$456,167** DIFFERENCE IN VALUE: **\$493,243.00**

AFFECTED COUNTIES (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

(h) BEAVER DUCHESNE KANE SAN JUAN UTAH
 BOX ELDER EMERY MILLARD SANPETE WASATCH
 CACHE GARFIELD MORGAN SEVIER WASHINGTON
 CARBON GRAND PIUTE SUMMIT WAYNE
 DAGGETT IRON RICH TOOELE WEBER
 DAVIS JUAB SALT LAKE UINTAH

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

(i) PLEASE STATE THE REASON FOR RELIEF INCLUDING THE STATEMENT OF FACTS, SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS, AND AUTHORITIES RELIED ON:
(ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NEEDED)
SEE attached

(m) SIGNATURE: **Patrick Hegarty** DATE: **7.30.2020**

**DELHI-TRADING, LLC
PATRICK Hegarty
MANAGING member**



Utah State Tax Commission
 Property Tax Division
2020 Notice of Valuation
DETAIL BY TAX AREA
 Oil & Gas Production

r1L099

DELHI TRADING LLC

Account Number: 14364199-005-PCA

Location Id 18874 Name: CAZADOR UNIT 1-4
 Unit Name CAZADOR UNIT 1-4
 PLSS: 370S 250E 31 SLBM
 UTME, UTMN: 658457, 4154265

Unit Id: 18874
 API Number 43-037-31452
 Depth / Status 5850 / S

Land	Improvements	CWIP	Prs. Prop	Allocation
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,209	\$0

Total Taxable Value: \$7,209

Total Tax Area Land Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Improvement Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Personal Property Taxable Value:	\$68,231
Total Tax Area CWIP Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Allocated Income Taxable Value:	\$392,443
Total Taxable Value for Tax Area 001-0000	\$460,674

Total for County: San Juan

\$460,674

County: Uintah

Tax Area: 001-0000

County Key: 50-01-64199

Location Id 70071 Name: MANATEE FED 1
 Unit Name MANATEE FED 1
 PLSS: 100S 180E 09 SLBM
 UTME, UTMN: 594590, 4423073

Unit Id: 71950
 API Number 43-047-35798
 Depth / Status 5000 / P

Land	Improvements	CWIP	Prs. Prop	Allocation
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$32,569	\$456,167

Total Taxable Value: \$488,736

Total Tax Area Land Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Improvement Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Personal Property Taxable Value:	\$32,569
Total Tax Area CWIP Taxable Value:	\$0
Total Tax Area Allocated Income Taxable Value:	\$456,167
Total Taxable Value for Tax Area 001-0000	\$488,736

Total for County: Uintah

\$488,736

Total for: DELHI TRADING LLC

\$949,410

Invoice

Production Service, Inc.



P.O. Box 73
17179 Co. Rd. G

Date	Invoice #
7/6/2020	2823

Bill To
DELHI-TRADING LLC PATRICK/KELLY HEGARTY P.O. BOX 157 FLORA VISTA, NM 87415

P.O. No.	Terms	Project
	Net 30	

Quantity	Description	Rate	Amount
	PROVIDED LABOR AND EQUIPMENT TO PUMP THE FOLLOWING LEASES FOR THE MONTH OF JUNE, 2020.	0.00	0.00
	CAZADOR 1-1	125.00	125.00
	CAZADOR 1-2	125.00	125.00
	CAZADOR 1-4	125.00	125.00
	MCCRACKEN SPRINGS 2-31	500.00	500.00
	TAOS STATE 41-36	125.00	125.00
	TAOS SWD	125.00	125.00
8	LABORER-6/4/20-CAZ 1-2-MEET TRUCKS AND HOT OIL FLOW LINES.	40.00	320.00
8	TRUCK & TOOLS	30.00	240.00

HEGARTY & ASSOCIATES DELHI-Trading, LLC P.O. Box 157 Flora Vista, NM 87415 (505) 330-3210, (505) 320-4989		2837  95-207/1022
PAY TO THE ORDER OF <u>Production Service, Inc.</u>		DATE <u>7.12.2020</u>
one thousand six eighty five & 00/cents		\$ <u>1685.00</u> DOLLARS
FOR INV# <u>2823 - JUNE 20 pumper</u>		
#002837# 1022020781		0231422306#

	Total	\$1,685.00
--	--------------	-------------------



OwnerRelations Connect Report

Created 04/23/2020 at 3:50:33 PM

Checks by Owner

For Owner#: 706813

For Check Date: 04/17/2020 to: 04/21/2020

#	Check#	Check Date	Property#	Property Name	Production Date	Product Type	Int Type	Owner Vol	Owner Gross	Owner Tax	Owner Deducts	Owner Net	Sender
1	000030000156	04/20/2020	00302997-00001	CAZADOR UNIT CTB	03/01/2020	1	WI	196.98	\$3,367.47	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,367.47	WESTERN REFINING SOUTHWEST, INC
Totals:									196.98	\$3,367.47	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,367.47

The community contact information contained in this report is confidential and proprietary, intended only for the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Any unauthorized use, distribution, or disclosure is prohibited.

Copyright © 2020 Oildex, a service of Transzap, Inc. All rights reserved. This content is provided subject to the limited license described in the General Terms and Conditions located at <https://www.oildex.com/oildex-terms-and-conditions>.
 NO PORTION OF THIS CONTENT MAY BE COPIED, REPRODUCED, INCLUDED IN DERIVATIVE WORKS, TRANSFERRED, SOLD, SUB-LICENSED, PUBLISHED, DISTRIBUTED OR OTHERWISE MADE AVAILABLE TO ANY THIRD PARTY WITHOUT THE EXPRESS WRITTEN PERMISSION OF TRANSZAP, INC.



OwnerRelations Connect Report

Created 05/28/2020 at 10:55:01 AM

Checks by Owner

For Owner#: 706813

For Check Date: 05/20/2020 to: 05/20/2020

#	Check#	Check Date	Property#	Property Name	Production Date	Product Type	Int Type	Owner Vol	Owner Gross	Owner Tax	Owner Deducts	Owner Net	Sender
1	000030000160	05/20/2020	00303874-00001	MCCRACKEN SPRING #2-31	04/01/2020	1	WI	193.5	\$909.84	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$909.84	WESTERN REFINING SOUTHWEST, INC
Totals:								193.5	\$909.84	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$909.84	

The community contact information contained in this report is confidential and proprietary, intended only for the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Any unauthorized use, distribution, or disclosure is prohibited.

Copyright © 2020 Oildex, a service of Transzap, Inc. All rights reserved. This content is provided subject to the limited license described in the General Terms and Conditions located at <https://www.oildex.com/oildex-terms-and-conditions>.
 NO PORTION OF THIS CONTENT MAY BE COPIED, REPRODUCED, INCLUDED IN DERIVATIVE WORKS, TRANSFERRED, SOLD, SUB-LICENSED, PUBLISHED, DISTRIBUTED OR OTHERWISE MADE AVAILABLE TO ANY THIRD PARTY WITHOUT THE EXPRESS WRITTEN PERMISSION OF TRANSZAP, INC.

Production Service, Inc.

P.O. Box 73
17179 Co. Rd. G


Invoice Item 11.

Date	Invoice #
5/5/2020	2815

Bill To
DELHI-TRADING LLC PATRICK/KELLY HEGARTY P.O. BOX 157 FLORA VISTA, NM 87415

P.O. No.	Terms	Project
	Net 30	

Quantity	Description	Rate	Amount
	PROVIDED LABOR AND EQUIPMENT TO PUMP THE FOLLOWING LEASES FOR THE MONTH OF APRIL, 2020.	0.00	0.00
	CAZADOR 1-1	125.00	125.00
	CAZADOR 1-2	125.00	125.00
	CAZADOR 1-4	500.00	500.00
	MCCRACKEN SPRINGS 2-31	500.00	500.00
	TAOS STATE 41-36	125.00	125.00
	TAOS SWD	125.00	125.00
	FUEL FOR CAZADOR	CAZ 1-4 → 25.12	25.12

HEGARTY & ASSOCIATES DELHI-Trading, LLC P.O. Box 157 Flora Vista, NM 87415 (505) 330-3210, (505) 320-4989		2806 <small>Check # 95-207/1022</small>
PAY TO THE ORDER OF <u>Production Services, Inc.</u>		DATE <u>5-13-2020</u>
<u>one thousand five hundred and twenty five & 12/100ths</u>		\$ <u>1525.12</u>
 Citizens Bank <small>Aztec Branch 215 South Main Avenue Aztec, NM 87410</small>		
FOR INV# 2815 / @ WCLUS PUMPER APR 2020		<i>Kelly Hegarty</i>
@002806 @ 102202078 @ 0231422306 @		

	Total	\$1,525.12
--	--------------	------------

Clk#
28

MAVERIK
Cortez | #497
455 State Street
Cortez, CO 81321

04/05/2020 17:43:42
MID 231255
TID 001
Invoice # 4971580669

Sequence# 020796
WEX #0823
Odometer 32625
Veh. # 00131
Auth 296451

Pump: 7 UNLEADED
Gallons 10.473
PPG \$2.399
Amount \$25.12
Total Amt \$25.12

CARD #: **560791
ADVENTURE CLUB

POINTS EARNED 10
POINTS BALANCE 2002

Fuel Disc \$0.02/Gal

Thank You.
Please Come Again.
www.maverik.com

Customer Service
1-800-789-4455

Del - Hi

Cazador

Production Service, Inc.

P.O. Box 73
17179 Co. Rd. G

Inv Item 11.

Date	Invoice #
6/2/2020	2819

Bill To
DELHI-TRADING LLC PATRICK/KELLY HEGARTY P.O. BOX 157 FLORA VISTA, NM 87415

P.O. No.	Terms	Project
	Net 30	

Quantity	Description	Rate	Amount
	PROVIDED LABOR AND EQUIPMENT TO PUMP THE FOLLOWING LEASES FOR THE MONTH OF MAY, 2020.	0.00	0.00
	CAZADOR 1-1	125.00	125.00
	CAZADOR 1-2	125.00	125.00
	CAZADOR 1-4	125.00	125.00
	MCCRACKEN SPRINGS 2-31	500.00	500.00
	TAOS STATE 41-36	125.00	125.00
	TAOS SWD	125.00	125.00

HEGARTY & ASSOCIATES DELHI-Trading, LLC P.O. Box 157 Flora Vista, NM 87415 (505) 330-3210, (505) 320-4989		2826 <small>95-207/1022</small>
PAY TO THE ORDER OF <u>Production Services, Inc.</u>		DATE <u>6-8-2020</u>
<u>one thousand one hundred and twenty five & 00/100</u>		\$ <u>1125.00</u> DOLLARS
Citizens Bank <small>Aztec Branch 215 South Main Avenue Aztec, NM 87410</small>		<u>Kelly Hegarty</u>
FOR <u>INV #2819/pumper May 2020</u>		<small>0231422306</small>
<small>⑆002826⑆ ⑆102202078⑆</small>		

	Total	\$1,125.00
--	--------------	-------------------

Montezuma Well Service Inc.

Montezuma Creek, UT 84534

Invoice Item 11.

Date	Invoice #
5/14/2020	33601T

Bill To
DELHI TRADING LLC PO Box 157 Flora Vista, NM 87415

AFE #	Terms	Project

Quantity	Description	Rate	Amount
4.5	85 BBL VACUUM TRUCK 11958	80.27	361.22
1	RECAPTURE BRINE DISPOSAL PIT	120.00	120.00
75	DISPOSAL CLOSING FEE-BBLS X .25	0.37	27.75
4.5	85 BBL VACUUM TRUCK 11963	80.27	361.22
1	RECAPTURE BRINE DISPOSAL PIT	120.00	120.00
75	DISPOSAL CLOSING FEE-BBLS X .25	0.37	27.75
4.5	85 BBL VACUUM TRUCK 11961	80.27	361.22
1	RECAPTURE BRINE DISPOSAL PIT	120.00	120.00
75	DISPOSAL CLOSING FEE-BBLS X .25	0.37	27.75
4.5	85 BBL VACUUM TRUCK 11971	80.27	361.22
1	RECAPTURE BRINE DISPOSAL PIT	120.00	120.00
75	DISPOSAL CLOSING FEE-BBLS X .25	0.37	27.75

ALL FROM
CAZ 1-4
\$2035.88

HEGARTY & ASSOCIATES
DELHI-Trading, LLC
P.O. Box 157
Flora Vista, NM 87415
(505) 330-3210, (505) 320-4989

2824

Check 21
95-207/1022

DATE 6-5-2020

PAY TO THE ORDER OF Montezuma Well Service \$ 2035.88

two thousand thirty five & 88/cents DOLLARS



FOR INV # 33601T / CAZ 1-4 water haul

Kelly Garty

⑈002824⑈ ⑆102202078⑆ 0231422306⑈

TOTAL \$2,035.88

MONTEZUMA WELL SERVICE

P.O. Box 540
Montezuma Creek, Utah 84534
Telephone (435) 651-3469

TICKET
11989

TRUCK NO. 65

DRIVER LYNN YAZZI

DATE 4-23-2020

CUSTOMER DELHI TRADING LLC.

LEASE _____

FROM TAMS ST. 41-36 CAZADOR P/W TANK

TO MWS DISPOSAL

DRIVER TRUCK NO. / REC'D BY _____ Customer Vendor No. _____ Work Order # _____

DRIVER TRUCK NO.	REC'D BY	FLUIDS		STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.	HRS.	WATER COST	(DISP. FEE)	PROPANE
		NO. LDS	NO. BBLs								
MWS DISPOSAL P/W		1	75	8:00	A.M. P.M.	12:30	A.M. P.M.	4 1/2			
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			Disp 120.00	
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.				
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			75	
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			80.37	
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			27.75	
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.				
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			147.75	
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.				

TOTAL LOADS	1	COST PER HOUR \$	80.27	TOTAL HOURS	4 1/2	SUB TOTAL \$	361.21
TOTAL BARRELS	75			TOTAL PROPANE		\$	147.75
				TOTAL DISPOSAL		\$	508.96
				Sub Total		\$	508.96
				State Sales Tax		\$	
				Navajo Nation Tax		\$	
				Grand Total		\$	508.96

Completed by LYNN YAZZI Approved by _____

Job Description PULL FLUID FROM CAZADOR P/W TANK. HAUL FLUID TO MWS DISPOSAL.
(TODD HENDERSON)

[Signature]

MONTEZUMA WELL SERVICE

P.O. Box 540
Montezuma Creek, Utah 84534
Telephone (435) 651-3469

TICKET

TRUCK NO. 67

11008

DRIVER Jimmy Tsosie

DATE 04-23-2020

CUSTOMER Delhi Trading

LEASE _____

FROM Taos state 41-36 Carador P/W TO MWS Disposal

DRIVER TRUCK NO.	/ REC'D BY	FLUIDS		Customer Vendor No.			Work Order #			
		NO. LDS	NO. BBLs				HRS.	WATER COST	DISP. FEE	PROPANE
<u>MWS Disposal</u>		<u>1</u>	<u>75</u>	STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.	<u>4 1/2</u>	Disp	<u>120.00</u>
				<u>8:00</u>		<u>12:30</u>	<u>PM</u>			
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			<u>75</u>
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			<u>x0.37</u>
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			<u>27.75</u>
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			<u>147.75</u>
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			

TOTAL LOADS 1 COST PER HOUR \$ 80.27 TOTAL HOURS 4 1/2 SUB TOTAL \$ 361.21

TOTAL BARRELS	<u>75</u>	TOTAL PROPANE	
		TOTAL DISPOSAL	\$ <u>147.75</u>
		Sub Total	\$ <u>508.96</u>
		State Sales Tax	\$
		Navajo Nation Tax	\$
		Grand Total	\$ <u>508.96</u>

Completed by Jimmy Tsosie Approved by _____

Job Description Pulled produce water fluids @ Taos state # 41-36, Carador for Delhi Trading LLC. Disposal 75 BBL @ MWS. Todd Henderson

[Signature]

APR 29 2020

MONTEZUMA WELL SERVICE

P.O. Box 540
Montezuma Creek, Utah 84534
Telephone (435) 651-3469

TICKET

11801

TRUCK NO. 65

DRIVER LYNN YAZZI

DATE 4-27-2020

CUSTOMER DELHI TRADING LLC

LEASE _____

FROM TACO ST. 41-36 CAZADOR P/W TANK

TO MWS DISPOSAL

DRIVER TRUCK NO. / REC'D BY
Customer Vendor No. _____ Work Order # _____

DRIVER TRUCK NO.	/ REC'D BY	FLUIDS		STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.	HRS.	WATER COST	DISP. FEE	PROPANE
		NO. LDS	NO. BBLs								
MWS DISPOSAL P/W		1	75	8:00		12:30		4 1/2			
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME				Disp 120.00	
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME					
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME				75	
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME				X0.37	
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME				27.75	
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME					
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME					
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME					
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME					
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME					
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME					
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME					
				STARTING TIME		STOP TIME					

147.75

TOTAL LOADS	1	COST PER HOUR \$	80.27	TOTAL HOURS	4 1/2	SUB TOTAL \$	361.21
TOTAL BARRELS	75			TOTAL PROPANE			
				TOTAL DISPOSAL		\$	147.75
				Sub Total		\$	508.96
				State Sales Tax		\$	
				Navajo Nation Tax		\$	
				Grand Total		\$	508.96

Completed by LYNN YAZZI Approved by _____

Job Description PULL FLUID FROM CAZADOR P/W TANK. HAUL FLUID TO MWS DISPOSAL.
(TODD HENDERSON)

[Signature]

APR 29 2020

MONTEZUMA WELL SERVICE

P.O. Box 540
Montezuma Creek, Utah 84534
Telephone (435) 651-3469

TICKET

TRUCK NO. 67

DRIVER Jimmy Tsosie

DATE 04-27-2020

CUSTOMER Delhi Trading LLC P/W

LEASE _____

FROM Taos st. 41-36 Cazador

TO MWS Disposal

DRIVER TRUCK NO.	/ REC'D BY	FLUIDS		Customer Vendor No.				Work Order #			
		NO. LDS	NO. BBLs	STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.	HRS.	WATER COST	DISP. FEE	PROPANE
MWS Disposal P/W		1	75	STARTING TIME 8:00	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME 12:30	A.M. P.M.	4 1/2		Disp	120.00
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			75	
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			X 0.37	
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			27.75	
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.			<u>147.75</u>	
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.				
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.				
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.				
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.				
				STARTING TIME	A.M. P.M.	STOP TIME	A.M. P.M.				
TOTAL LOADS		1		COST PER HOURS 80.27				TOTAL HOURS 4 1/2	SUB TOTAL \$		361.21
TOTAL BARRELS		75						TOTAL PROPANE TOTAL DISPOSAL	\$		147.75

Sub Total	\$	508.96
State Sales Tax	\$	
Navajo Nation Tax	\$	
Grand Total	\$	508.96

Completed by Jimmy Tsosie Approved by _____

Job Description Full produce water @ Taos state, Cazador for
Delhi Trading LLC. Disposal P/W @ MWS 75 BBLs.
Todd Heatersen

[Signature]

POST COVID-19

DELHI Paradox Well Profit/Loss Analysis 8.2.2020

Wells:	Location Id
Cazador Unit 1-1	18872
Taos State 41-36	18879
Cazador Unit 1-2	18876
Taos Federal 2S-34 (SWD)	18878
Cazador Unit 1-4	18874
McCracken Spring #2-31	18869

Date	Entity	Income	Expenses
4.15.2020	Montezuma Well Service		508.97
4.16.2020	Montezuma Well Service		408.96
4.22.2020	Montezuma Well Service		1754.31
4.23.2020	Western - Oildex	3367.47	
4.8.2020	Montezuma Well Service		508.97
4.8.2020	Montezuma Well Service		730.37
4.9.2020	Montezuma Well Service		508.96
5.28.2020	Western - Oildex	909.84	
5.5.2020	Prod. Services, Inc		1525.12
5.8.2020	Montezuma Well Service		1071.94
7.6.2020	Prod. Services, Inc		1685.00
TOTALS		4277.31	8702.60
LOSS			-4425.29

Utah State Tax Commission – Property Tax Division
Valuation Appeal Form

H. Reason for Relief – Statement of Facts – Summary of Arguments & Authorities Relied Upon.

H.a. Reason for Relief. The current historic depressed price for crude oil, the lack of a gas gatherer in the Paradox Basin and the COVID-19 historic destruction of our nation's economy are well known facts. DELHI-Trading, LLC's (DELHI) oil wells are not currently profitable, and as such have no value. These wells are a liability due to the cost to plug and abandon a 5000' deep oil well. A one-year reprieve from taxation is a reasonable request during these worse than the great depression times.

Hb. Statement of Facts. Specific hardships Producers have to deal with are as follows:

1. First and foremost, is the COVID-19 coronavirus, plus Marathon Petroleum Corp. (Western Refining SW inc.) idled its Gallup, New Mexico, refinery. It was the first U.S. facility to shut down as the coronavirus pandemic emptied skies of passenger planes and the roads of cars. Refiners are still unable to move much of their products. They have been "faced with the prospect of completely shutting down," said Andy Lipow, president of Lipow Oil Associates in Houston, as reported in the Herald Dispatch newspaper. An inability to sell oil reduces our ability to make a profit
2. Also depressing oil prices was the production battle between Russia and Saudi Arabia. COVID-19 and the Russia Saudi aggressive production battle created the perfect profit killing storm; decreased demand and increased supply.
3. Further hurting Producers ability to make a profit is CASTLETON COMMODITIES INTERNATIONAL LLC ("CCI") shutting down their 262-mile gas gathering system in the four corners region. CCI's gathering system is the only pipeline taking gas from Paradox Basin wells. As you should know, Paradox oil producers are not allowed to flare their natural gas associated with oil production. Some producers have been given relief, but such will not be allowed indefinitely.
4. DELHI is not able to operate profitably. The attached income and expense documentation proves that DELHI's Paradox Basin wells are not profitable.
5. DELHI has been especially hard hit because its oil field's only saltwater disposal well is not functioning. The cost to truck DELHI's saltwater to a saltwater disposal facility is very expensive; averaging about \$5.50 per barrel.

H.c. Summary of Arguments & Authorities. All of the above calamities have destroyed DELHI's ability to be profitable. Many Paradox have and will plug their wells. No new wells are being drilled. Those facts eliminated the value of the pipe and oil field equipment being that supply is great and there is no demand. There are no buyers for pipe and oil field equipment. Assigning such a value is not justified at this time.

The Utah State Tax Commission, Property Tax Division has unjustifiably assigned a value to DELHI's Paradox wells. Under the current conditions these wells are a plugging liability. Plugging a 5000+ foot deep well can average over \$50,000. To assign any value to DELHI's wells in the Paradox is a miscarriage of justice.

The federal government and many state government agencies have and are providing different forms of relief to many businesses. Paradox oil Producers need a reprieve to get through these extremely trying times.

Once the Covid-19 virus is contained our economy should recover which will once again increase the demand for oil. Then and only then would it be appropriate to assign a value to DELHI's Paradox Basin oil and gas wells.

Therefore, DELHI respectfully requests a one-year reprieve from a tax assessment from the Property Tax Division of Utah's State Tax Commission for the reasons setout above.



taxappeals TAX <taxappeals@utah.gov>

Tax Appeal, DELHI-Trading, LLC Letter ID L0896512800

1 message

Patrick Hegarty <patrick.hegarty@delhi-trading.com>

Mon, Aug 3, 2020 at 5:49 PM

To: taxappeals@utah.gov

Cc: "Kelly J. Hegarty" <k.hegarty@me.com>

DELHI-Trading, LLC
 Letter ID L0896512800
 Account Type: Prop Central Assessed (PCA)
 Account No.: 14364199-005-PCA

To Whom It May Concern:

Pursuant to the instructions set out in the Utah Tax Commission's letter dated April 30, 2020, attached please find DELHI-Trading, LLC's "VALUATION APPEAL FORM" FOR THE 2020 Assessment Year.

Your attention to this appeal will be appreciated.


Best,
 DELHI-Trading, LLC
 Patrick Hegarty
 Managing Member

Attachment - DELHI-Trading, LLC's "VALUATION APPEAL FORM" with supporting documents

9 attachments

- 1. UT Tax commision Val. Aproval Form DELHI.pdf
387K
- 2. DELHI UT Sate Property Tax Appeal 4.3.2020.pdf
45K
- 3. DELHI Paradox Well Profit:Loss Analysis 8.2.2020DELHI Paradox Well Profit:Loss Analysis 8.2.2020.pdf
38K
- 4. Montezuma pd inv#33601T Caz 1-4 Water Haul Lot 33815 DELHI20200605_14112760.pdf
4588K
- 5. Prod Ser pd inv#2819 May 2020*Pumper UT Wells20200608_16515884.pdf
92K
- 6. Prod Serv pd inv#2815 Pumper Apr 2020*20200513_11364102.pdf
123K

Item 11.

 **7. Prod Serv pd inv#2823 6:2020 Pumper DELHI20200712.pdf**
89K

 **8. West Rev 4:2020' Oildex Detail Cazador.pdf**
68K

 **9. Western Rev 5:2020' McCracken Oildex report DELHI.pdf**
68K



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

SPENCER J. COX
Lieutenant Governor

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

CARLOS M. BRACERAS, P.E.
Executive Director

JASON E. DAVIS, P.E.
Deputy Director of Engineering and Operations

TERIANNE S. NEWELL, P.E.
Deputy Director of Planning and Investment

Item 12.

September 17th, 2020

Clark Hawkins,
District 1 - Road Supervisor
San Juan County Road Dept.
PO Box 188
Monticello, UT 84535

RE: Proposal to Vacate or Privatize Existing Class D Roads in San Juan County

Dear Mr. Hawkins:

Thank you for your letter dated August 25, 2020 regarding a request for San Juan County to vacate or privatize existing Class D roads within the county located on the following properties:

1. A segment of D2475 – Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian; approximately 0.099 miles in length.
2. A segment of D2374 – Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian; approximately 0.179 miles in length.
3. A segment of D0674 – Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian; approximately 0.223 miles in length.
4. A segment of D2765 – Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian; approximately 0.682 miles in length.
5. A segment of D2764 – Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian; approximately 0.913 miles in length.
6. A segment of D2762 – Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian; approximately 1.36 miles in length.
7. A segment of D2473 – Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian; approximately 0.09 miles in length.

The Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) has reviewed the proposal and Charles Stormont, Director of Right-of-Way, has provided the following response:

"I write in response to your letter dated August 25, 2020 regarding the petition that San Juan County has received to vacate several Class D roads. As co-owner of Class D roads, see Utah Code Section 72-1-105, we appreciate the opportunity to provide a response to your inquiry.

After review of the petition it appears that access to public lands would be eliminated if these roads were to be vacated. From the information provided, we have not been able to determine how or if alternative access to these areas exists. Pursuant to Utah Code 72-7-103 "a highway authority may not close a legal point of access to a public highway, unless: (a) the property has a reasonably equivalent access to the public highway after the legal access is closed; or (b) the highway authority acquires the legal point of access by gift, agreement, purchase, or eminent domain."



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

SPENCER J. COX
Lieutenant Governor

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

CARLOS M. BRACERAS, P.E.
Executive Director

JASON E. DAVIS, P.E.
Deputy Director of Engineering and Operations

TERIANNE S. NEWELL, P.E.
Deputy Director of Planning and Investment

Item 12.

Without evidence that alternative access has been provided to these areas, UDOT is concerned that vacating these Class D roads would violate this statute and constitute an inverse condemnation. We have also been informed that there was litigation concerning these roads in the past. We would appreciate more information about that litigation and any Court orders that might apply to this area.

The letter mentioned alternate routes. If alternate routes could be obtained to provide the same historic access to public lands, across BLM lands or other potential routes, then maybe the impacts could be mitigated, and some of the roads could potentially be vacated. Until alternate routes are established, these roads should remain public to provide access to public lands."

If you have any questions or concerns you can contact Charles Stormont at 385 226-8948 or email at castormont@utah.gov.

Sincerely,

Chris Potter, P.E.
Local Government Programs Engineer

CP/

Cc: Ben Huot, UDOT
Ivan Hartle, UDOT
Jeff Ericson, UDOT
Rick Torgerson, UDOT

Linda Hull, UDOT
Charles Stormont, UDOT
Jim Palmer, Assistant Attorney General
Kathleen Clarke, State Public Lands



San Juan County Road Department

P.O. Box 188 • 881 East Center St
Monticello, Utah 84535

435-587-3230 • Fax: 435-587-2771

August 25, 2020

RE: Road Closure Petition

To Whom It May Concern:

The San Juan County Commission has been petitioned by Robert Lucero of Area BFE to vacate D Roads that cross his property. The proposed road closures are as follows:

D2475 – 0.099 mile
D2374 – 0.179 mile
D0674 – 0.223 mile
D2765 – 0.682 mile
D2764 – 0.913 mile
D2762 – 1.36 mile
D2473 – 0.09 mile

As an affected interest holder or adjacent property owner I would like to inform you of the request and the scheduled Public Hearing to discuss and determine the action. Please review the attachments included as to the location of the roads. As stated in the Public Notice, you may comment in person or in writing if you have any objection or concern with the action. If you no longer have any interest in the property, please forward this letter to the current owner.

Thank you,

Clark Hawkins – District I Road Supervisor
San Juan County Road Department



San Juan County Road Department

P.O. Box 188 • 881 East Center St
Monticello, Utah 84535

435-587-3230 • Fax: 435-587-2771

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Board of San Juan County Commissioners, Utah

Public Notice is hereby given that on October 6, 2020, the Board of San Juan County Commissioners, Utah, will hold and conduct a public hearing to receive input from the public with respect to the vacation of roads in San Juan County, Utah. County D Road D-2475 is located in in Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. The road segment beginning at the South intersection of D-0674 and terminates 0.024 mile from the East intersection with D-0674 for a total of 0.099 mile. County D Road D-2374 is located in in Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. The road segment beginning at the South intersection of D-0674 and terminates 0.034 mile from the East Intersection with D-0674 for a total of 0.179 mile. County D Road D-0674 is located in in Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. The road segment beginning at the intersection with B-173 – Pole Canyon, and terminates 0.223 mile in from said intersection for a total of 0.223 mile. County D Road D-2765 located in in Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. The road segment beginning 0.408 miles from intersection with D-0671 and terminates at 0.682 mile at the end of the road for a total of 0.682 mile. County D Road D2764 located in in Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. The entire road beginning at the intersection of D-2765 and terminates at the end of the road at the intersection with D-2762 for a total of 0.913 mile. County D Road D2762 located in in Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. The entire road beginning at the intersection of D-2764 and terminates at the intersection with B-173 – Pole Canyon for a total of 1.36 mile. County D Road D2473 located in in Section 32, Township 27 South, Range 23 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. The entire road beginning at the intersection of D-2374 and terminates at the end of the road for a total of 0.09 mile. The petition is brought by the private property owner pursuant to *Utah State Code 72-3-108 – County Roads – Vacation and narrowing*.

The Public Hearing will be held at 11:30 a.m. on Tuesday, October 6, 2020 in the Commission Chambers of the San Juan County Administration Building located at 117 South Main Street, Monticello, Utah 84535. All members of the public are invited to attend and participate in the public hearing. To join the electronically, please use the following link meet.google.com/ptc-paiv-sdo or join via telephone 1+716-427-8815 (PIN: 235323895). Prior to the public hearing, written comments may be submitted to the Board of Commissioners, P.O. Box 9, 117 South Main Street, Monticello, Utah 84535.

Published August 26 and September 2, 9, 16 of 2020 in the San Juan Record.

D-2765 Petition 0.682 mile
Total Mileage = 1.09 mi.
Total Mileage in T27S, R23E, Sec. 32 = 0.682 mi.
Total Mileage in BFE LLC Properties = 0.58 mi.
Total Mileage in Kiley Miller Properties = 0.10 mi.

No Petition
D-2763
Total Mileage = 0.542 mi.
Total Mileage in T27S, R23E, Sec. 32 = 0.542 mi.
Total Mileage in Kiley Miller Properties = 0.433 mi.
Total Mileage in Kane Crk. Canyon Properties = 0.109 mi.

D-2764 Petition 0.913 mi.
Total Mileage = 0.913 mi.
Total Mileage in T27S, R23E, Sec. 32 = 0.913 mi.
Total Mileage in BFE LLC Properties = 0.882 mi.
Total Mileage in Kiley Miller Properties = 0.031 mi.

No Petition
D-2761
Total Mileage = 0.265 mi.
Total Mileage in T27S, R23E, Sec. 32 = 0.265 mi.
Total Mileage in Kane Crk. Canyon Properties = 0.265 mi.


D-2473 Petition 0.09 mi.
Total Mileage = 0.09 mi.
Total Mileage in T27S, R23E, Sec. 32 = 0.09 mi.
Total Mileage in BFE LLC Properties = 0.09 mi.

D-2374 Petition 0.179 mi
Total Mileage = 0.213 mi.
Total Mileage in T27S, R23E, Sec. 32 = 0.179 mi.
Total Mileage in BFE LLC Properties = 0.179 mi.

D-2475 Petition 0.099 mi.
Total Mileage = 0.123 mi
Total Mileage in T27S, R23E, Sec. 32 = 0.099 mi.
Total Mileage in BFE LLC Properties = 0.099 mi.

D-2762 Petition 1.36 mi.
Total Mileage = 1.36 mi.
Total Mileage in T27S, R23E, Sec. 32 = 1.36 mi.
Total Mileage in Kane Crk Canyon Properties = 0.561 mi.
Total Mileage in BFE LLC Properties = 0.795 mi.

D-0674 Petition 0.223 mi.
Total Mileage = 2.86 mi.
Total Mileage in T27S, R23E, Sec. 32 = 0.223 mi.
Total Mileage in BFE LLC Properties = 0.223 mi



Ben,


There are several environmental and safety concerns pertaining to the STRIKE RAVINE trail. The Strike Ravine trail runs through private property to include: Area BFE, Kane Creek Canyon Properties LLC., and John Rzczycki/Kiley Miller. Unfortunately, due to the conditions caused by four wheel use and nature; it has been determined a safety hazard. Most of the trail is eroded, people have built obstacles on private property or have cleared vegetation to go around the erosion or boulders that are on the road so that they can keep using it. The land is privately owned making this activity illegal; not what the intended use of the road.

The Strike Ravine trail is not clearly marked causing people to get lost on the many trails that come off Strike Ravine into Area BFE. These trails are extreme 5+ so vehicles equipped for Strike Ravine are definitely not capable of navigating safely. We have found that when vehicles wander off Strike Ravine that they are trying to build their own road to get around all the obstacles we have on our trails at Area BFE. This is not only very dangerous, but also creates a lot of erosion issues as well as destroying our property!

The other issue we have are the rock buggies or capable vehicles using Strike Ravine only to access Area BFE to wheel the extreme trails leaving us exposed to lawsuits.


Area BFE is in the planning process for construction of an event center to include: Conference Center, Lodging, Cabins, R.V. Sites and many more amenities. In conclusion, we the owners are requesting the closure of Strike Ravine due to the aforementioned. Area BFE has already discussed this with BLM and The Red Rock Four Wheel Association; both have agreed to reroute Strike Ravine outside of private properties.

Sincerely,


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Robert Lucero', written over a large, irregular scribble.

Robert Lucero
CEO Area BFE


Area BFE Improvement Area



Area BFE Property



Strike Ravine





COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: October 6, 2020

SUBMITTED BY: Kirk Bengé, Director, Public Health

TITLE: Consideration of an Agreement with the San Juan County Public Health Department and the State of Utah Health Department for Maternal and Child Health FFY 2021 – San Juan County Health Department

RECOMMENDATION: Recommend Sign Contract

SUMMARY

The general purpose of this contract is funding for Maternal and Child Health Block Grant activities that address maternal and child health population needs.

CONTRACT PERIOD: The service period of this contract is 10/01/2020 through 09/30/2025, unless terminated or extended by agreement in accordance with the terms and conditions of this contract.

CONTRACT AMOUNT: The DEPARTMENT agrees to pay \$8,080.00 in accordance with the provisions of this contract. This contract is funded with 100% federal funds, 0% state funds, and 0% other funds.

HISTORY/PAST ACTION

This is a continuation of the MCH Grant which has been renewed annually between the Utah Dept of Health and San Juan Public Health since 2015.

FISCAL IMPACT

These funds are included in the current proposed budget.



UTAH DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CONTRACT

PO Box 144003, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
288 North 1460 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

2125207
Department Log Number

212700505
State Contract Number

1. **CONTRACT NAME:** The name of this contract is Maternal and Child Health FFY 2021 – San Juan County Health Department
2. **CONTRACTING PARTIES:** This contract is between the Utah Department of Health (DEPARTMENT) and the following CONTRACTOR:

PAYMENT ADDRESS

San Juan County
735 S 200 W, Ste 2
Blanding UT, 84511

MAILING ADDRESS

San Juan County
735 S 200 W, Ste 2
Blanding UT, 84511

Vendor ID: 06866HL
Commodity Code: 99999

3. **GENERAL PURPOSE OF CONTRACT:** The general purpose of this contract is funding for Maternal and Child Health Block Grant activities that address maternal and child health population needs.
4. **CONTRACT PERIOD:** The service period of this contract is 10/01/2020 through 09/30/2025, unless terminated or extended by agreement in accordance with the terms and conditions of this contract.
5. **CONTRACT AMOUNT:** The DEPARTMENT agrees to pay \$8,080.00 in accordance with the provisions of this contract. This contract is funded with 100% federal funds, 0% state funds, and 0% other funds.
6. **CONTRACT INQUIRIES:** Inquiries regarding this Contract shall be directed to the following individuals:

CONTRACTOR

Kirk Bengé
(435) 359-0038
kbenge@sanjuancounty.org

DEPARTMENT

Family Health and Preparedness
Maternal and Child Health
Lynne Nilson
(801) 694-3143
lpnilson@utah.gov

7. **SUB – RECIPIENT INFORMATION:**

DUNS: 079815014

Indirect Cost Rate: 0%

Federal Program Name:	Maternal & Child Health Services	Award Number:	B04MC33870-01-04
Name of Federal Awarding Agency:	HHS – Health Resources and Services Administration	Federal Award Identification Number:	B04MC33870-01-04
CFDA Title:	Maternal & Child Health Services Block Grants to States	Federal Award Date:	7/6/2020
CFDA Number:	93.994	Funding Amount:	\$8080

8. REFERENCE TO ATTACHMENTS INCLUDED AS PART OF THIS CONTRACT:

- Attachment A: Special Provisions
- Attachment B: MCH Financial Report and Instructions FFY2020
- Attachment C: MCH Services Report
- Attachment D: MCH Services Report Instructions
- Attachment E: NPM and SPM List
- Attachment F: MCH Workforce Development Resources

9. DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED INTO THIS CONTRACT BY REFERENCE BUT NOT ATTACHED:

- A. All other governmental laws, regulations, or actions applicable to services provided herein.
- B. All Assurances and all responses to bids as provided by the CONTRACTOR.
- C. Utah Department of Health General Provisions and Business Associates Agreement currently in effect until 6/30/2023.

10. This contract, its attachments, and all documents incorporated by reference constitute the entire agreement between the parties and supersedes all prior written or oral agreements between the parties relating to the subject matter of this contract.
-

Intentionally Left Blank

Contract with Utah Department of Health and San Juan County, Log # 2125207

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties enter into this agreement.

CONTRACTOR

STATE

By: _____ Date _____
Kenneth Maryboy
County Commission Chair

By: _____ Date _____
Shari A. Watkins, C.P.A.
Director, Office Fiscal Operations

Attachment A
Special Provisions
MCH Block Grant
San Juan County Health Department
October 1, 2020 through Sept 30, 2021

I. DEFINITIONS

- A. "ASQ" means Ages and Stages Questionnaire, by Paul Brookes Publishing.
- B. "ASQ SE-2" means the ASQ Social Emotional screen.
- C. "ASQ-3" means the ASQ overall development screen.
- D. "Department" means the Utah Department of Health, Division of Family Health and Preparedness, Bureau of Maternal and Child Health, Office of Home Visiting.
- E. "FFY 2020" means Federal Fiscal Year 2020, which is October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020.
- F. "FFY 2021" means Federal Fiscal Year 2021, which is October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021.
- G. "LHD General Provisions" means the agreement between the parties titled "FY2019-2023 LHD General Provisions, Assurances, and Matching Requirements" effective July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2023.
- H. "MCH" means Maternal and Child Health.
- I. "MCH Block Grant" means the Federal Title V Maternal and Child Health Block Grant.
- J. "MER" means the Monthly Expenditure Report, as detailed in the LHD General Provisions.
- K. "NPM" means National Performance Measures.
- L. "SPM" means State Performance Measures.
- M. "ESM" means Evidence Based Strategy Measure activities.
- N. "Sub-Recipient" means the San Juan County Health Department, as defined and described in the LHD General Provisions.
- O. "WIC" means the Utah Women, Infants, and Children's program.

II. PURPOSE

- A. The purpose of this contract is to provide MCH Block Grant funding to Sub-Recipient to provide core public health services and activities that address maternal, infant, child and/or adolescent health population needs.

III. SUB-RECIPIENT RESPONSIBILITIES

- A. Sub-Recipient shall bill for direct services on a sliding fee scale based on 0% pay for all families at or below 133% of the Federal Poverty Level.
- B. Sub-Recipient shall expend all funds received to provide public health services to maternal and child populations.
- C. Sub-Recipient shall select NPM and/or SPM identified during the 2020 MCH Summit and Needs Assessment process and set annual local goals and objectives that are appropriate for current work practices.
- D. Sub-Recipient shall use MCH Block Grant funding to select at least one or more NPM or SPM related to improving the health and well-being of women and/or infants as appropriate for current work practices:
 - 1. NPM 1 – Well woman visit: Percentage of women ages 18 through 44, with a preventive medical visit in the past year;
 - 2. NPM 4 – Breastfeeding:
 - i. Percent of infants who are ever breastfed; and

- ii. Percent of infants breastfeed exclusively through 6 months; and/or
- 3. SPM 1 – Increase the proportion of pregnant/postpartum women who are screened for depression.
- E. Sub-Recipient shall use MCH Block Grant funding to select NPM 6 and may select NPM 13.B or other SPM's related to improving the health and well-being of children and/or youth (including infants, children and adolescents) as appropriate for current work practices:
 - 1. NPM 6 Developmental Screening: Percent of children ages through 35 months who received a developmental screening using a parent-completed screening tool (ASQ) in the past year. LHD may select one of three levels:
 - i. Level 1 - Introduction to ASQ
 - (a) Help promote ASQ *training* within their community and promote use of ASQ screening with Local partner organizations; and
 - (b) Attend ECU Advisory Council Meetings;
 - ii. Level 2 - Trained and ready to use ASQ (Level 1 done by default if in Level 2)
 - (a) Attend and get trained in using the ASQ-3 (overall development screen) and ASQ SE-2 (Social Emotional screen);
 - (1) It will become even more important to screen social emotional development as this pandemic continues); and
 - (b) Use the online UDOH Brookes account to do screens to increase the data supporting LHD's, apply the screens with children, refer to appropriate services, and further the important data collection to support NPM 6;
 - iii. Level 3 - Advanced ASQ Use (Levels 1 & 2 done by default if in Level 3); the LHD can work on any one or all of the following:
 - (a) Establish and implement a schedule for screenings to be done at 6, 12, 18, and 25 months, and 3, 4, and 5 years of age;
 - (b) Partner with LHD WIC office to access that population to screen children receiving WIC; and/or
 - (c) Determine if codes 96110 and 96127 can be utilized by your LHD to bill for developmental screens.
 - 2. Meet with Family Youth and Outreach (FYO) staff regarding NPM 6 at least 4 times per year (may include FYO trainings, Nursing Director meetings and/or individual LHD meetings.)
 - 3. NPM 13.B Oral Health: Percent of children ages 1 through 17 who had a preventive dental visit in the past year.
- F. Sub-Recipient agrees to participate in at least one workforce development opportunity as appropriate for current work practices.
 - 1. Sub-Recipient may use the MCH Workforce Development resources included in this contract.
- G. Sub-recipient shall report all contract activities in the REDCap reporting system provided by the Dept. as required by Section VI.
- H. During FFY 2021, changes to specific objectives and activities shall be determined between Department and Sub-Recipient and included as contract amendments, as necessary.

IV. DEPARTMENT TASKS

- A. Department agrees to provide:
 - 1. The REDCap reporting system for the MCH Block Grant Annual PLAN, Annual YEAR END REPORT, Financial Report, and MCH Services Report;
 - i. Financial Report (see Attachment B)
 - ii. MCH Services Report (see Attachment C)

(a) MCH Services Report Instruction Sheet (Attachment D)

2. Technical assistance, consultation, and in-services, as needed or requested for any aspect of this contract and for the REDCap reporting system;
3. NPM and SPM list (see Attachment E); and
4. MCH Workforce Development Resources (see Attachment F).
5. Technical assistance, training and support for any NPM, SPM selected and NPM 6, including ESM activity suggestions.

V. FUNDING AND PAYMENTS

- A. Department agrees to reimburse Sub-Recipient up to the maximum amount of the contract for actual expenditures made by the Sub-Recipient that are directly related to the program, as outlined in the LHD General Provisions.
 1. The funding for this contract is for expenses incurred during FFY 2021 (October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021).
 2. Maximum funding for FFY 2021 is \$32,320.
 3. Department will reimburse Sub-Recipient reimbursements monthly, after Department review and approval of the MER.
 4. This contract may be amended to change the funding amount or programmatic requirements.
 5. If the contract is not amended to add additional funding, the contract shall terminate at the end of FFY 2021 (September 30, 2021).

VI. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- A. By November 1, 2020, Sub-Recipient shall:
 1. Submit an MCH Block Grant Annual PLAN for FFY 2021;
 2. Submit the MCH Block Grant Annual YEAR END REPORT for FFY 2020 (including reporting on activities completed for women, mothers, children, youth and families); and
 3. Report workforce development opportunities attended by relevant MCH staff for FFY 2020, if applicable. (This is optional for the YEAR END REPORT for FFY 2020, but will be a contract requirement for FFY 2021).
- B. By January 31, 2021, Sub-Recipient shall:
 1. Submit the MCH Financial Report for FFY 2020; and
 2. Submit the MCH Service Report for FFY 2020.
 - i. An Instruction sheet is included with the Service Report template.
- C. All Plans, Reports and other documents shall be submitted by Sub-Recipient using the REDCap Reporting System.

VII. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- A. If any dispute arises between the parties during the activities described by this Contract, the parties agree to seek a resolution through open communication and dialogue.
- B. Either party may request a conference to resolve a disputed issue (consistent with Utah Admin. Code R380-10-3, which supports dispute resolution at the lowest level possible).
- C. If a resolution cannot be reached, Department may bring supervisory personnel into the process to facilitate resolving issues and achieving agreement.
- D. The provisions in B. and C. of this Dispute Resolution section are not mandatory.
- E. If a dispute is not resolved within 30 days of Department decision, the Department's decision is considered the "initial agency determination," as defined by Utah Admin. Code R380-10-2(3).

- F. These provisions do not preclude or affect the provisions, rights, limitations, or timelines for appealing Department actions that are provided or required by Utah Code §§ 26-23-2, 26-1-4.1, or 26-1-7.1, Utah Admin. Code R380-10, or the Utah Administrative Procedures Act (Utah Code § 63G-4).
- G. In the event of any conflict between the Dispute Resolution provisions in the Special
- H. Provisions of this Contract with applicable law or rules, the provisions of the applicable law or rules shall control.

Attachment B
MCH FINANCIAL REPORT - FFY 2020
 Period Reported: October 1, 2019 to Sept 30, 2020

Item 13.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT: _____
 Contact Person: _____
 Phone Number: _____

DUE BY JANUARY 31, 2020. ANY QUESTIONS CALL (801)520-9674
 BRANDI FRANSDEN, DIVISION OF FAMILY HEALTH AND PREPAREDNESS, PO BOX 142002, SLC, UT 84112-2002
BTFRANDS@UTAH.GOV

SECTION 1A

Please report your contract expenditures in this section. Amounts reported should equal the amounts reported on your RSS/MER and cannot exceed your contract amount.

	TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED					MCH Block Grant Actual Expenditures TOTAL
	Pregnant Women	Infants < 1 year	Children 1-22 years	Children with Special Health Care Needs	All Others	
MCH BLOCK GRANT CONTRACT ACTUAL EXPENDITURES (H17 should not exceed contract amount.)						\$0.00

SECTION 1B

Of the amounts reported in section 1A, please provide the percentages spent on types of services. Percentages reported must equal 100%.

	TYPES OF SERVICES				MCH Block Grant Types of Services Percentages TOTAL
	Direct Services		Enabling Services	Public Health Services and Systems	
	Preventive and Primary Care Services for all Pregnant Women, Mothers, and Infants up to Age One	Preventative and Primary Care Services for Children	Services for CSHCN		
MCH BLOCK GRANT TYPES OF SERVICE PERCENTAGES					0%

SECTION 2A

This section will be completed only if the amount reported on the MER exceeds your MCH contract. Please identify the funds used (federal grant, fee type, local funds, etc.), and purpose of funds, for the expenditure amount exceeding the contract award.

	TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED					NON-MCH Block Grant Annual Expenditures TOTAL
	Pregnant Women	Infants < 1 year	Children 1-22 years	Children with Special Health Care Needs	All Others	
NON-MCH Block Grant Dollars (Federal Grants, Fee Type, State and Local Funds, etc.), and general purpose of funds.						
(If additional lines are needed, please attach on a separate sheet)						

Section 2B

This section will be completed only if the amount reported on the MER exceeds your MCH contract. Of the amounts reported in section 2A, please provide the percentages spent on types of services. Percentages reported must equal 100%.

	TYPES OF SERVICES				NON-MCH Block Grant Types of Services Percentages TOTAL
	Direct Services		Enabling Services	Public Health Services and Systems	
	Preventive and Primary Care Services for all Pregnant Women, Mothers, and Infants up to Age One	Preventative and Primary Care Services for Children	Services for CSHCN		
NON-MCH BLOCK GRANT TYPES OF SERVICE PERCENTAGES					0%

INSTRUCTIONS MCH FINANCIAL REPORT

Section 1A

Report your actual contract expenditures in this section.

Amounts reported should equal the amounts reported on your RSS/MER and cannot exceed your contract amount.

Section 1B

Of the amounts reported in section 1A, please provide the percentages spent on types of services.

Percentages reported must equal 100%

Types of Services are defined as:

Direct Services

Direct services are preventive, primary, or specialty clinical services to pregnant women and children, including children with special health care needs, where MCH Services Block Grant funds are used to reimburse or fund providers for these services through a formal process similar to paying a medical billing claim or managed care contracts. State reporting on direct services should not include the costs of clinical services which are delivered with Title V dollars but reimbursed by Medicaid, CHIP or other public or private payers. Examples include, but are not limited to, preventive, primary or specialty care visits, emergency department visits, inpatient services, outpatient and inpatient mental and behavioral health services, prescription drugs, occupational and physical therapy, speech therapy, durable medical equipment and medical supplies, medical foods, dental care, and vision care

Enabling Services

Enabling services are non-clinical services (i.e., not included as direct or public health services) that enable individuals to access health care and improve health outcomes where MCH Services Block Grant funds are used to finance these services. Enabling services include, but are not limited to: case management, care coordination, referrals, translation/interpretation, transportation, eligibility assistance, health education for individuals or families, environmental health risk reduction, health literacy, and outreach. State reporting on enabling services should not include the costs for enabling services that are reimbursed by Medicaid, CHIP, or other public and private payers. This category may include salary and operational support to a clinic that enable individuals to access health care or improve health outcomes. Examples include the salary of a public health nurse who provides prenatal care in a local clinic or compensation provided to a specialist pediatrician who provides services for children with special health care needs.

Public Health Services and Systems

Public health services and systems are activities and infrastructure to carry out the core public health functions of assessment, assurance, and policy development, and the 10 essential public health services. Examples include the development of standards and guidelines, needs assessment, program planning, implementation, and evaluation, policy development, quality assurance and improvement, workforce development, and population-based disease prevention and health promotion campaigns for services such as newborn screening, immunization, injury prevention, safe-sleep education and anti-smoking. State reporting on public health services and systems should not include costs for direct clinical preventive services, such as immunization, newborn screening tests, or smoking cessation.

Section 2A

This section will be completed only if the amount reported on the MER exceeds your MCH contract.

Example:

The MCH Block Grant contract amount is \$100,000. The MER report for the MCH Block Grant contract

Identify the funds used (Examples: federal grant, fee type, local funds, etc.)

Identify the general purpose of funds used

Section 2B

If amounts are reported in section 2A, please provide the percentages spent on types of services.

Percentages reported must equal 100%

Types of Services are defined in instructions for Section 1B.

Attachment C MCH Services Report

Item 13.

Local Health Department Maternal and Child Service Report

LHD	
-----	--

Service Dates Federal Fiscal Year October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020

	Name		Phone		Contact Email			
Pregnancy Related								
Health Insurance Coverage								
	Total Women Served	Title XIX (Medicaid)		Title XXI (CHIP)	Private	Other	None	Unknown
Pregnancy Related Care	0							
Family Planning								
	Total Served	Title XIX (Medicaid)		Title XXI (CHIP)	Private	Other	None	Unknown
Family Planning	0							
Children								
	Total Children Served	Title XIX (Medicaid)		Title XXI (CHIP)	Private	Other	None	Unknown
Children Under 1 year old	0							
	Total Served	Title XIX (Medicaid)		Title XXI (CHIP)	Private	Other	None	Unknown
Children 1 to 22 years of age	0							

Due January 30, 2021 to rsatterfield@utah.gov

Attachment D Maternal and Child Health Service Report Instructions

Please complete information at top of form with names of Health District, the person who prepared the form and phone number. Where possible, provide actual counts of individuals broken down by the categories indicated. **The report time is for Federal Fiscal Year October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020**

Total Served:

Total Served by Insurance Coverage: The number of unduplicated pregnant women, mothers and infants, and children served during the reporting period. *The 'Total Served' cell will provide you with the sum of Medicaid, CHIP, Private, Other, and Unknown cells.

Health Coverage: Breakdown the numbers of "Total Served" by health coverage type.

Pregnancy-related Services:

Total women served: The unduplicated number of women who received any type of pregnancy-related service. *The 'Total Served' cell will provide you with the sum of Medicaid, CHIP, Private, Other, and Unknown cells.

Family Planning Services:

Total served: The unduplicated number of individuals receiving family planning services. *The 'Total Served' cell will provide you with the sum of Medicaid, CHIP, Private, Other, and Unknown cells.

Infants under one year Served:

Total infants served: the unduplicated number of infants less than 1 year of age who received services. *The 'Total Served' cell will provide you with the sum of Medicaid, CHIP, Private, Other, and Unknown cells.

Children 1 to 22 Served:

Total children served: The number of children 1 to 22 years of age who received health services. *This cell is locked and will sum the Medicaid, CHIP, Private, Other, and Unknown cells.

**Note: the Work Sheet will only allow you to fill in your name, phone, contact email, and counts within each insurance category (Pregnancy Related counts, Family Planning counts, and Children counts, of individuals served).

Please ensure your total numbers equal the sum of the total reported in the 'Total Served' box, if they do not and all insurance categories are accurately reported then the balance must be entered into the 'Unknown' box.

If your LHD does not participate in a program please leave the section blank.

Please email or fax your form by 01/31/2021 to rsatterfield@utah.gov

Domain	Priority Area (2020 Needs Assessment)	Attachment E - NPM/SPM List	NPM/SPM 2020-2026
CSHCN	Care Coordination/Provider and Family Connectedness	NPM 11 - Medical Home: Percent of children with and without special health care needs, ages 0-17, who have a medical home	Item 13.
	Transition to adulthood	NPM 12 - Transition: Percent of adolescents with and without special health care needs, ages 12-17, who received services necessary to make transition to adult health care	
CSHCN Other: CSHCN Director Office, Autism System Development Program, CHARM, Early Detection & Intervention Program, Family Partnership, Utah Birth Defects Network, Data Privacy/Security Officer			
Adolescent	Adolescent Mental Health	NPM 9 - Bullying: Percent of adolescents, ages 12 through 17, who are bullied or who bully others	
	Economic Stability	SPM - Increase the number of students who participated in the National School Breakfast and Lunch programs	
Child	Developmental Delays	NPM 6 - Developmental Screening: Percent of children, ages through 35 months, who received a developmental screening using a parent-completed screening tool in the past year	
	Oral Health	NPM 13.2 - Oral Health: Percent of children, ages 1 through 17, who had a preventive dental visit in the past year	
	Family Connectedness	SPM - Increase the percent of days in the past week that all family members who live in the household ate a meal together from 36.6% to 43.7% (2017-2018 National Survey of Children's Health)	
Maternal	Perinatal Mood and Anxiety Disorders (Currently funded w/State General Fund \$'s)	SPM - Increase the proportion of pregnant/postpartum women who are screened for depression	
	Access to Care	NPM 1 - Well-Woman Visit: Percent of women, ages 18 through 44, with a preventive medical visit in the past year	
Infant	Breastfeeding/Poor Infant Nutrition	NPM 4 - Breastfeeding: A) Percent of infants who are ever breastfed and B) Percent of infants breastfed exclusively through 6 months	
Maternal/Infant Other: Pregnancy Risk Line, Safe Haven, Baby Your Baby, Perinatal Mortality Review, Utah Newborn Quality Collaborative			
Block Grant/MCH Other: Title V/MCH Director Office, State Dental Director), Data Resources Program, Local Health Department contracts (MCH and VIPP), Data Privacy/Security Officer			

MCH Navigator

Maternal and Child Health Workforce Development
<https://mchb.hrsa.gov/training/mch-navigator-description.asp>

Training Purpose

The MCH NAVIGATOR provides state public health professionals with free, competency-based learning and tools on key skills necessary to carry out their daily work and improve the health of children and families.

Format of Training

The MCH Navigator has practical learning strategies for every type of learner in multiple sessions: self-directed, semi-structured, self-reflective, and fast/interactive. Learners can track their learning through an **online self-assessment tool** and participate in short bursts of group learning.

What/How to Use for MCH Purposes

- **Self-directed**; quick finds; search page; A-Z trainings; new trainings page
- **Semi-structured**: Core MCH training bundles; training spotlights; and training briefs
- **Self-reflective**: Map learning pathway using our online Self-Assessment that can identify strengths and learning needs, and match learning needs to appropriate trainings
- **Fast-interactive**: Micro-learning programs – short, ongoing bursts of learning

Examples of Specific Training Available

5-minute MCH

(<https://www.mchnavigator.org/5min/>)

This fast-paced program combines learning opportunities with implementation strategies to provide:

- Short, focused learning modules and practice ideas to fit into busy schedules.
- The ability to utilize the online [Self-Assessment](#) to further refine learning needs.
- A paced schedule to reinforce learning over time.

Learning Guides

(<https://www.mchnavigator.org/trainings/>)

Access focused [collections of learning guides](#) based on key MCH and leadership competencies. Primers include MCH fundamentals such as MCH 101, orientations, conceptual models, and planning cycle as well as programmatic issues such as communication, management, and epidemiology. In addition, MCH Navigator Spotlights are prepared so that those who learn better through reading, viewing presentations, or through web tutorials can find material with which they are most comfortable.

A-Z Trainings

(<https://www.mchnavigator.org/trainings/a-z.php>)

List and links to all training modules in alphabetical order. You can refine the list by typing a key word in the search box. For expanded search capabilities – including searching by MCH competency, topic, or presenter – use a special search page.

Topics

- MCH101
- MCH Orientations
- MCH Conceptual Models
- MCH Planning Cycle
- Epidemiology
- Evaluation
- Management
- Leadership
- Communication

AMCHP Workforce and Leadership Development

Association of Maternal and Child Health Program

<http://www.amchp.org/programsandtopics/WorkforceDevelopment/Pages/Default.aspx>

Training Purpose

The Workforce leadership development team's mission is to support a diverse, effective, and competent workforce in state and territorial Title V programs. In an effort to strengthen the maternal and child health (MCH) workforce

Format of Training

Skill development through formal and informal learning opportunities, experience, dialogue, role modeling, feedback, mentoring and coaching, and more.

What/How to Use for MCH Purposes

AMCHP develops MCH leaders through the following resources and programs:

- The New Director Leaders Cohort
- Family Leaders Cohort
- Next Generation Leaders Cohort
- Epi P2P Cohort
- Webinars
- MCH Workforce Resources

Topics

- Data
- Leadership competencies
- Life course
- Cultural competency
- Resiliency
- Evidence-based public health

Examples of Specific Training Available

Essential Series modules

- Using data to inform MCH programs
- The postpartum period
- ROI for MCH: Return on investment in Maternal and Child Health
- Cultural competency
- Life course theory
- Communicating the value of developmental screening
- History of CYSHCN

MCH Leadership Competencies,

visit <http://leadership.mchtraining.net> or download a [PDF file of the 12 leadership competencies](#).

MCH Workforce Development Solutions Series Domain (WSSDOM)

How to enhance your state's performance management for the Title V Block Grant

Resiliency: tips and tricks on how to keep staff morale high
Building Bench Strength Webinar Archive

Building and Retaining a Resilient MCH Workforce for Tomorrow

Leading in Changing Times Series

National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools

Modules Include:

- Quantitative Research Designs
 - 101: Addressing Practice-Based Issues in Public Health
- Introduction to Evidence-Informed Decision Making
- Searching for Research Evidence in Public Health
- Critical Appraisal of System Reviews
- Critical Appraisal of Intervention Studies

AMCHP + CDC Skills-building Suite: Evidence-based Programs: Moving along the Continuum from Selection to Sustainability.

Advancing Health Transformation

Maternal and Child Health Workforce Development Center
<https://www.mchnavigator.org/transformation/index.php>

Training Purpose

The Center offers state and territorial Title V leaders and their partners training, collaborative learning, coaching and consultation in implementing health transformation using a variety of learning platforms.

Format of Training

Online, interdisciplinary course and additional learning resources.

What/How to Use for MCH Purposes

Title V Transformation Tools are tied to National Performance Measures.

Introduction and populations covered include:

- General foundational skills
- Women's Maternal Health
- Perinatal/Infant Health
- Child Health
- Adolescent Health

Areas of Focus

- Access to Care
- Change Management & Adaptive Leadership
- Evidence-based Decision Making
- Family Engagement
- Health Equity
- Quality Improvement
- Systems Integration
- Pipeline Program (to enhance training & networking opportunities for graduate and undergraduate students)

Examples of Specific Training Available

Each **Area of Focus** covers 2 or 3 NPMs and by accessing each topic, there are online learning materials, resources, and evidence-based strategies and programs that support knowledge sets and skill needed to advance each NPM

New: **MCH Mini-course in Resiliency and Adaptive Leadership**: short recordings in 10 parts. It steps through 5 leadership tools:

- 1) Leading into creative problem-solving
- 2) Stepping forward as a leader (with or without positional authority)
- 3) Thinking big
- 4) Recognizing change preferences and change processes
- 5) Phasing your change

Customized workforce development: provides team-tailored skills development and assistance to Title V agencies tackling tough health transformation challenges.

Partnership Opportunities: include and 8-month coach-supported cohort experience, customized short-term partnerships and in internship program that matches agencies with student interested in Title V experience.

Organization Website	Title of Training Program	Training purpose	Format of Training
Maternal and Child Health Workforce Development https://mchb.hrsa.gov/training/mch-navigator-description.asp	MCH Navigator	The MCH NAVIGATOR provides state public health professionals with free, competency-based learning and tools on key skills necessary to carry out their daily work and improve the health of children and families.	The MCH Navigator has practical learning strategies for every type of learner in multiple sessions: self-directed, semi-structured, self-reflective, and fast/interactive. Learners can track their learning through an online self-assessment tool and participate in short bursts of group learning.
Topics Covered	What/How to Use for MCH purposes		
MCH101 MCH Orientations MCH Conceptual Models MCH Planning Cycle Epidemiology Evaluation Management Leadership Communication	<p>Self-directed: quick finds; search page; A-Z trainings; new trainings page Semi-structured: Core MCH training bundles; training spotlights; and training briefs Self-reflective: Map learning pathway using our online Self-Assessment that can identify strengths and learning needs, and match learning needs to appropriate trainings Fast-interactive: Micro-learning programs – short, ongoing bursts of learning</p>		

Organization Website	Title of Training Program	Training purpose	Format of Training
Maternal and Child Health Workforce Development: Advancing Health Transformation https://www.mchnavigator.org/transformation/index.php	MCH Navigator and National Maternal and Child Health Workforce Development Center	The Center offers state and territorial Title V leaders and their partners training, collaborative learning, coaching and consultation in implementing health transformation using a variety of learning platforms.	Online, interdisciplinary course and additional learning resources.
Topics Covered	What/How to Use for MCH purposes		
Access to Care Change Management & Adaptive Leadership Evidence-based Decision Making Family Engagement Health Equity Quality Improvement Systems Integration Pipeline Program (to enhance training & networking opportunities for graduate and undergraduate students)	<p>Title V Transformation Tools are tied to National Performance Measures. Introduction and populations covered include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o General foundational skills o Women’s Maternal Health o Perinatal/Infant Health o Child Health o Adolescent Health 		

Organization Website	Title of Training Program	Training purpose	Format of Training
<p>AMCHP http://www.amchp.org/WorkforceDevelopment/Default.aspx</p>	<p>Workforce and Leadership Development</p>	<p>The Workforce leadership development team's mission is to support a diverse, effective, and competent workforce in state and territorial Title V programs. In an effort to strengthen the maternal and child health (MCH) workforce</p>	<p>Skill development through formal and informal learning opportunities, experience, dialogue, role modeling, feedback, mentoring and coaching, and more. They develop MCH leaders through the following resources and programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The New Director Leaders Cohort ▪ Family Leaders Cohort ▪ Next Generation Leaders Cohort ▪ Epi P2P Cohort ▪ Webinars ▪ MCH Workforce Resources
<p>Topics Covered</p> <p>Data Leadership competencies Life course Cultural competency Resiliency Evidence-based public health</p>	<p>What/How to Use for MCH purposes</p> <p>Essential Series modules</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using data to inform MCH programs • The postpartum period • ROI for MCH: Return on investment in Maternal and Child Health • Cultural competency • Life course theory • Communicating the value of developmental screening • History of CYSHCN <p>MCH Leadership Competencies, visit http://leadership.mchtraining.net or download a PDF file of the 12 leadership competencies.</p> <p>MCH Workforce Development Solutions Series Domain (WSSDOM) How to enhance your state's performance management for the Title V Block Grant Resiliency: tips and tricks on how to keep staff morale high Building Bench Strength Webinar Archive Building and Retaining a Resilient MCH Workforce for Tomorrow Leading in Changing Times Series National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools Modules include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Quantitative Research Designs 101: Addressing Practice-Based Issues in Public Health ▪ Introduction to Evidence-Informed Decision Making ▪ Critical Appraisal of Intervention Studies <p>AMCHP + CDC Skills-building Suite: Evidence-based Programs: Moving along the Continuum from Selection to Sustainability.</p>		

Organization Website	Title of Training Program	Training purpose	Format of Training
CityMatCH Learning Network https://www.citymatch.org/150/	CityMatCH Learning Network	CityMatCH believes in a strong network of public health leaders working together to tackle the most pressing MCH challenges by growing the skills, knowledge and abilities of all MCH professionals working at the local level.	Quarterly webinar series provides training on emerging MCH issues and needs identified by CityMatCH members.
Topics Covered			
What/How to Use for MCH purposes			
In 2019: Networking Financial Alcohol and drug use during pregnancy Policy 101 Internal racial inequity	2017-2019 webinar series archives on Youtube 2019 webinars include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Networking for Public Health Professionals • Financial Hope Reduces Stress and Improves Health of Mothers • Policies Targeting Alcohol/Drug Use During Pregnancy & Their Impacts • Policy 101: Understanding Policy and Impacts • Getting Our House in Order: Addressing Internal Racial Equity 		

Organization Website	Title of Training Program	Training purpose	Format of Training
National Institute for Children’s Health Quality (NICHQ) https://www.nichq.org/resources/webinars	Not a specific training program but have a series of webinars with topics specific to MCH interests.	NICHQ’s mission is to achieve better health outcomes for children	Webinars
Topics Covered			
What/How to Use for MCH purposes			
Breastfeeding Early childhood Health equity Infant health Quality improvement Sickle cell disease	Sample of titles of webinars: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • From Awareness to Action: Strategies for Combating Racism in Health Systems • Moving the Needle on Health Equity: Two Experts Share Successful Programs and Lessons Learned • Maternal Depression: Everyone Can Play a Role to Help Families Thrive • Early Childhood and Medicaid 101: The Tip of the Iceberg • Improving Our Approach: Better Conversations about Breastfeeding • Opioid Use Disorder in Pregnancy and Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome • Engaging Families from Diverse Backgrounds with Developmental Screening and Child Well-Being 		

Organization Website	Title of Training Program	Training purpose	Format of Training
Association of Schools & Programs of Public Health https://www.aspph.org/study/maternal-child-health/	Not a training program but identifies academic degrees in public health with a Maternal and Child Health focus	This interdisciplinary field seeks answers for the complex health considerations relating to women, pregnancy, reproduction, and infant and child well-being. Students who concentrate in maternal and child health are interested in the intersection between these populations, and how governments and communities can work together to protect and advance the health of women and children across the world.	Academic degrees in Public Health
Topics Covered			
What/How to Use for MCH purposes			
Can identify academic programs in maternal and child health			
Sample courses:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infant, Child, and Maternal Health in Developing Countries • Intrafamily Violence • Advocacy, Human Rights, and Migrations 			

Organization Website	Title of Training Program	Training purpose	Format of Training
Association of State and Territorial Health Officials ASTHO Connects: A Virtual Learning series for public health leaders: https://www.astho.org/GenericKey/GenericKeyArchive.aspx?catid=7264&folderid=5162	Not a specific training program but have a series of webinars with topics specific to MCH interests.	ASTHO is the national nonprofit organization representing public health agencies in the United States, the U.S. Territories, and the District of Columbia, and over 100,000 public health professionals these agencies employ. ASTHO's mission is to support, equip, and advocate for state and territorial health officials in their work of advancing the public's health and well-being.	Webinars
Topics Covered			
What/How to Use for MCH purposes			
Sample webinars available:			
Substance misuse/opioids Access to care/clinical services Health equity/health disparities Adverse childhood experiences	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary Prevention of Adverse Childhood Experiences • Lead Exposure and Impacts on Early Brain Development • Use of Telehealth for Substance Use Disorder Treatment in Maternal and Child Populations 		

Tobacco exposure

- Engaging Primary Care Associations and Federally Qualified Health Centers
- Working Across Boundaries to Incorporate an Equity Lens to Breastfeeding Initiatives
- Successful Models Integrating Maternal Care and Substance Use Treatment



COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: September 29, 2020

SUBMITTED BY: Natalie Randall, Director Economic Development and Visitor Services

TITLE: San Juan Strong – Recreate Responsibly Avalanche MOU

RECOMMENDATION: Approval of MOU with the Utah Avalanche Center

SUMMARY

In an effort to improve backcountry user safety the Utah Avalanche Center (UAC) and San Juan County – Utah’s Canyon Country have placed two signs at key trailheads that provide access to backcountry winter recreationalist in San Juan County. Users include skiers, snowmobilers, snowshoers, and etc. Both signs provide reminders to users that if they plan to enter avalanche terrain to check the advisory forecast, provided by UAC, and to carry avalanche gear. Additionally, the signs Dalton Spring sign includes electronics allowing users to test if their avalanches beacons are fully functional. The UAC is committed to the region in providing forecasts for both the La Sal’s and the Abajo’s through the creation of separate advisory forecasts for each range. Additionally, UAC provides training for new and veteran users in how to travel safely through avalanche terrain. The MOU states that San Juan County will support the project with an annual contribution of \$500. The proposal is for these funds to come from the TRT percentage used for mitigating the impacts of visitation in an effort to support public safety.

HISTORY/PAST ACTION

FISCAL IMPACT

\$500/year



MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN

**SAN JUAN COUNTY
AND
UTAH AVALANCHE CENTER**

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), while not a legally binding document, indicates a voluntary agreement to assist in the implementation of the goals described in the San Juan County Avalanche Sign project.

Overall Project Goals, Services and Outcomes: In an effort to improve backcountry user safety the Utah Avalanche Center (UAC) and San Juan County – Utah’s Canyon Country have placed two signs at key trailheads that provide access to backcountry winter recreationalist in San Juan County. Users include skiers, snowmobilers, snowshoers, and etc. Both signs provide reminders to users that if they plan to enter avalanche terrain to check the advisory forecast, provided by UAC, and to carry avalanche gear. Additionally, the signs Dalton Spring sign includes electronics allowing users to test if their avalanches beacons are fully functional. The UAC is committed to the region in providing forecasts for both the La Sal’s and the Abajo’s through the creation of separate advisory forecasts for each range. Additionally, UAC provides training for new and veteran users in how to travel safely through avalanche terrain.

Period & Renewal: Annual agreement for a 5-year period. Upon which, the MOU will be revisited. The 5-year period will start on the date of the last representation to sign.

Roles and Responsibilities: San Juan County:

- \$500/year – covering the maintenance, installation, and upgrade costs for two avalanche signs
 - Dalton Spring Winter Trail Head – Abajo’s
 - Dark Canyon – La Sal’s

Roles and Responsibilities: Partnering Agency:

- Install & setup signs in the Winter
- Maintain and repair signs annually
- Check sign condition throughout the season
- Remove signs in the Spring
- Part replacement such as solar panels, batteries, and other electronics

Termination: This MOU may be terminate by either party, for any reason, by providing 30 days’ written notice

San Juan County Representation

Signature: _____

Printed Name: _____

Title & Department: _____

Date: _____

Utah Avalanche Center Representation

Signature: _____

Printed Name: _____

Title & Agency: _____

Date: _____

September 30, 2020

Mack McDonald
San Juan County Administrator
PO Box 338
Monticello, UT 84535

RE: Annual Membership Meeting

Dear Mack:

The Utah Counties Indemnity Pool's Annual Membership Meeting will be held at 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, November 19, 2020 at the Rib & Chop House in St. George.

Pursuant to the Pool Bylaws, Article 4.7, *Members shall have the obligation to: (b) Designate in writing a representative and one or more alternate representatives for the Membership Meetings. Each representative and alternate representative must be an elected or appointed officer or employee of a Member and must be appointed by majority vote of the governing body or by the county executive or county mayor of the Member to be the Member's official representative for the purposes of the Pool. An alternate representative may exercise all the powers of a representative during a Membership Meeting, in the absence of the representative.*

Please have the San Juan County Governing Body appoint a representative and an alternate representative, who will attend the Membership Meeting on November 19, **by adopting the attached resolution** at the **next Commission meeting**. Please fax/email the signed resolution to 435-608-4531 or agetts@ucip.utah.gov no later than November 6, 2020.

The UCIP Board of Directors request that you encourage the Commissioners to attend this meeting. Register for the event on UCIP's website: ucip.utah.gov.

Sincerely,



Sonya White
Chief Financial Officer

RESOLUTION OF APPOINTMENT OF A SAN JUAN COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE AND AN ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE UTAH COUNTIES INDEMNITY POOL ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING

WHEREAS, the Governing Body of San Juan County, Utah, is the proper authority to appoint a qualified person to act as the official representative for San Juan County at the Utah Counties Indemnity Pool Membership Meeting to be held on November 19, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Body of San Juan County, Utah, has been informed that the By-laws of the Utah Counties Indemnity Pool require that the official representative and an alternate representative for San Juan County must be an elected or appointed officer or employee of a Member and must be appointed by majority vote of the Governing Body of the Member to be the Member’s official representative for the purposes of the Pool

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved that the Governing Body of San Juan County, Utah, hereby appoints _____ as the official San Juan County representative for the Utah Counties Indemnity Pool Membership Meeting to be held on November 19, 2020, with _____ as an alternate representative.

RESOLVED ADOPTED AND ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 2020.

GOVERNING BODY
SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH

ATTEST:

By: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: _____

**SAN JUAN COUNTY UTAH
RESOLUTION NO 2020- ____**

A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING AND ENCOURAGING THE NAVAJO NATION TO ALLOW SAN JUAN COUNTY TO MAINTAIN ALL “B” ROADS IN THE SAN JUAN, UTAH PORTIONS OF THE NAVAJO NATION AND AMEND THE CURRENT INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT TO PERFORM MAINTENANCE OF THESE ROADS

WHEREAS, on April 7, 2020, The Navajo Nation and San Juan County entered into in Intergovernmental Agreement whereby the Navajo Nation permitted the resumption of routine road maintenance, snow removal, and flood repair services by San Juan County Road Department for 74.1 miles of school bus routes in the Navajo Nation portion of San Juan County; and

WHEREAS, we continue receive comments and complaints from the citizens requesting the County to resume maintaining the “B” roads in the Navajo Nation portion of San Juan County; and

WHEREAS, the County desires to maintain these roads that are currently not being adequately maintained and would like to request that the Navajo Nation amend the Intergovernmental Agreement allowing the County the right-of-way and authority to maintain these routes; and

WHEREAS, the County, upon amending the Intergovernmental Agreement, would request the road maintenance funds for those roads to the State of Utah, but requests similar funding from the Navajo Nation matching those road maintenance monies, similar to that which is done in other Counties within the Navajo Nation portions of Arizona and New Mexico; and

WHEREAS, the County has met with several Chapters and has heard the pleas of both of our citizens and feels that time is of the essence; that we can commence maintenance work to preserve those asphalt, gravel and dirt surfaces needing repair before more costly damage and disrepair takes place; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED that the Board of San Juan County Commissioners **PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED** by the Board of San Juan County Commissioners this 6th day of October, 2020, by the following vote:

Those voting aye:
Those voting nay:
Those absent or abstaining:

BOARD OF SAN JUAN COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Kenneth Maryboy, Chair

ATTEST:

John David Nielson, Clerk/Auditor

####



SAN JUAN COUNTY COMMISSION

Kenneth Maryboy	Chairman
Willie Grayeyes	Vice-Chair
Bruce Adams	Commissioner
Mack McDonald	Administrator

Commissioners:


Last year, you had authorized the County to be a pass through for the preservation grant of \$10,000 to the County Historic Commission to begin the first phase of the \$20,000 post rehab of the Oljato Trading Post. Robert McPherson has continued to work on this project and has satisfied and met the terms of the grant award. Last year, he also requested additional funds to complete the preservation of the Oljato Trading Post. Due to budget constraints at the State level, funding was not received for the project.

At this time, it has been asked that the County assist them in this effort by applying to the Utah Navajo Trust Fund for Non-Chapter Funding for the restoration project in a request for \$35,000 to replace the roof on the Trading Post. In total, the re-roofing project will cost \$50,000 based on estimates. The Utah Division of State History/State Historic Preservation Office has already earmarked \$5,000 for this project through the National Trust for Historic Preservation. An additional \$10,000 is being requested at this time from the National Trust for Historic Preservation to complete the amount needed to complete the roof replacement.

As a reminder, this one hundred year old Trading Post was constructed in 1921 with additions in the 1930s and early 40s. The original structure has a rectangular trading hall with a shallow gable roof. It consists of a center “bull-pen” area for customers surrounded on three sides by an elevated floor for the clerks. Living quarters and storage areas are included in the additions. The walls are primarily double-wythe stacked native sandstone with adobe sections as well. The roof consists of rough-hewn logs over which is a later 1940s(?) roof structure consisting of a 2x4 grid overlaid with sheathing and roofing material. Most of the roofing material and some of the sheathing is missing, and what remains is in very poor condition (see photos).


We are requesting your authorization and support as we submit an application requesting grant funds for the project.

Mack McDonald
Chief Administrative Officer



OLJATO TRADING POST
ROOF - PLAN

NTS



AE102

NRF

NON-CHAPTER PROJECT PROPOSAL

- 1. Sponsoring Organization
 - a. Name of Organization
 - b. Mailing Address
City, State, Zip
 - c. Contact Person
 - d. Telephone Number
 - e. Telefax Number
 - f. E-mail Address

- 2. Type of Organization Applying:
 - Health Provider
 - School/Institution
 - Non-Profit Organization
 - Gov't Agency
 - Other

Chapters that will benefit

3. Project Name: _____

4. Project Schedule

a. Planned Start Date

b. Anticipated End Date

Projects have to be on the Navajo Reservation in San Juan County, Utah

5. Project Construction Cost:

6. Match Funding Sources:

a. Clearances (Arch Clr, EA)	\$
b. Architectural Floorplans	\$
c. Site work/Utilities	\$
d. Materials	\$
e. Labor	\$
f. Other	\$

a. NRF FY -	\$
b. _____	\$
c. _____	\$
d. _____	\$
e. _____	\$
f. _____	\$

TOTALS

\$

these totals must match up

\$

7. Signed Chapter Resolution Attached

8. Scope of Work (attach a description answering the following or attach documents)

- a. Brief description of project
- b. Plan to Execute Project (describe how this project will be completed: contractor built, chapter crew, self-help).
- c. Status of Matching Funding Sources
- d. Status of Land survey, Archaeological Clearance/Environmental Assessments, and other clearances/permits
- e. Floorplan with measurements of building and rooms
- f. Listing of eligible Utah Navajo families that will benefit from this project
- g. If joint project, identify other organizations or agencies involved with this project.
- h. Utility Arrangements: how will project be provided with utilities (type, distance, cost, schedule)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

- Signed Board or Chapter Resolution
- At least 2 Bids/other cost info
- Matching Funding Sources
- Contract or Labor schedule
- Scope of Work
 - Not in Utah justification
 - Survey and Clearances
 - Floorplan
 - List of Eligible Recipients
 - Utility Arrangements

Date approved by NRF _____

Project No. Assigned

THE NAVAJO NATION

JONATHAN NEZ | PRESIDENT MYRON LIZER | VICE PRESIDENT



Item 17.

December 10, 2019

Robert McPherson
Utah State University Eastern
Blanding Campus

Dear Mr. McPherson,

The Navajo Heritage & Historic Preservation Department (NNHHPD) has reviewed the proposed rehabilitation plan for the historic Oljato Trading Post. NNHHPD is in full support of this undertaking to stabilize, rehabilitate, and reestablish the historic Oljato Trading Post. The trading post is listed on the National Register of Historic Places and places such as this are significant properties and are important places in Navajo history.

As the Navajo Nation's Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO), we are responsible for carrying out substantial components of the National Historic Preservation Act, which provides the tools needed to revitalize, rehabilitate, and protect the places that give meaning not only to the Oljato community but to the Navajo Nation as a whole.

We are requesting that all documents such as written assessments, project plans, etc., be provided to our office for review and comment. All planned construction and rehabilitation activities will ensure compliance with the Secretary of Interior's Standards for Historic Properties. We also ask that a maintenance plan document is created that outlines proper maintenance techniques for preserving the building for the future.


We look forward to working with you on this exciting project. If you have any questions, please contact myself or Tamara Billie, Senior Archaeologist at tbillie@navajo-nsn.gov or 928-871-7880.

Sincerley,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Richard M. Begay".

Richard M. Begay, Department Manager/THPO
The Navajo Nation
Heritage and Historic Preservation Department
PO Box 4950
Window Rock, Arizona 86515
Email: r.begay@navajo-nsn.gov

xc: File/Oljato TP



OLJATO CHAPTER/ADMINISTRATION
 PO BOX 360455
 MONUMENT VALLEY, UTAH 84536
 Email: oljato@navajochapters.org
 Phone: 435 727-5850 Fax: 5852
 Shirlee Bedonie, Com. Serv. Coord.

Herman Daniels, Council Delegate
 James Adakai, President
 Albert Holiday, Vice President
 LaNell Menard-Parrish, Sec/Treasurer
 Billy Charley, Grazing Official
 Peggy Abrigo, Account. Maint. Specialist

Item 17.

OLJATO CHAPTER RESOLUTION
 Resolution#: OLJ 06-16-2019

OLJATO CHAPTER HEREBY SUPPORTS AND APPROVES FOR COOPERATING ENTITIES AND NOT LIMITED TO SAN JUAN COUNTY, SAN JUAN COUNTY HISTORICAL COMMISSION, UTAH DIVISION OF STATE HISTORY, STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE (SHPO), UTAH DIVISION OF INDIAN AFFAIRS, AND NAVAJO NATION HISTORIC DEPARTMENT, TO STABILIZE AND REHABILITATE THE OLJATO TRADING POST.

WHEREAS:

1. Pursuant to 26 N.T.C., Section 1, (B), (1), (2) and Section 103, (B), the Oljato Chapter is recognized as a local government entity and delegated authorities and responsibilities with respect to local matters consistent with Navajo Nation Laws; and
2. Pursuant to 2 N.T.C., Section 4028 (a), the Oljato Chapter has the authority to review matters affecting the community and make appropriate recommendations to the Navajo Nation, County and State government agencies, and other governmental entities, and
3. The Oljato Chapter acknowledges the Oljato Trading Post as a historic site; and
4. Oljato Chapter supports efforts to stabilize and rehabilitate the deteriorated, dilapidated and unstable historic structures; and
5. The State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Certified Local Government (CLG) program can provide a matching grant of up to \$10,000 in the next grant round in January 2020. San Juan County is eligible to apply for CLG grants because of its partnership with SHPO; and
6. The cooperating parties will continue to explore and identify other sources of funds and expect the 2020 CLG grant will provide funding sources to this project; and
7. San Juan County can apply for the 2022 CLG grant (a CLG can apply only every other year); and
8. The SHPO will provide with permission from the Navajo Nation to visit the site and conduct a structural assessment. Written assessment will be shared with all parties. All recommended rehab work will meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation in order to ensure financial support from federal and other funding sources; and
9. After reviewing the assessment, the cooperating entities will discuss opportunities for proceeding with the Oljato Trading Post project and will identify the "lead" parties both for specific action items as well as for the overall project.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Oljato Chapter supports and approves for the cooperating and not limited to the Navajo Nation, County, State, National, and Federal entities to stabilize and rehabilitate the Oljato Trading Post and Hogan.

CERTIFICATION

We hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was duly considered by the Chapter at a duly called authorized chapter meeting held in Oljato, Navajo Nation, Utah at which a quorum was present and that it was passed by vote of 24 in favor, 0 opposed, and 4 abstaining, on this 9th day of June, 2019.

Motion: Bonnie Crank

Second: Clara Luna

James Adakai
 James Adakai, Chapter President

Albert Holiday
 Albert Holiday, Vice-President

LaNell Menard-Parrish
 LaNell Menard-Parrish, Secretary/Treasurer





Oljato Trading Post Re-roofing Project

(9-28-20)

Project Summary

This project will repair/replace the roof on the long-vacant 1921 Oljato Trading Post. The roof is in a very advanced state of deterioration, allowing water in at various locations, eroding the adobe and stone walls and compromising the structure.

Applicant Information

The San Juan County Commission is the applicant for UNTF Non-Chapter Funding to assist the Oljato Trading Post Restoration Project in Monument Valley. The Commission is also in close contact with the Utah Division of State History/State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) as well as the Navajo Nation (the building owner), and the Oljato Chapter (see attached resolutions). The SHPO recently applied for a \$10,000 grant from the National Trust for Historic Preservation, a Washington, D.C., based nonprofit historic preservation organization. The SHPO is also contributing \$5,000 toward the re-roofing project, and provided a \$10,000 grant earlier in 2020 for some much-needed stabilization and clean-up work on the building.

Grant Request

The grant request is for \$35,000. The overall budget for the re-roofing project is \$50,000, based on pro-bono structural assessments and estimates provided by the SHPO's historic preservation architect. As noted above, the SHPO has applied for a \$10,000 grant from the National Trust for Historic Preservation and has already earmarked \$5,000 of its own funds toward the project.

Project Description

This one hundred year old was constructed in 1921 with additions in the 1930s and early '40s. The original structure has a rectangular trading hall with a shallow gable roof. It consists of a center "bull-pen" area for customers surrounded on three sides by an elevated floor for the clerks. Living quarters and storage areas are included in the additions. The walls are primarily double-wythe stacked native sandstone with adobe sections as well. The roof consists of rough-hewn logs over which is a later 1940s(?) roof structure consisting of a 2x4 grid overlaid with sheathing and roofing material. Most of the roofing material and some of the sheathing is missing, and what remains is in very poor condition (see photos).

Immediate Need

The emergency is that the roof is in very poor condition and the numerous leaks threaten the integrity of the structure. The problem has been years in the making, but it has reached a point

where the resulting damage is going to be irreversible. The fragile materials--stacked stone and adobe--are especially prone to water damage, as evidenced by some significant cracks in the walls and the large quantity of "melted" adobe and plaster that have sloughed off due to water penetration over the years. A three-day clean-up effort in March 2020 stabilized some of the most fragile areas and removed the accumulated debris caused by water erosion. That clean-up effort followed the recommendations made in a structural report prepared by the Utah SHPO's historical architect in the summer of 2019. That assessment deemed the building to still be structurally viable, but unless the roof could be repaired soon there would be significant structural failures.

The Navajo Nation and Oljato Chapter own and are responsible for the building, but resources for preserving the building are scarce. The San Juan County Historical Commission has taken the lead in trying to raise funds and partnerships to preserve the building. They are coordinating closely with the Navajo Nation and they are taking advantage of grants and technical assistance from the SHPO. This partnership represents the first time a coordinated effort has been made on behalf of this historic building, which is one of the very few original trading posts left in the Four Corners area. The combination of an immediate structural need and an effective proponent partnership makes this the ideal time to act. Plus, winter is approaching, and getting a roof on now could prevent additional and potentially catastrophic water damage.

The assistance needed at this time is entirely financial. The SHPO has the architectural and technical expertise to help move this project forward. Getting a roof on the building would not only protect the structure, it would also demonstrate that progress is being made, which would strengthen support for the project. We saw that result during the March 2020 clean-up/stabilization effort, as many residents of the Oljato community stopped by to see what was going on and to express their support. We even have institutional support from Utah State University/Eastern. Some two dozen students and staff from the university's Price and Blanding campuses volunteered three days of their time during spring break to help with the clean-up. The time spent amounted to the \$10,000 match necessary for the grant.

Expected Outcome

The initial outcome will be a sound roof that can protect the historic trading post for many years to come. The longer-term outcome is that the building will be functional once again, serving as a community center and as a cultural tourism destination. The San Juan County Historical Commission has met with numerous individuals and stakeholder groups to come up with a plan for the building that will meet short- and long-term community needs, including economic development. Contact for this organization/project is Robert McPherson, Board Member. The Oljato Trading Post is one of the few original and historically intact trading posts in the Four Corners area. It would provide a more authentic experience than is currently available in the larger, more commercially focused trading posts and tourist destinations.

The Oljato Trading Post would provide a unique experience for visitors, both as a distinctive tourist destination and as a venue for showcasing local culture, art, and traditional crafts and products. It would also serve as a gathering place for the local community. This vision for the

structure has been captured in a series of promotional drawings and sketches of what the facility could look like and how it might function (see attachments).

Photographs



Oljato Trading Post after March 2020 stabilization and clean-up



Roof condition-1



Roof condition-2



Interior damage due to water infiltration and tree-root impacts.



Architect’s rendering of the Oljato Trading Post’s future as a tourist destination and community center. The hogan at the lower left is one of the other historic structures on the property that will be preserved as part of the overall project.



Front elevation.